State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 13-263	
Judge:		No. 1102414503A
Complainant:		No. 1102414503B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge demonstrated bias against him by prejudging his case and making erroneous decisions.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 11, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 11, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

	2013-263
Attorneys for Petitioner	
IN THE SUPERIOR COUR	T OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
IN AND FOR THE (COUNTY OF
In re the Matter of:)
m re the watter of:) Case No.
Petitioner,	JOINT PRETRIAL STATEMENT
and	Hearing:
anu	(Assigned to the
Respondent.	
COMES NOW, Petitioner,	("Mother"), by and through her
undersigned counsel, and Respondent,	("Father"), by and through his
undersigned counsel, and pursuant to Rule	76(C), Arizona Rules of Procedure,
hereby submit the following Joint Pretrial Sta	atement.
A. <u>UNCONTESTED ISSUES OF FACT</u> :	
1. The parties are the natural parents	s of two minor children, who are:
currently and	currently age
l	
2. The parents have joint legal custo	dy of the two minor children, recently affirmed by
order dated by the	

3. Since Father's parenting time has been supervised pursuant to 1 and pursuant to the order of order of the 2 3 4 4. On] Mother filed her "Petition for Modification of Custody & 5 Parenting Time." 6 5. Father filed a Response to Petition for Modification of Custody 7 and Parenting Time. 8 9 6. On , Father filed a Counter-Petition for Modification of Parenting 10 Time and Child Support. 11 B. CONTESTED ISSUES.

1.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Legal Decision-Making & Parenting Time.

Mother's Position:

Concerning legal decision-making and parenting time, Mother is concerned with Father's substance his condition, his activity, his choices, his treatment of the children, and his of the children for Father's poor relationship with them. Mother should be awarded sole legal decision-making authority by the Court concerning the minor children. Father is not capable of rationale parenting of the children due to his mental state, and due to his behavior. Pursuant to A.R.S. §25-403, the Court should determine that the best interests of the children require Mother to have sole legal decision-making authority for the children.

Father should not be awarded any unsupervised parenting time with the children. The past behavior exhibited by Father that resulted in him having supervised parenting time with the children has not changed, and in fact, has only gotten worse. Father's supervised parenting time should be limited to not more than three visits per month, and should be at the sole cost of

Page 2 of 21

Father for those visits. The supervised visits should be required to go through a commercial visitation facility as they do currently. Father should not be allowed to attend the school or extra-curricular activities of the children. Father has shown that he uses such occasions to

5 II contact with the children. The supervised visits should be scheduled for a consistent time and schedule to allow Mother to coordinate the visits so they do not interfere with Mother's employment or the children's activities and school.

Mother. Father should also not be permitted to have

Father has abused drugs, and has been arrested for drug-related offenses. Father should be required to be drug tested at all times that he is permitted to have any contact (including supervised contact) with the children. The drug tests should include consistent and periodic hair follicle tests in addition to urine testing. A positive test result (including a missed or diluted test) should result in the immediate suspension of Father's parenting time. Testing should be at the sole expense of Father.

Father has a hat may be directly related to Father's drug abuse) that causes him to have ind and he involves Mother and the children in these episodes. Father has been arrested and involuntarily restrained by the police for his mental issues. Father is a danger to the safety and welfare of the children, and to Mother, and he cannot be permitted to have unsupervised contact with the children.

Father has been arrested in the recent past for violent and drug-related offenses. His decisions concerning his lifestyle and behavior have resulted in drug addictions, illnesses, arrests, and an apparent inability to earn a living. His financial contributions to supporting his children are minimal. Father attempts children during visits to communicate with him, and alternatively he children directly for the poor relationship that Father has with the children. Father has no apparent parenting skills and shows no desire to obtain such skills

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

to better communicate with his children. Father's parenting time with the children should be severely limited.

Father's Position:

A. LEGAL DECISION-MAKING

According to the provisions outlined in A.R.S. § 25-403, it is in the minor children's best interest that the parties retain joint legal decision-making authority over their two minor children. Since the affirmation of the Court's original orders regarding legal decision-making on there has not been ϵ n circumstances that would warrant a modification of the current joint legal decision-making award.

To warrant a modification of legal decision-making, the Court must find that there has been a material change in circumstances affecting the welfare of the minor children.

Only after the Court finds that a change has occurred does it reach the question of whether a change of legal decision-making would be in the best interests of the children.

In this case, there has not been a material change in circumstances affecting the welfare of the minor children. As a result, a modification of the current joint legal decision-making order is not warranted.

