

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-060

Judge:	No. 1106714897A
Complainant:	No. 1106714897B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge made an improper ruling that failed to take into account certain religious doctrines.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: March 26, 2014.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on March 26, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014 060

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: _____ **Judge's name:** _____ **Date:** _____

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

I will site that the _____ Court cannot rule in matters of Doctrine. Included is one of the _____ Court cases. Also included is information from paster at _____ Chruch of _____ in _____ in his court case.

Also included is the statements from orthodox theologians, with Phd's academic background.

I would like to state that this _____ Court Decision was violated in Judge _____ decision.

Our doctrine and statements regarding our chruch dogma and doctrine was clearly presented.

In this case, since Judas Iscariot seem like such a nice guy at first, evidence 3 years later is just null and void!

If the Bible says and our church scholars agree that what the Bible says

"by their fruits we shall know them" Which mean at first by their language and action

it seems like the real deal, but when they are living contrary to God's Word, we are called to action

"if you see your brother sin, go to him alone, and talk to him, if he does not listen, take

one or two others, that every word might be established. If he hears you and repents you have

gained a brother, if not, go tell the whole church, and consider him a PAGAN.

My Southern Baptist Dogma and belief system was judged and not _____

Even apart from my faith, in this world how many did we trust at first, only to be betrayed later?

That is what FRUAD is, this case is a perfect example, with many accounts of anti-christian

behavior and thousands and thousands of dollars spent in Anti-Christian beliefs and works

hiding these actions from me.

Jesus said, of Judas Iscariot, he was the son of perdition and a thief from the beginning. But the

other apostles never saw it. Now we have an overriding decision to the Supreme

Court decision that, well, if it did not get noticed right away, then I can make my own decision

on religious thought and dogma. Yep, Judas got set free, The Judge did not let

our own doctrine judge rightfully. That is wny I believe it goes against _____ Court Decision.

Thanks you for your time and consideration.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)