

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-325

Judge:	No. 1094714087A
Complainant:	No. 1094714087B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge shut down a settlement conference without giving him a fair opportunity to be heard and was not fair and impartial.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: January 22, 2014.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on January 22, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

To those at the
Judicial Code of Conduct

PRISONER

on
before the judge of division . Before the
witnesses of and the attorneys of law,
the human being broke canon rule 2.6 Ensuring the right
to be heard and shut down a settlement conference completely, because
she didn't like what I said and was trying to force me to apologize
or some type of inducement of speech that would please her in some
form or another. It was unrecorded, but she continually abuses people
verbally of all forms of social groups, ranging from attorneys, d.o.s, and
people in front of her as well the most. She breaks canon 2.2
continually. For instance as a pro-per defendant with dire need use of a
law library she cuts that is for a person to make phone calls to research
a professional. Why when the library needs to be seen by a pro-per defendant to
research case law and legal precedents, other than biasness toward the state
to further handcuff the pro-per defendant. That also includes 2.3. By doing
this in my case alone rule 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 is in violation too. How can one
have respect for a person that has no respect for them or have confidence in
the judiciary system when denied the appearance and ability to even have a
hearing at all - that's of an in action, or some
thing out of I as a person don't like all the big
bullshit words people use either as a person, but I don't infringe on their
freedom of speech in their lives significantness of years being taken from
their lives, and this intolerable actions of her's is habitual. The judge is not to
convince the people by opinions but by arguments. The settlement conference was
on tape. What's more offensive to talk over someone's head by word - when can
do other wise or use a curse word and then make it okay for who has freedom of
speech and who doesn't? Try to power play me, is what she did. That's that to be done
in a free society where the judge is the arbitrator between 2 party's, so is that

bias, and how do I get a fair trial, or anybody at all in this county this state this country. I guess its just pick-up the from the streets - "Thier the reason for the bad economy" - lets make them slaves - oh they want work without freedoms of mind and choice - well we need another work force then - and whats next and how did you get your new work force without doing exactly what was asked of the old one that was yousted. If not so then why is like it is and everyone want to leave and how is the laws there and the courts, senate, and every thing else. As

said - why do I want to do herione is the question you should be asking me, but the pharmaceutical companies are taking up that end of it now. Revenue - and chemical, mental, slavery too. Thats why the court want let in my documentation to prove my innocence - only thing left I can do is or leave to a place where GOD WILL BLESS. So when you come down for the drive to visit and party, and you'll probly have a few drinks with herself. Tell her I would love to

as I pull her close to me and shove
while our and her and
her pulsing throbbing and be satisfied with be
as she grabs

and After

so all I need from her is the respect you would give anyone person as is what everyone needs from a judge. Not - someone interested in deliberating beyond the states move toward communism - dictatorship's. But if thats what one thinks as an educated person should downize onto the state - the country - well I'm leaving as soon as I can, so I don't have to kill anyone over the acts of by the courts as they continually move with time over and over again bias, slavery, and dishonestly - anyways