The majority of issues raised by Mother in her Petition for Modification of Custody (legal decision-making) and Parenting Time related to Father's supervised visits. Specifically, Mother cited to two (2) visits where Father (1) confronted the children regarding things they had said school officials and (2) informed the children that he was because it was too hard on him and that he was being treated like a

criminal. Although Father now recognizes these were inappropriate things to discuss with the children, his feelings were not unreasonable.

Being forced to see your children with a supervisor present, at a facility, fo

is undoubtedly an aggravating situation. Father, who has been relegated to this arrangement for 1 has understandably had moments where he was not able to control his pent up frustrations. These brief and momentary lapses in judgment should, however, be given their proper weight. Specifically, it is worth noting that both incidents cited by Mother occurred in prior to her filing her petition and more tha from today's date. Since that time, there have been no reported incidents surrounding Father's visitations.

The othe	r noteworthy issues ra	ised in Mother's petition relate	to events that
occurred on	and	Father admits that on	he was
foi	Fathe	er also admits that on.	he was arrested for
]	Father, who	admitted to	smoking
	rior to the allege	d incident. With that said, Fathe	er has taken full
responsibility fo	r his actions and has d	lone everything within his pow	er to comply with his

sentencing requirements. It is also worth noting that Father's Disorderly Conduct charge has been dismissed.

Father offers no excuse for his use ofne year ago. Father,however, has had to deal with a number of life changing events that would have tested anyman's resolve. Father, who was once abecame increasinglydepressed after divorcing Mother and losing time with their children. In order to cope with hisdepression, Father began abusing drugs. During this time, Father also learned that he wasThis, of course, only added to Father's downward spiral. Father, however, has never

given up. Although disabled and unable to work, Father has begun to volunteer and anticipates that he will once again become able to work full-time.

Father recognizes that his actions have affected his relationship with the children. Father, however, believes that his relationship with the children has improved. The only thing that currently stands in the way of Father's relationship with the children is Mother. And, if the court were to award Mother sole legal decision-making authority over the minor children, Father would lose even more control over his ability to stay active in their lives.

Since the parties separated in , Mother has continuously attempted to alienate the children from Father. In fact, Mother has gone as far as ignoring Court orders to reduce Father's access to the children. Specifically, Mother has refused to allow Father more than isitation a month, despite the fact that the current Court orders

allow Father supervised parenting time

visit." Moreover, Mother ignored from Father's counsel requesting the children's after school schedules even though Father is allowed to attend "all school and extracurricular activities of the children at which parental participation is allowed without the presence of a supervisor as long as Mother or other adults are present at such activities."

or up te

As these facts clearly demonstrate, Mother has actively sought to prevent and disrupt the relationship between Father and the minor children. Father's frustration over this interference, coupled with his own depression, led to Father's unfortunate relapse with drugs last summer. Since that time, however, Father has had over supervised visits with the children and has stayed completely clean from any illegal drug use. Father is as fully capable of making decisions that are in the children's best interest as he was is when the parties agreed to exercise joint custody) and when the Court confirmed that order.

The two isolated events o and should not overshadow the in which Father has worked to become a more significant part of the children's lives. Moreover, they do not constitute a substantial and continuing change in circumstances that would warrant a modification of the current joint legal decision-making arrangement. Mother's request is driven by her desire to completely remove Father from the children's lives. In fact, Mother has never acknowledged the potential reward that the children might

experience by spending more time with their Father. Mother, who has never attempted to consult with Father before making significant decisions about the children's well-being, is now trying to minimize her own misconduct by seeking an award of sole legal decision-making. Granting her request is an extreme and unreasonable remedy considering the facts of this case.

Instead, Father proposes the Court appoint a parenting coordinator as part of its final orders. This way, both parents can remain active in the decision-making process, but neither parent will be without recourse should a dispute regarding decision-making arise. Father believes that this alternative would work best to serve the interests of the children.

Lastly, according to A.R.S. § 25-103, it is the declared public policy of Arizona that it is in a child's best interest to have substantial, frequent, meaningful and continuing parenting time with both parents and to have both parents participate in decision-making about the child. Father agrees with this policy and believes that the joint legal decision-making arrangement currently in place should be confirmed by this Court.

