State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-327	
Judge:	No. 1024114828A
Complainant:	No. 1024114828B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge was biased and failed to review submitted pleadings and materials.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: March 5, 2014.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on March 5, 2014.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2013 327

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name	ludge's Name
what you belice names, dates, be attached al- conly, and keep	Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words eve the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may ong with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper a copy of the complaint for your records.
Every	time use go inflowt of he
never	Looked at authors we prought
in to	him . not once but check are your
uell	notecl pothery & ours was seen by Judge
Wew	ere in court first in and
me b	novent in 29 two letters from
neg gl	others - us judge did notice the
lette	is but usual even touch our
lette	- or even worked -
Ene	- since the begins nothing
has	been looked attitud judge.
In 2	as I many times and well told
my he	I and her friends that then are
appa	Irrends of the judges
1	D J J
Mary	yours all her Lamily 1000 involed
UN.	and then belong
to	so then do knows
all:	the judges. 0 50 me don't have
	hance it to wein.
	the fully due suppose to be
Jair	and beaus.
treo	ek vedio, avdio, and all recording &
You L	well see me are bling truthdul
1	

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2013 327

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Nam
what you believe the judge did that you believe names, dates, times, and places that will help	
Speaking of the Le	ites She brought in
The glidge himself	looked at the pertures
brought to cour	of that day, and the
me informed the	gudge that it didn't
eure. The judge	stated he will
continue on	
Judge Cocert	erause she wants a
change in ptch-1	p kids we don't have
look at anyther	ig use bring anyway
We have been goi	ng to Turker
She's don't have	one so ours said we
don't kneed one	