

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 14-090

---

Judge:	No. 1570014919
Complainant:	No. 1570014919

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge was biased, rude, and failed to address fraud and misdeeds by opposing counsel and the court's investigator.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: May 14, 2014.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on May 14, 2014.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85017

Subject:

Dear Commission:

I have compiled a set of memorandums and accompanying documentation including court transcriptions, letters, memorandums, etc. pertaining to the petition of guardian and conservator of my little mental handicapped brother,

Because of a series of unfortunate circumstances, my brother was dumped into the foster system of the State of Arizona, through the DDD in AZ in . As a result of a "vendor call notice" County picked him up as a client. All of this was unknown to me at the time. County Public Fiduciary and the attorney for same, initiated an immediate quest to make him a ward of the State through deception, fraud and perjury.

I found out about this approximately months later, and on I filed a petition as successor guardian of . There was an evidentiary hearing on a hearing for the motion on which was denied. Investigator filed for investigator's fees after she threatened me with "running up the bill" to approximately which she did. That was awarded to investigator. My attorney attempted to complete a "Change of Venue" for the benefit of my brother and because of the bias in this case. I was called an "awful woman" by as well as other names. My current attorney, Bryan Eastin, filed a petition for "Change of Venue" on denied the petition without an evidentiary hearing.

I supplied this information as to the best of my ability and sworn as to its truthfulness.

Thank you for your review.

attach.

State of Arizona, County of \_\_\_\_\_, ss.  
On this the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_, before me,  
\_\_\_\_\_  
the person whose name is subscribed to the within instrument  
and acknowledged that he/she executed the same for the purpose  
therein contained. In witness whereof, I hereunto set my  
hand and official seal.

State of Arizona, County of \_\_\_\_\_, ss.  
On this the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_, before me,  
\_\_\_\_\_ he undersigned officer, personally appeared  
\_\_\_\_\_ known to me (or satisfactorily proven) to be  
the person whose name is subscribed to the within instrument  
and acknowledged that he/she executed the same for the purpose  
therein contained. In witness whereof, I hereunto set my  
hand and official seal.

Witnesses:

**Evidentiary Hearing of**

**Hearing on**

Arizona, Attorney

**Hearing on**

**Hearing on**  
No hearing, as required by law

Others available as interested or contained within documentation.



naming Mrs. as payee and residence of the ward in the State of Arizona as of  
 See also the list of sources available to the public fiduciary which include: DDD Support Coordinator; ALTCS enrollment, and SOCIAL SECURITY. Any one of those would have identified the appropriate contact information for any and all family members. See also the AHCCS identification card for ward. See also the Arizona, Police Department report, identifying the contact information for the individuals that says he could not identify. In her letter to dated neice of the ward, states that she filed two reports to the in Arizona, in and was the person responsible for arranging for the placement of the ward with the DDD at the Arizona office in approximately three months before the ward was plucked off the vendor list and placed in has copies of all those records as maintained by the DDD APS representative, Arizona There is no way could have named as There is no way could have dumped off at the DDD office in Az with any identification in the name of (per the Police Department). the investigator, claimed in her report that blood relationship unknown, who has called Mr. at the foster home twice.” How is a person who is designated as nephew, but the blood relationship unknown, be called a nephew if the relationship is unknown? How else could he be a nephew? Also, has telephoned twice at the foster home, not once but twice, having obtained the telephone number from the DDD representative, Yet, said he could not locate the nephew known as How did “ get this information as to location of home, tel. number, etc. of the foster family other than from the Pub/Fic or the Attorney for the Pub/Fid? I alledge that continued a correspondence with the niece, Mrs. from that time of my petition forward and assisted and encouraged her leadership in the organization of three defamatory letters written against me, as objections to a successor petition. Yet, maintains he could not ascertain her location at the time of the initial petition of the public fiduciary a year earlier. had cervical cancer the and was not initially able to coordinate the activities of this campaign of lies until after the finish of her chemotherapy and simultaneous radiation threatment, which was The reason kept changing the court dates was in order that finish her chem/radiation and thus be in a physical condition to conduct the project of the fraudulent letters. This campaign in changing the court date in a fraudulent manner and his collaboration with will be discussed in another complaint against Neither at this hearing nor the hearing in were my attorney or myself able to cross-exam or question these objections. FRAUD AND VIOLATION OF THE LAW. The FRAUD AND PERJURY ABOUNDS SUBSTANTIALY.

5. In addition to these paper trails, there is the Internet. It is impossible not to know of the relatives who had priority for placement of this ward. Premediated FRAUD, false documentation submitted by and VIOLATION of numerous laws are an abomination of the judicial system of any civilized state. It is THE RESPONSIBILITY OF PRESIDING JUDGE, AND IN THIS CASE IT WAS TO RECOGNIZE THE INCONSISTENCIES AND VIOLATIONS OF THE LAWS THAT HE SUPPOSEDLY SHOULD HAVE KNOWN AND ADHERED TO IN AN EFFORT TO ENDORSE AND MAINTAIN the honesty and integrity of the court of the State of Arizona. He clearly failed to uphold the oath of the office to which he has been duly appointed and elected.