B. PARENTING TIME

 Or
 Father was awarded "parenting time supervised by Mother, an

 adult approved by Mother, an agency such
 an employee of Father's

 counsel's firm up
 ber week for up to
 visit." It was further ordered

that Father shall be "entitled to daily unmonitored and uncensored telephonic contact with the children between the hours of p.m." Since these orders were entered, there has been a substantial and continuing change in circumstances warranting a modification of parenting time. Specifically, Mother has completely ignored the prior orders, unilaterally reducing Father's Court ordered parenting time to just 1 visits a month for a

per visit. Moreover, since the installation of the Court's

orders, Father has worked hard to improve himself and his relationship with the minor children. Father takes full responsibility for his past behavioral misconduct, acknowledging the effect of his prior drug abuse on his ability to effectively parent. As a result, Father has decided to dedicate himself to becoming a better Father and has started the process by pledging to remain sober. Father has also worked hard at reestablishing trust between himself and the minor children. However, in order to maintain this trust, Father must be given more time with the children than he is currently receiving. Simply put, the of supervised parenting time that Mother is requesting does not allow for this trust to be maintained.

The extremely limited visitation schedule and awkward confines of the supervisory facility have made it extremely difficult for the children to adapt to Father's presence in their lives. This, however, can change. By granting Father i week of unsupervised visitation with the children from at his permanent place of residence, the Court can help to eliminate the barriers that stand in the way of a productive relationship between Father and the minor children. The children need their Father in their lives. Unfortunately, the circumstances surrounding their relationship have made it exceedingly difficult for the children to truly understand what they have been missing.

Father is not asking the Court to overlook his prior mistakes or forgive his previous actions. Instead, Father is asking the Court to take perspective of the positive strides that he has

3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 As the supervisor notes from Father's visits demonstrate, the children's ability and 11 desire to interact with Father continues to grow with each additional minute they spend 12 together. Recent notes show that Father and the children spend most of their limited time 13 14 laughing, playing games, discussing goals and learning about one another's lives. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 consecutive positive visits with the children. Moreover, Father has randomly tested clean for 24 drugs since the issuance of the Court's 25

26

27

28

1

2

per month of supervised visitation that Mother is requesting has effectively run its course. Father does not pose any risks to the parties' children and is no longer in need of supervised access. Father's positive visitation history combined with his abstention from illegal

Minute Entry. Accordingly, the

Unfortunately, the consistent progress that seems to be made during each session risks defeat every time the children are forced to go more that lays without seeing him again. The proof of this phenomenon can be seen in the supervisor notes that consistently reference the children's initial reluctance to interact with Father during the first half of the visits but warming attraction during the latter half. Father's demonstrated history of positive visits and yearlong abstention from drugs deserve recognition from this Court. Over the past year and a half, Father has had over 30

is a critical step that must be made in order for their relationship to grow.

there is still a long way to go before he and the children have completely rebuilt their relationship. Unfortunately, the current parenting time orders in place make it impossible for Father to make-up for lost time. At this time, Father is only seeking week of unsupervised visits with the children because Father recognizes that the children may be reluctant to a more drastic change in parenting time. At the same time, however, Father believes that allowing the children to visit with him. and without the presence of a

recently made and allow him the opportunity to continue to improve. Father recognizes that

Page 9 of 21

narcotics constitutes a substantial and continuing change in circumstances that warrants a modification of the current parenting time orders.

To that end, it is most certainly in the minor children's best interest that the Court modify its current orders to allow Father a of unsupervised parenting time at his permanent place of residence each and every from Moreover, according to A.R.S. § 25-403.01 (D), a parent is entitled to substantial, frequent, meaningful and continuing contact with their children (regardless of their decision-making authority), so long as the Court finds that parenting time would not endanger the children's physical, mental, moral or emotional health. With that said, Father is also requesting that the Court implement a "step-up" program that would allow Father's parenting time to potentially increase beyond the six (6) hours a week that he is currently requesting. Specifically, Father asks that the court review the parenting time orders after the first to see if an increase in parenting time should be granted.

Father recognizes that the children may have school and extra-curricular obligations that will interfere with h time. Father, however, is willing to take the children to any and all activities that are scheduled during his time. Meaning, even if the children want to spend some time with their friends on or have prior obligations, Father will make the necessary sacrifice to ensure that the children do not miss out on any important activities. All Father is requesting is that he be allowed to have some one-on-one time with each child outside of a supervised setting.