the pro-per defendant. me is motioning the court for a change of venue under rule 10.3 to by reasons of prejudicing the jury the public newspaper as a flyer distribution method of influenceing the public in a bias way to lean the idealisms of the public toward a state's fashion of creating fear and vengeance with the public agianst prior felony convictions, as well as in this flyer distribution to the public that state's inn it's self by the leading state prosocutor that they are intentionally going after with special/malice prosocution to force a plea or to give the maxium sentnce avabile, and whom they want to prosocute to the fullest exstent of the law and who they choose not to, and that is bias and prejudice prosocution and completely agianst thee constitution and leaves the state and other attorney offices open for hriherv and that is basically what is implied by the state saying that they do a lot of between the halls in the offices. in the very news paper that the state has spoken to comfesses it himself, and that is illegal in the written law not to have any ex-parta communications out side the court at all. he has told the public that the court gets its jury selection from that all prior felon's are a nussence to the population and that only 10% of the population is a repeat offender. when actually the laws change from time to time and create felons. for instance with the very law that is being tested now upon the public with the "fracture" additive toward the a.r.s. 13-1204. also the d.u.i. law that lowerd the blood% level to .08% from .1% that created lots of state revenue and new felons by the .02% additive over one lady that screamed wolf when there was already a law implied for vehicular-man/weman-slaughter, and she herself recieved a d.u.i. some years later and recieved a 5yr prison sentence. not to mention that it was not but a few years ago that there was not even a such thing as repeat offender law in arizona---no such thing as priors at all to be alleged, and that is still adverse toward the ideal of double jeapordy by some states and the supreme court. so in effect he has not informed the public correctly and has done it in projection to prejudice the jury selection of the public, even futher by falsely introducing 1/2 truths to the publics eye for not only my case, but all cases in this county with "priors" to be alleged. nor did he tell the public that they give special plea deals depending upon the social or attorney statues and funds avabile to a deffendent. the proof of that has been seen over and over in the newspaper sence february of the year how can the attorneys office exsplain a 5yr prison sentence plea for a prohibited possor with multiple priors that shot a guy running from him in the back and made him a perapalegic, and offer 3yrs for a black eye that a fish scale thin bone was stress cracked for a plea, other then lack of descretion or bribbrery or malice pursication. in another insodent as an exsample of the truth and validity that i'm talking about is: a man gets drunk and soots his wife in the leg with a .45 caliber pistol and cripples her to the point that she is using a cain, and gives him 4yrs as a plea deal. so as an intellegit being/person this says a few things to me, but the thing as a christian-- i have to ask myself if this is not demonic in someway or in some idealogy, cause any rational humanbeing knows that a blackeye is in no way compared to a gun shoot wound, or any other fist fight wound. so this could be nothing but bias/corrupt in manner of some sort to the common sence and agianst the common good of the public. it takes away the ideal of mercy and the ideal of personal self deffence from me and my own kids, and the/that is common law being diverted for what reasoning of lordships. do your kids practice karate, wrestling, boxing and will they be 18, 21, 23, 25 someday. your saying they should get a gun to protect thier property/person/and so much other things that a human needs to do for themselves. the state has prejudiced the public by futher criminalizing publicly a person with priors in this county and thier is no way when the state alleges priors that i can get an impartial jury. exspecially when the state all ready has the jury by law that no one with a prior felony record can be a jurior. i'm an indigent person by means of force from being in prison. i have a trade that i'm well versed in of electricity, so it is not my fault that i'm in the position of indengitsy. my family has kids of thier own and mine to support now too. my dad has served in the military and now has cancer, so thier is no way for us to provide those means that the state/county maybe asking for for those types of deals given for shootings. so with out the ability of a non-bias jury there is no way to recieve justice/fair court to even find out what happend in the situation that accured--without the prejudice of the publics mind induced by the state into the local newspaper that the jury comes from--the community. the only remidy is a change of venue to a county thats newspaper does not cover the jails indited nor has bvassed the public before or is in the procces of it now that is why i've suggested countys by "prior" knowlegde of these and others citys, and am sure that therē is no bais in the form toward me either as a fact. i've never been in county court and its been 18yrs sence i've been before the county court. i'm simply trying to get away from a bias jury and toward some ideal of equal prosocution and that makes some sence toward what the constitution states for all the people of the united states of america as a practice of impartiality of the law and the law not used as a social science exsperiment, or any other form of idealisms that are agianst the common people or the common good or the common law of common sence. its a show of biasness and malice prosocution and the states lack of ability to differentuait the difference between seriose and non-seriose offences by the law that they are suppost to do anyways. i've added the newspaper clipping of the proof of biassing the jury thru flyers of publication to the avabile jury pool of the county to pull juriors from. honestly i

