# State of Arizona

# COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-291

 Judge:
 No. 1066414749A

 Complainant:
 No. 1066414749B

### ORDER

The complainant alleged that a pro tem justice of the peace issued an improper attorney fees order.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission approved sending the judge a private advisory letter regarding his obligations to the commission in responding to a complaint and disqualifying himself because of the complaint. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(b) and 23(a).

Dated: February 12, 2012.

## FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Louis Frank Dominguez

Louis Frank Dominguez Commission Chair

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on February 12, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Name:

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2013-291

#### **COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Judge's Name:

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

On Jud	ge issued an order for n	ne to pay the attorney	's fees
in a case that was not even h	eard in a cou	rt. The case was hea	rd in the
Consolidat	ed Court on	. The request for atto	orney's
fees was denied in that court			on
The ca	se number is	The case Judge	ruled
on was dismissed on	within hours of my rece	iving an ex parte Injur	nction
Against Harassment from the	City Consolida	ated Court.	

I was forced to seek an Injunction in Lake Havasu City (where I reside) as the Judges in (where I work) were unwilling or unable to assist me in my attempts to protect myself from the of Police for the Town of I am sure that your office is familiar with my case as I have submitted two complaints already in regards to the treatment I have received during this process.

I believe Judge is either unfamiliar with the way the system works or is biased toward the defendant. because of his position in the community. There is no reason that should grant an order for attorney's fees for a case that wasn't even in his jurisdiction. I was also never notified of this order, the order was sent to my old attorney who called to inform me about it. As the order was so unfair. offered to file a Motion for Reconsideration for me at no charge. This was done on As of this date. Judae has not ruled on the Motion. I have called the County Justice Court several times and they have informed me nothing has been done.