C. SPECIFIC PARENTING TIME REQUEST

In addition to the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

of parenting time each

Father is also requesting that he receive of parenting time with so that he may take him to the that will be in

on that

1	day. Father also requests that he be given some one-on-one time with o that he may be
2	able to do something special with her, as well. At this time, Father does not have a specific date
3	in mind for the request as it relates to Sage.
4	D. <u>HOLIDAY PARENTING TIME</u>
5	Father requests the following schedule for unsupervised Holiday Parenting time:
6	1.
7	on Christmas in all even numbered years and
9	Christmas Eve on all odd numbered years;
10	
11	2. <u>Thanksgiving:</u> Father shall receive
12	
13	3. New Year's Eve/New Years Day: Father shall receive
14	parenting time on New Year's Eve in
15	of parenting time on
16	4. Mother's Day: Mother will always have the children on Mother's Day;
17	5. <u>Father's Day</u> : since Father's day always falls on a , Father asks for the
18 19	regular parenting time schedule to apply;
20	6. Father's Birthday: Father shall receive parenting time on
21	o. <u>ramers brinday</u> . ramer sharroon of parenting time on
22	
23	For all other holidays, the regular parenting time schedule shall apply.
24	E. EXTRA-CURRICULAR ACTIVITIES/SPORTING EVENTS
25	As a result of Mother's prior refusal to abide by the current Court order allowing Father
26	to attend the children's after school activities, Father requests that the Court grant him specific
27	permission to attend all of the children's sporting, school and extra-curricular events.
28	
l	Page 11 of 21

I

Ш

F. TRANSPORTATION

Father proposes that the receiving parent be the one responsible for picking-up the children.

2. <u>Child Support</u>.

Mother's Position:

A new child support order should be entered that complies with the Arizona Child Support Guidelines. Mother should be permitted to claim the federal tax deductions for the minor children on her income tax returns.

Father has alleged that Mother has not actively participated in obtaining Social Security benefits for the minor children. The prior Court Advison made reference to the fact that Father claimed he fabricated his disability to obtain benefits. Mother cannot actively participate in any fraud initiated by Father and cannot be punished or chastised for failing to do so.

Father's Position:

Father proposes that child support be ordered according to the Arizona Child Support Guidelines. According to the Father's proposed child support worksheet attached hereto as "Exhibit A", Father would owe monthly child support. Father, however, is entitled to have his monthly child support obligation reduced by the amount of money that Mother is eligible to receive through as a result of Father's contributions.

According to the section 26(B) of the Arizona Child Support Guidelines, "Benefits, such as Social Security Disability or Insurance, received by a custodial parent on behalf of a child, as a result of contributions made by the parent paying child support shall be credited as follows:

in all

1

2

3

1. If the amount of the child's benefit for a given month is equal to or greater than the paying parent's child support obligation, then that parent's obligation is satisfied."

Based on information and belief, Mother is eligible to collect significantly more than rom Mother, however, has refused to pursue this monthly allowance because of her own subjective belief that she would be perpetrating fraud. At this time, Father is currently disabled and currently receiving disability from the federal government. Meaning, Mother's own subjective belief is contrary to the position of th Accordingly, Mother should not be allowed to purposely refuse available benefits for the children because of her own unreasonable and unfounded belief that she would be breaking the law.

Therefore, Father requests that Mother be ordered to obtain the Social Security Disability benefits that are currently available to her. Until that time, Father requests that child support be suspended. Father recognizes that he is required to provide proper support for the parties' children. At this time, however, Father does not believe that Mother will comply with the Court order unless strict penalties are imposed. Once Mother begins receiving the Social Security Disability payments, Father asks that child support be reinstated and he be given proper credit for the amount of money that Mother is receiving on his behalf.

Lastly, Father requests that all unreimbursed medical expenses and tax exemptions be divided pursuant to the Arizona Child Support Guidelines. According to Father's proposed worksheet, the unreimbursed medical expenses should be divided as follows and

Mother shall have all other tax exemptions.

As for tax exemptions, Father shall be entitled to claim the parties'

3. <u>Attorney Fees</u>.

Mother's Position:

Mother is entitled to an award of her reasonable attorney's fees incurred in this matter, pursuant to A.R.S. §§25-324. Father has not acted reasonably in these proceedings and Father should be ordered to reimburse Mother for the attorney's fees incurred by her.

Father's Position:

Mother should be responsible for paying a reasonable amount of Father's attorney's fees and costs as a result of her unreasonableness throughout this matter. Specifically, Mother unilaterally decided to orders and ignore etters in an effort to reduce Father's parenting time. For example, since the issuance of the Court's parenting time orders, Mother has never allowed Father the parenting time access to which he was rightfully entitled.