have to say that this county has been a lite toward the civil rights of the people that want to use thier constitutional rites. i was inn county and they denied me the use of the simplest law books, as you give out readily, and that is wonderful and a projection in the constitutional direction. the use of the computer to provide case law is wonderful also, but why can i not view c.d. evidence to study that is can be made done with the very tech that is employed here. not to bash you, but to inform you of the simplest things that can be done to move toward the truth of the constitution with the technology at had and easily avabile at the disposal of the state. and that i am happy that you utilize this technology that others have not. i was inn county when i was taken to a little room in and told to my face that i would be denied all that i requested and even when i was winning my criminal case as i had my kids case of guardianship before me to and they denied me use of the law books for that case too as i had to go pro-per on that case also, for reasons of prejudiced ideas and (the "system" married to people) that will break the law to afford thier own idea's of what the "system" is for. i had to fire and eventually won that case to a satisfactory degree of adoption to my brother- though i was fighting for simply guardianship by them and retain my legal rights of being thier parent, and for the simple fact that the idea's of written law changes peoples perception and that doesn't always mean the written law is correct and defanantly not with my religiose beliefs and rite to religion. as for me to honor your parents is a law to be up held, and even if i'm inn prison i'm looking out for my kids best interest the best i can with what i got to do that with, simply cause i'm thier dad, and thats what GOD MADE me to be. even the best attorney that i got out of the was for the of the state's fact that i was not able to provide physically, but i had provided physically the best i could when i sent/begged/got/fasted&prayed for my brother to get them, and now they are inn the ideal and public ridicule that i'm a criminal too- simply by false public relations to the facts of what the ideal's of law is and sometimes used for, as has been done with the newspaper in this case as well. though it was to my brother's credit that he came and got them, and it gives his name a better place with GOD and the community as it seems at times that they are hand in hand, when in the right direction. and none took in the account that inn prison one to have contact with kids inn writting helps them develope mentally and emotionally threw reading and the facts of what the ideal of being human is inn all forms, and even sacrifice of ones life for the whole of the society as this at some piont beyond reveneu, is the idea to change the form of minds of people of the society, and at that is to sacrafice ones own life to change the "ideal" of "preditorial/primitive man-thought processing/ and for some demonic, or the biblical war that lifts man up out of the endless search for food alone, knowing that there is more to the developement of man/weman kind then food alone, and at that i will turn you toward the bible that clearly states that daily for man/weman kind. as i'm suppost to be treated as an attorney in these fashions of pro-per defendant and one is to be given notice of any court change at all and expecially being i had two cases going at he same time--one day they come to get me for a court that was not schedualed and i said no, cause they would not say which court-nor was i informed of any change and was or may not have been prepard, and by those prejudices of job and social ideas and the you did it to one of ours, rather he was rite or wrong, the judge denied me of my rite to pro-per defendant, by that showed his place and love for the "system" over the truth of GOD and the GOD INSPIRED constitution. just ask the presbertarians about the idea's of the type of govering that the constitution does for thier church and where it came from. so you see these reasons of biasness that come from all sorts of maners of localized perswasions and perceptions that come toward people from the false ideas of presented to the public of law and the use of it. and this article was based solely upon "priors" and what does the fight i got into yesterday have to do with today, or the economy from robbery to robbery and percived needs that aren't met, and inn reality is that not what soverinty is--the ability to steal with no consequences to be had or kill, yet to be honorable in soverinty, the code-de-ka is that we do unto others as we would have done unto us in the same manner if we were in those shoe's, and not to do that is whats considered "criminal" threw out the whole world and on the world stage as well in the united nations from country to country and that same idealism must be used for a free society as well, and thats what is needed in a court system. so being the reality of the current state of the jury pool and the nation by perspective and perswasion of mental factors, i am trying to get the best fairest trial i can and also get to my kids with the least amount of time stlen from my life here on earth as possible and to promote democracy (and that is a christian concept) as best as possible to, not as an american, but as a follwer of the idea's of CHRIST, and that can be in any country---GOD CAN; so i'm moving the court for a change of venue to graham county/pima county. as i said befoere that this is not out of hatred toward this place/people/courts/jail/city/ect.ect.ect. your doing your best with what we are human, as i am all so. may GOD BE INN all your courts and daily desissions and BLESS this county/city/state/coubtry to know HIS WILL FOR us all.

