### State of Arizona

## COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-073	
Judge:	No. 1079214718A
Complainant:	No. 1079214718B

#### **ORDER**

The complainant alleged that a pro tem justice of the peace should have recused himself after the complainant filed a judicial misconduct complaint.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. A judge is not required to automatically recuse himself when a litigant files a complaint against him with the commission. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: April 2, 2014.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 2, 2014.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

## FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2914 073

# COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name.
what you believe the judge did that you believe con names, dates, times, and places that will help the co	same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words stitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the mmission understand your concerns. Additional pages may ant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper is.
	on the motion I submitted for the dismissal of
the case. I have filed a complaint against	
. The Judge should have excu	sed himself/herself from ruling on this case
due to conflict of interest. I don't believe ti	nis Judge can be impartial and fair in the ruling
	him/her. I have informed your Honors that this
, ,	en he/she granted the plaintiff's summary
	perior Court on appeal, from the beginning and
	reated a more unfair ruling situation. I filed the
motion on , Plaintiff filed a response	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ruling were issued within 2 or 3 days from the
	ed for no reason until the presiding Judge was
not available on and the case was gi	ven to the pro tem judge. This indicates a sign
of unfair practices and a favoritism toward	s the Plaintiff/Plaintiff's attorney.