As previously mentioned, o Father was awarded "parenting time supervised by Mother, an adult approved by Mother, an agency such a or an employee of Father up to three times per week for up to two hours per visit." In direct contradiction to these orders, Mother has only allowed Father to exercise parenting time per month for up to one hour each visit. Moreover, Mother has only allowed Father's visits to be by an agency such a: As a result, Father has had to incur substantial costs just to see the parties' children.

Father hoped that using an individual to supervise his visits would help the children feel more comfortable when around him. Unfortunately, Father's requests were continuously rebuffed by Mother without any attempt at compromise. More discouraging, however, is the fact that Mother continuously refused to compromise on the number of visits per month that Father could have, even when Father's requests were extremely reasonable.

For example, onFather's counsel sent Mother's counsel a letterregarding Father's request forarenting time session per month.Considering that Father is the sole party responsible for paying for his supervised visits, andconsidering the fact that theorders allow him access of up to

 Father's request was most certainly reasonable. Mother, however, refused to accept

 Father's request. Unfortunately, however, Mother's unreasonableness did not stop there

 According to the Court':
 rders, Father is allowed to attend "all school

 and extra-curricular activities of the children at which parental participation is allowed without

 the presence of a supervisor as long as Mother or other adults are present at such activities."

 Despite this fact, however, Mother refused to provide Father with copies of the children's after

 school schedules. In fact, Father's counsel sent
 > Mother's counsel

 from
 xo
 specifically requesting that Mother comply with the

 Court's prior orders. Unfortunately, Mother never complied.

In fact, according to Mother's own admission, she would not disclose the children's after school schedules until after the Court ruled upon her current Petition for a Modification of Custody and Parenting Time. Meaning, Mother believed that she could temporarily modify the parties' current orders without any involvement from the Court. Clearly, Mother's actions in this regard were extremely unreasonable.

Lastly, onFather filed a Response to Mother's Petition for aModification of Custody and Parenting Time that included an affirmative allegation that Motherwas entitled to receive social security benefits on behalf of the children as a result of hisdisability.Father's counsel sent a letter to Mother's counsel reminding

Mother of the availability of these potential benefits. Lastly, at the Resolution Management Conference, a discussion was held in front of the Court regarding the availability of additional social security benefits for the children. Unfortunately, to this date, Mother has never affirmatively confirmed whether or not she has even investigated her ability to receive these benefits.

As these examples clearly demonstrate, Mother has continuously placed her own assumptions about the law and about the parties' children over and above the significance of the Court's current orders. As a result of this, Father has incurred substantial attorney's fees and costs just so that he may receive the things to which he has always been entitled. Mother's complete disregard for Court orders has not only been unreasonable, but at times, even contemptuous.

Mother has never lived up to the parties' current joint legal decision-making orders and has even gone as far as to remove Father's name from the emergency contact forms from the children's school. Mother does not seek out Father's opinion on any major issues regarding the children and shows no remorse for doing so. With that said, Father believes that he should be reimbursed for a reasonable amount of his attorney's fees and costs as they have undeniably increased as a result of Mother's unreasonable behavior.

C. FINANCIAL AFFIDAVITS.

The parties' Affidavits of Financial Information have previously been filed in this matter, and their respective Affidavits of Financial Information may be considered evidence by the Court as if marked as an exhibit and entered into evidence pursuant to

D. WITNESSES.

Mother's Witnesses:

1	1. Petitioner/Mother.	
2	2. Respondent/Father.	
3	3. Court-Appointed	
4	4.	
5	5. All witnesses listed by Father.	
6	6. Rebuttal witnesses if necessary.	
7 8	Father's Witnesses:	
9		
	1. Respondent/Father;	
10	2. Petitioner/Mother;	
11 12	3. Court-Appointed	
13	4. All witnesses listed by Mother;	
14	5. Rebuttal witnesses if necessary.	
15	E. TRIAL EXHIBITS.	
16	Mother's Exhibits:	
16 17	Mother's Exhibits:	
	1. Results for Father.	
17		
17 18	1. Results for Father.	
17 18 19	 Results for Father. Mother's 	
17 18 19 20 21 22	 Results for Father. Mother's Father' 	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1. Results for Father. 2. Mother's 3. Father' 4. Records from and re Father.	
17 18 19 20 21 22	1.Results for Father.2.Mother's3.Father'4.Records from and re Father.5.Records from re Father.	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	1. Results for Father. 2. Mother's 3. Father' 4. Records from and re Father. 5. Records from re Father. 6. Letter froi to Court (2 pages). 7. Text Messages from Father to Children (4 pages).	
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	 Results for Father. Mother's Father' Records from and re Father. Records from re Father. Records from re Father. Letter froi to Court (2 pages). Text Messages from Father to Children (4 pages). Summary (5 pages). 	
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	1. Results for Father. 2. Mother's 3. Father' 4. Records from and re Father. 5. Records from re Father. 6. Letter froi to Court (2 pages). 7. Text Messages from Father to Children (4 pages). 8. Summary (5 pages). 9. Letter froi o Court (12 pages).	
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	 Results for Father. Mother's Father' Records from and re Father. Records from re Father. Records from re Father. Letter froi to Court (2 pages). Text Messages from Father to Children (4 pages). Summary (5 pages). 	