i the pro-per defendant am filing under rule 15.2 of evidence a 153 pg. with pages 32,(a)(b)(c) added that makes it 156 pgs. statement file under rules of evidence 405, 404, 406, 608(b), 607, 106. rule 405 as the pacific acts that i the defendant had witnessed myself, because the pacific instances and actions are proof of the character of what the defendant had to defend himself from and those laws provide proof against the legality of what the defendant had to defend himself from the illegal actions of felonious acts against himself done by civilian staff toward the prison inmate and breaking his civil right of protection under the grouped together thought of what's right and wrong to do to a person in this region of the mental factor concepts that have become called or enacted as laws, written and professed by the people of this state of mind in the human faculties of existence, and in that existence to be condered and reasonable peaceful as possible upon this earth in this time and in this space available to this generation and forwarded to the next generations best interest for the future. rule 404 to prove a motive, opportunity, intent, preparation, plan, knowledge, identity, or absence of mistake of and for the actions is what the intent is of all other information in writing. the things like he knew as a physician and that it was criminally intended rather the current classification of law describes it in degree or not others do and that is the intent of proof of the culpability of the dentist action against me that was needed to defend from, plus it shows the abuse and further ideal of the ability to provoke physical abuse with no repercussions of actions due to social status, and to prove and defend from the states accommodation to social status as a prisoner as that fact will not be unable to be differentiated from, nor the known public perception and prejudices of the public as that is the reason provided to the state to use as enhancement of charges and it has been widely publicized to the public in public relations gimmicks, with t.v. shows like bad-boys-bad-boys what are you going to do when they come for you. if this was not true then there would by law not be movie ratings of r, pg, g, x, xx,xxx, nor would there be any devices for computer restrictions from the minds of people at all. rule 406 this rule is insinuated by the accordance of organizations of habit, and that is inn that those employed under a title of dr. phd. or any other college ideology rarely ask questions and simply follow so completely even to the point of committing murder and not asking one question at all to the legitimacy of an action by any of these institutional collegent giants of thought in our newly formed social structure with newly ruling doctrines that allow those ideas of thought to be the main governing thought processes of the common layperson, since practices of prayer and free thought toward a common sense derrection of mind and heart have been lead toward master/slave thought processing and liscencing for that is the ruling and the governing and opposing body toward individual thought and prayer as one defines murder and theft and other criminal acts, or otherwise there is no criminal act and it is only to the one that has the most money, power, or what ever else may give away to force of possession of something. to deny these thoughts of action is to deny a fair court. just as to deny things to a pro-per defendant that can be done by phone, computer, access to the c.d. recording evidence to be viewed and studied to be able to dictate questions, or programs for the computer that the state has as well for motions, and any other asked means that is commonly and easy to provide and is, or should isay was allowed by the old/now constitution of the state and the united states is to be bias and handy-cap with intent a defendant that has chosen to represent himself due to abuse of these rites by courts, and further proof of that is in the prison system its self. where a computer can reach all case law-yet no case law is available there, so in effect my ability to come back on an appeal is - well mute, cause as a fact the court requires case law to be quoted. these are the current habits of these types of organizations of the collegiance effects of habit even with in the courts, so one can see the need to expose those habits of both laypersons and collegiances in the medical field, as well as the blind trust in the uniforms of those that we place these trust in and the further need for our rites as individual people to defend ourselves from forces of any social structure that abuses a person based upon that form of thought and allotted collegiance power. i say allotted, cause as one knows time is of the essence and if your plowing a field all day-how would you have time to study a phenomanon of any type- rather social or physical. these habits are what is needed to be viewed by the jury, and brought to mind rather then simply one side of a minds eye for purpose and for a non-bias court, cause if not the jury will only be waying in on social proleces, rather then equality's that they have rather observed or not. also such habits as have been refered to in statements as an inmate is not to "demand" from me anything, and those habitual idealism's are relavent to social idea's of who-is-who on the latter-run in society. rule 608(b) this 156pg. is also extrinsic evidence-meaning not belonging to-,but it is in reference to the truthfulness of the character of the accusing party's mind, emotions, and spirit, as to the reality that that may even be the truth of the actions of this person, and the physical manifestation of that unclean spirit maybe the cyst that was found in his brain upon the mri's of this minor paper thin/millimeter thick/fish scale thin bone that the radiologist may have even misread, as to the reality as humanbeings are finite understanding of reality is based upon very few things, and one of them is historical written witnesses that have lasted threw the years, and one of them is the bible and that bible says that there are spirits that get inside people and have them do things, that are with purpose to cause problems inn the human society. so for these things to be left out the reality's of what happend are impossible to be brought completely to the

