

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 14-065

---

Judge:	No. 1000114902A
Complainant:	No. 1000114902B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged that a pro tem justice of the peace granted him a hearing, but he did not receive timely notice of it.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: April 2, 2014.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 2, 2014.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

**Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct**

1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229

Phoenix, AZ 85007

(602) 452-3200

To Whom It May Concern:

Please find enclosed a matter in which I request a review of the facts. In response to a request for a telephone hearing on a Garnishment of Wages decision, I received the enclosed with a Hearing Date of . Please make note that the envelope is postmarked on two days after the actual hearing date.

I was not a resident of the State of Arizona or County at the time of the Judgment, I was never served any hearing papers or legal documents to appear and defend myself, nor was I notified by any means of a hearing, lawsuit, or judgment against me until the Writ of Garnishment arrived at my residence here in sent by an attorney in AZ.

I relocated to on and have been a resident here ever since that date.

I respectfully request a review of this matter post haste to protect my civil rights and those of jurisdictional abuse.

Sincerely,

To Whom It May Concern:

In response to my request for a hearing, I was provided a court date of \_\_\_\_\_ First and foremost, I have not been a resident of the State of Arizona, or \_\_\_\_\_ County since \_\_\_\_\_. Secondly, I respectfully requested a telephone hearing as I do not have the means to travel to Arizona at this time. Thirdly, as you can see from the enclosed, the Hearing Notice was mailed out after the hearing date.

I now demand a telephone hearing at the court's earliest available date and demand no further delay in the court's response pursuant to AZ procedural law. I find this disrespectful and out of line with the court's purpose and intent.

Copy of this letter has been provided to the AZ Commission on Judicial Conduct, as well as the AZ State Attorney General's Office for review.

CC: AZ Commission on Judicial Conduct  
AZ Attorney General's Office