,	11 re Father.
1	
3	
4	12. Emails and Notices Between Father and (10 pages).
5	1: Receipt (2 pages).
6 7	14. Email from Father.
8	15. Emails Between Father and 2 pages).
9	16. Child Interview Memorandum dated (4 pages).
10	17. Email from Father to Mother.
11 12	18. Handwritten Texting Payout Sheet from Father.
12	19. Court Advisor Report.
14	20. Communications between Attorneys.
15	21. Communications between Attorneys and pro per party.
16	22. Copies of Exhibits attached to Mother's Petition to Modify Custody and Parenting
17 18	Time, (
19	Father's Exhibits:
20	1. Parenting Skills Program's supervised visitation records
21	2. Family Service Agency's supervised visitation records
22	3. Child Interview Memorandum dated
23 24	4. Respondent' test results;
25	5. Respondent's with lated
26	6. Respondent's Affidavit of Financial Information filed on
27	7. Petitioner's Responses to Request for Admissions dated
28	8. Petitioner's Answers to Non-Uniform Family Law Interrogatories dated
	Page 18 of 21

	Family Law Interrogatories dated	
	me tax returns;	
11. Medical releases signed by Resp	ondent;	
12. Petitioner's Affidavit of Financia	l Information;	
13. Court-Appointed Advisor's Repo	ort and Recommendations;	
14. Letter from	. &	da
]		
15. Psychiatric Evaluation Note from	m	&
dated (bar	es	
16. Neuropsychology report from	dated	(ba
17. Progress Notes from	from	thro
(bates		
18. Pictures of Respondent with		
19. Respondent's Certificate of Co	mpletion from Parenting Class Seri	es "Parents
Children K-12 and Positive Parenti	ng for Children with Challenging Be	chaviors" da
20. Notice of Dismissal on State v		bates num
21. Final Report from		bates nun
22. Receipt and print out from	on <u>State v</u>	da

1	23. Motor Vehicle Division Ignit	ion Interlock Orde	r – Notice dated	(bates
2	number			
3	24. Letter from to Respondent dates bates no		bates numbe	
4	25. E-mail from Respondent to	dated	bates numbe	
5	26. Family Service Agency notice of	lated (bate	s numbe	
6 7	27. Letter from to R	esponden	(bates number	
8	28. E-mail from to Res	pondent dated	bates number	-
9	29. E-mail from Respondent to	dated	(bates number	
10	30. Arrest/Booking	Record dated	and	
11	31. Father's Proposed Child Suppo	ort Worksheet.		
12 13	32. Mail and e-mail correspondence	e betweer	and	dated:
14	***please fill in dates here.***			
15	F. OBJECTIONS TO TRIAL EXHIBIT	rs.		
16	Mother's Objections To Father's Exhibi	<u>ts</u> :		
17	Mother objects to any and all exhibit		isclosed.	
18 19	Father's Objections To Mother's Exhibi	ts:		
20	Father objects to any and all exhibit		sclosed.	
2 1	G. PRETRIAL DISCOVERY AND			
22	The parties' have complied with		uirements of Rules 49	and 50.
23	Arizona Rules of	-	anged all relevant info	_
24 25	documents and exhibits.			
25 26	H. GOOD FAITH SETTLEMENT DIS	CUSSIONS		
27	The parties' settlement discussions		eful	
28		HAVE USEII UHSUCCES	5141.	
	I. VERBATIM RECORD OF TRIAL			
		Page 20 of 21		1

Н

1	The parties request an electronic	record of the proceedings.	
2			
3	J. OTHER ISSUES		
4	None.		
5			
6			
7	1		
8	I		
9			
10			
11			
12	ORIGINAL filed and COPY delivered		
13	1		
14	1		
15			
16			
17			
18	·····		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		Page 21 of 21	