### State of Arizona

#### COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 14-012	
Judge:		No. 1076114853A
Complainant:		No. 1076114853B

#### ORDER

The complainant alleged a municipal court and judge improperly processed his traffic citation and tacked on illegal charges to the amount he was required to pay.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review legal issues. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: March 5, 2014.

## FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on March 5, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Confidential State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W.Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

1

#### Was given a speeding citation on or about

on

Means of citation was photo radar.

Approximately a few days later I went to municipal court to inquire what was the procedure of dealing with this matter. The window clerk ad viced me that I had a choice pay the court or pay a to a driving school, she asked me if the image in the photo was me or not? My answer was Iam guilty of the violation yes it is me in the photo. She than gave me some papers to fill out and find a school in the internet, and when I completed the course that would be the end of it.

On or aboutI went tocourt to get an extension due to some financialproblems I was going through. They gave me aextension ofstart making payments ofa month and ending. Which makes itapproximately paying off on approximately onpay off

On I went again to obtain another extension due to money problems. On this particular day the window clerk informed that I could not attend driving school I had to pay the court because I had pleaded guilty therefore was denied a extension payment plan. I question the clerk where did she get that I was paying the court instead going to driving school course, Her answer was you stated that your were guilty ,my answer of being guilty that I did speed and the image of photo was me that made me guilty not stating that I was paying court..

The following occurrences have some contradictions on behave of and in

1.1 did not complete driver defensive course before

2.1 did not pay court fine of dollars before date line

3.1 failed to appear in traffic court (never mentioned it or date of it) see MVD document 4.In addition tack extra charges of on my fine for what unknown why.documentation proves that this is not the first time.

5.I never saw or went before the judge never, all he did was just make notes on my Petition.

6.In exhibit G it states that I have lays to make payment in full from the date of

.But he than submits paper work for suspension of license before the days are up to Motor Vehicle Department.

7.So the three inconsistencies are very uncertain just what the court is doing in court.

# 8014 012

Conclusion: As a

I made some inquiries on

and founded out that he is a person that makes his own rules just because he is the judge there. The following violation that has made are he

his own use he than got caught stated that he was going to pay it back.e.g. Bought tires for his personal car,took a cat to a veterinarian.The aforementioned was obtain from a

named

l know you cannot reverse his decision in this matter but just informing you of the caliber of Judge is running the show in court.

I also had hire a attorney but he could not get in getting a motion, instead his firm were denied two or three times for a motion, judge states in one of his notes this matter has been heard therefore motion denied.

I will persue this matter in collecting the illegal money that was charge to me .Judge continues to overcharge people when citation are given.I firmly believe in

fair justice for everyone, this matter is un-call for ...

The furthermore there is thousands of dollars that need to be reimbursed from City Court that were over charge in the same scenario as my,

1. Again the following infraction that

hat imposed on me are;

2. Was coarse in paying for something that has nothing to do with traffic,(not in my case nowhere)

3.Denied me to attend defensive driving school.

4.He violated his rule in the time frame he gave in paying the for the my school, instead submitted premature paper work for driver license to be suspended with motor vehicle department.

And last ,denied my attorneys three times in submitting a motion .He (judge)stated that he had heard this case several times and did not wanted to hear it.and that was final.