table and desigend for a conviction inform of biasness. even if that biasness is the ideal of relavency, it closes-off reasoning. reasoning is the motive and the reasoning or ability to prove a reasoned thought of applicability in a fashion to harm someone is why all the 156 pg. is needed for a jury to view and refer to as i would like to make a copy for each jurior to have as a guide threw the questioning and mind set of the actions of me the defendand, as the mind set of the defendent is whats under attack- not simply the new/fracture additive to the original a.r.s. 13-1204 and in that a.r.s 13-201 refers to the defendents need to defend and the others in that 156pg. refers to the states responceability to decide whats seriouse and not in law and applicable as a fessable law thats intent is not for slavery/revenue, but for the betterment of the community overall, and as i too am under the protection of the written law that means me as well as the community and that means not to impose harsh and/or unreasonable punishments for any deviation from law with or without purpose. to defend ones self from abuse is not in my oppinion a deviation of the law, and the jury should not be denied that oppinion as a public entity, or part of the public, nor should a public person be forced to unknowingly produce an unusual/cruel punishment for a writ that is knowingly abused in fashion of implication and harsh and even bias inn the actions of plea toward the ideal's of social implications. supported by the fact that all other prisoner upon prisner have been given 1 to 1 1/2yr pleas, so the piont is that even the state is bias inthier view of applying the law to people of degrees of social placements, so the jury needs to be informed to the maxium of conceptive ability's as possible for a person to percieve the full concept of one's actions to decide an independent verdict. rule 607 is applied by reason in the showing of the facts of the accusing party's actions of intent of character to defraud not only me by purgery, but the state and tax payers \$ and ultimately drive up workmans comp. insurance for the employers of the state, and the state it's self that is ultimately funded by the peoples tax \$. to prove he took advantage of a situation and that he continuedly did that after he assaulted me physicaly by shoving me off my feet. then using his job as a cover assaulted me agian with the prior perfessed on tape that he did not intend to do anything at all for treatment. these tapes will also have to be entered into evidence. any deviation from these facts or evidence being used is a bias and unfair court. if that is what you will in this world of yours then then i shall step out soley based upon your unfair ajudication. such as has been realavently seen in previoue judgements. how does one give a phone card and take away the computer. if the plea is pulled it is obviouse that your indenial of the written law and have become aliar and honoarable is not the actions of this or any court in this land, and that i'm tired of being accused of being a bad person and punished for being doing whats written to be fair, and for the betterment of us all. to do anything but accept the evidence into court is to break the law its self, and deny me all the rites that the court is suppost to stand for, and have simply moved toward master/slave social requirements(job/colleage/\$),and thats the attemp or end results of the stealth movement toward martial law,and if the court acclaims sovernty over the written law. well then there is no need to even play the game that is before the publics eye, as that is whats suppost to judge the actions of individual americans and by the laws in that 156 pg. document is exsplination, and being we are not yet a roman/collegian type government of citizenship by sword,(but it is by problabilty headed there completely).--to simply state a reasonable uncruel detainment for an action of those things allotted by the written(treaties) of the constitution,that even gives the claim of GOD INSPIRED by saying inn GOD we trust, and rather then one that is demonic in fashion----do you honestly know the truth in spirits ability's, cause why am i here in the 1st place with the idea's of the horror movie saw before me, but with time as the articles of torture (mental,is that not what isolation technics are for, and in that accomodation of thought is backed by the laws of mental property/intellecual property.)-- take this time from your life and your family's life, for a fist fight between you and another guy the same hieght/wieght....so some of these things are in the 156pg document/brief/statement/question guide as rule 607 should be allowed to give acount of the state of mind as that is whats on trial, or in question here, plus these are the tools for impeachment of creadability of the wittnesses agianst me that are lieing for some reason or the other,and some reasons are prejudices that are needed to be brought to light in some manner or form, and i exspect to bring other articles in the same fashion before the court to prove and rebuttle the will for saving jobs and stause and fears of loss by telling the truth of what happend, even if it is demonic in nature. rule 106 is also needed to be intrduced into this work of brief and this to be used as a hand-out guide for the juriors as aliger in questioning and reasoning of mind,and to prove culpability of those lieing about me to induce thier will of infliction upon me, and to prove by all recordings, written statements, pictures, and everything that has been biasly gatherd by state,and weeded threw, and also been gatherd by me in the future and now at this point. anything less is to imply the eye of the jury in one direction of the mind of those in the state's new ideal's of law, as the amendments to these constitutions have been made in a direction of thought of some sort other them that was done in the prior for of those govering article's, rather blindly or with the intent toward state convictions and human trafficking and denial of the citizens rights with that purpose intended--its the out come of the new articles of using "priors", that stand away from the u.s. constitutional law of double jepoordee, and in essence that what is being fought mentally and in court at trial also. it inn itself is

a move to bias towards the state, and that is what this brief/jury hand-out fights.

Court denies the use and for why?

denied by

div + div.

on

judge
why?

to prejudice the minds eye of the jury's and they
want to give me for a blackeye.

with the



look it up

no damage done at all but blackeye.

The court won't let me explain this to jury that's
the problem. Just like they ~~want to give me~~ ^{want inform the} jury
that is what they want to give me for
a blackeye.

