State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Co	mplaint 14-032
Judge:	No. 1062013915A
Complainant:	No. 1062013915B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a pro tem justice of the peace had given preferential treatment to the plaintiff's lawyer.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: April 2, 2014.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 2, 2014.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014 032

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
what you believe the judge names, dates, times, and pl	n or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the ces that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may s (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper complaint for your records.
from the Plaintiff operated in Arizona vactivity as	my wife and I were served with a summons which is a limited company which has with NO Legal Authority to transact business in the State of Arizona including bringing his State Courts pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 32-1055(A) and other
is operating illegal. I have been been been been been been been be	I have high lighted the fact that this company has no license and ave attached cease and disisnit case records from the Arizona institution. I have brought to the attention of the presiding Judge aintiff's used in there summons has another company's name on ithe defendants.
defendants and tried the judges attention the alleged bill of sale and	udges attention that the plaintiff's have switched plaintiff's on the o get a settlement in another company's name. I have brought to at there is about one years time gap in between the date of the I the date the bill of sale was signed. I have brought to the Judges at there attorney are guilty of the Doctrine of Unclean hands.
as i altered documents su attention that the plain before they are notify	itted a settlement documents listing a different out of state client in an attempt to settle this case and possible fraudulent and the as the bill of sale and the affidavit. I have brought to the judges stiff's attorney are filing documents as much as a month in advanceing the defendants. As an example I provided a copy of the request gment against my wife which is typed dated the
Once I found out abo original copy. And the was dated	It the request for default I went to the court and requested the topy which is tittled application and affidavit for entry of Default. The plaintiff's never notified us of that application.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014 032

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Ju	dge's Name:	
Instructions: Use this form of what you believe the judge did names, dates, times, and places be attached along with copies (nonly, and keep a copy of the con	I that you believe constitu s that will help the commis not originals) of relevant co	tes judicial misconduct. Be s ssion understand your concer	specific and list all of the ms. Additional pages may
In this latest incident a he for the of case that should not hav	. This would have	been the first hearing	
However the plaintiff's su typed dated the of disadvantage which is od of appearing in court.	. The pla	aintiff's were claiming e	conomical
We got the plaintiff's mot we received notification of was signed by a Judge of a period the plain and mailed before we co	of approval of the pla on the of ntiff's filed a motion a	and mailed the and it was answered by	same day. So within a judge and signed
This Judge has a past we is the out of state unlicer defendants motions base and the past working rela this judge.	nsed ed on the speed in wh	Because of the dela nich the plaintiff's motion	y in answering the ons are answered
On I fil has happen to this date. only ones that have to m unlicensed agency. I do to be ignoring obvious ru	The nearing is so iss work and be income not believe we can re	nvenienced by a frivolo ceive a fair hearing an	defendants are the ously lawsuit by an
RULE 2.2. Impartiality ar A judge shall uphold fairly and impartially. RULE 2.3. Bias, Prejudic (A) A judge shall perform without bias or prejudice	l and apply the law, a ce, and Harassment n the duties of judicial	nd shall perform all du	-