Let me ask you this? Did GOD HATE David for his
and what is the principles. And
when we man want to a man ^{of} adultery.

as the pro-per defendant i am motioning the court for a larger then normal jury pool that is given on the basis as other attorneys here have motioned for and recieved, under the rules that no jourior can have a personal interest or investment- nor be related to the 3rd or 4th degree to a case, also the fact that the local newspaper has tainted the jury pool of this county biasly agianst people with prior felonys with articals that have been run, and one of those articles have been given to the court in a prior motion. the community here is very invested in the prison for finantual income and has many employees there, around 1,000, and if you calculate the #'s on that with the population, and those that the county allows to be in the jury pool, sence there is no felon's allowed in the jury pool, and most of the perspective juriors can't be related by the 3rd or 4th degree in anyway at all. that puts a problability of atleast one person in the jury that will be related in some fashion in the 3rd or 4th degree that would have atleast one personal interest in these proceedings of a jury. i've spoken to one c.o. that said he had _____ in this city alone, and that is a definet personal interest. even if the _____ from this facility or any other law enforcement agency was involved in the 3rd or 4th degree would have a viable interest in these matters by reason of finances, what else would they do for work? also they would have a prediscrbed prejudice by family relations: one don't do that to my family memebers. all kinds of things/reasons for a larger jury pool involving this case and evident facts as well of the things thats been done now in this very jail, and courts, and how many people are added to the list of juriors that are related to the 3rd and 4th degree to these folks. for instance the ideal that no prisoner is going to tell me what to do? the same ideal that was relavent in the issues at hand now. why would i person not be able to see the evidence agianst them--why did i have to fight for that?, and from the defence attorneys, why would the very guy that is suppost to be helping me get those things, turn around and help the d.a.'s office and sheriffs office deny me the simplest things that are allotted by the people's constitutional rites. theses simplest prejudice is why i need a larger jury then the 50 that are allotted to choose from, cause if these prejudices are in the very peoples offices that are suppost to be in thier clients best interest then common sence dirives one to face the prejudice from thier familys, and if attorneys like poloti, or what ever his new name is now are willing to break the law, then what hope is it for an unbias jury by the #'s of the 3rd and 4th relations away from the some 15,000 county wide judicially employed peoples that have some finantual/solcial/personal interest inn what ideas are good for the place or not based upon something other then the justice of the situtation that accured between the two grown men, one-on-one at the prison. not to mention the public relations ty's that bias the minds of the public threw the newspapers, and this priors report that happens to be published locally, and the county's new head hunting program of bias/malice prosocution toward prior offenders that was publically pronounced in these newspapers. honestly i find it ironic in a rural christian community, cause CHRIST CONFESSES AND TEACHES FORGIVENESS as well as the constitutions principles of no double jeapordy, and its ussially for the most minor offences. like a blackeye, or an automobil accident, when the police have a home address, or the person is so known in the community that thier relatives, or any other place can easily produce them for their warrents. this seems more like entrapment and inducing a situtation rather then prevention of a seriouse one and mutual respect for all citizens. one of more us agianst them, and that can not be the mind of a jury in a case with priors or any other, cause what good is even going to a trail with that mind set of a public oppinion, and relations to the 3rd or 4th degree--when they think us agianst them, and not we are the people of these united states of america---a free people and society, under ONE GOD AND HIS DIVERSITY AS we see HIM/IT INN HIS CREATION. the reason for a diverse jury and the need for a larger jury pool of maybe 200 by the #'s of the population of the county, and those that are eligible by county standerds for jury duty, and legally there can be felons upon that jury panel, but that is up to the county, as it is state-to-state for felons to vote, so every american has a voice as was the intention of the constitution inn its perpetruation for the freedom from slavery--and in that the laws conflict with slavery of action and freedom of people's of all religion/sex/color/creed/background/and most of all the TRUST OF AND INN GOD OF A nation under GOD. thats where the courts need comes in to protect those things, and thats where i am asking the court to protect, and showing the need for a jury pool that will not try me guilty for yestrday as the d.a. will alleage, but find and look at the truth of the actions of the current situtation, and not care about other idea's that are afoot in our society, and that may range from age and education and social standing as a non-bias jury pool to choose from. this is not some self conviction, but to defer from a known tactic of competetiveness in these fields of employment to confine a jury's perception to the desired perception of mind, and the additive i am going for is to liberate that with choice as best as possible in the area, if the change of venue is not granted for release from such prejudice's that are of concern.

Imagine the problems to a jury selection in _____ for a prison
inmate inscent or not, and what is the jury selection from in _____ like.
So how non-bias would a trial there be?