6. The court appointed independent counsel for the ward, an attorney to represent the ward in these proceedings, and an investigator to interview the ward. The court appointed his primary care physician to file a written report in compliance with A.R.S. 14-5303. It is and has been noted that the report of the physician is confidential however, the records show the physician reports that suffers from Mental Retardation. That is a well-known and documented fact.. However, there is no record of

appointment or case notes from the Social Worker/Case Worker which should be part of the appointment procedure. Additional misconduct complaints will be filed in the matter of the attorney and the investigator in separate documents. This fraudulent and illegal appointment of the Public Fiduciary of \_\_\_\_\_ County, Arizona to the position of Guardian and Conservator for the ward, \_\_\_\_\_ was finalized and completed approximately \_\_\_\_\_ This was not completed in accordance with the laws of the State of Arizona as noted

7.. I discovered, in \_\_\_\_\_, that my little brother, whom I believed to be and had been told, was living with his brother and being supervised by the APS in \_\_\_\_\_; Az (which did not happen) and who had been dumped off in \_\_\_\_\_ AZ, approximately \_\_\_\_\_, like a dog being taken to the humane society, with a back-pack. Notice, dogs are dumped off at the “humane” society but not people, I prepared a petition for successor Guardianship/Conservatorship, acting as my own attorney, in accordance with the model paperwork for \_\_\_\_\_ County that I found on the internet and with the assistance of an attorney related by marriage to my cousin. I filed the petition in \_\_\_\_\_ County, AZ on \_\_\_\_\_ (see attached) The clerk at the counter pulled the file, read the petition and offered assistance to my questions. She said that she knew the Judge would overturn his previous decision in this case “because a mistake had been made in the declaration that no relatives were able to be found”. She went on to explain that this happened a lot (she should know) and that the Judge, who was currently on vacation, would schedule a non-appearance hearing and surely reverse the decision. She quoted the law that said that if a matter (evidence, etc.) was presented within \_\_\_\_\_ months of the original matter (and it was within \_\_\_\_\_ months) that it could be heard under that original petition. She did not act alone. We (\_\_\_\_\_ were at that window for more than \_\_\_\_\_ while the Clerk of the \_\_\_\_\_ Court and the staff reviewed this mater to determine whether or not and how my petition would be filed. It was filed under the number of the original petition and I was quoted a fee for the filing and I paid it. (See attached) She stated that my petition would probably be granted (“it happens all the time”) and I could go get my brother. I UNDERSTAND THAT SHE VIOLATED THE BOUNDARIES OF HER POSITION AND THAT SHE WAS PROBABLY FIRED FOR HER COMMENTS. However, she acted in “good faith” in accordance within the performance of her daily job and was attempting to assist with my questions and acted only after she has conferred extensively with staff in the office, her supervisors, the Clerk of the Court, and her experiences in the performance of her job. She described this as a routine matter, simple to fix. It is my belief that this clerk performed her duties according to the outline of Rule 2.5 which says that (A) A JUDICIAL EMPLOYEESHALL PERFORM COURT DUTIES COMPETENTLY, DILIGENTLY, AND PROMPTLY. Apparently this rule was adhered to by only her. Why then did such a routine matter not happen as she expected it would? Why then has every petition, motion and the wishes of my brother and myself and the rights granted to us under the law be denied?

\_\_\_\_\_ assigned the services of \_\_\_\_\_ as a private investigator in this case.

Part I ended.

**IN THE MATTER OF ARIZONA CODE OF JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION 1-303; CODE  
FOR CONDUCT FOR JUDICIAL EMPLOYEES Administrative Order No.  
JUDGE OF THE COURT, COUNTY, ARIZONA**

Re: Petition for appointment of Guardian/Conservator for A Protected Person  
No. , dated . Evidentiary hearing

Attention Commission

**PART II**

1. FRAUD, VIOLATION I filed my petition in Court, County, AZ for the successor guardian/conservatorship of my brother, a handicapped/protected person on I filed this petition approximately months after an original petition had been filed by the Public of County, in , in which neither I nor any other family member had been notified.

The following is the response to that filing:

*THE COURT TREATS THE PETITION OF AS A PETITION  
TO REMOVE THE PUBLIC AS GUARDIAN AND CONSERVATOR AND TO APPOINT  
PETITIONER AS SUCCESSOR GUARDIAN AND CONSERVATOR PURSUANT TO A.R.S. 14-5415  
AND 14-5307.*

*IT APPEARS THERE BE OTHER PERSONS ENTITLED TO EQUAL PRIORITY FOR  
APPOINTMENT. A.R.S. 14-5311 A.R.S. 14-5410* Why were these Rules of the Law ignored by the in the petition filed by Attorney for the Pub/Fid and the Pub/Fid in Why was this petition approved in by in direct violation of the law. THIS IS FRAUD AND VIOLATION OF THE LAW RULE. 1.1 NON-COMPLIANCE OF THE LAW.

The order further states:

*THE COURT WILL CONSIDER THE PETITION FOR APPOINTMENT OF SUCCESSOR  
GUARDIAN AND CONSERVATOR AFTER NOTICE TO THE PUBLIC AND PERSONS  
ENTITLED TO EQUAL OR GREATER PRIORITY. NOTICE SHALL BE IN CONFORMITY TO A.R.S.  
14-401. Petitioner, contact at Court  
Administration to obtain a date and time for hearing to be included in the notice.*

Why is the court not considering the Rules of the Law in Favor of the Public Fiduciary but was ignored previously? VIOLATION OF RULE 1.1 NON-COMPLIANCE OF THE LAW, VIOLATION OF RULE 2.3 Bias, Prejudice, and Harassment

A.R.S. 14-1401 Notice and time of giving. Section A, readable as printed. Section B. addresses the Court, which is It says: *The Court for good cause shown provide for a different method or time of giving notice for any hearing. Section C. Proof of the giving of notice shall be made at or before the hearing and filed in the proceeding.*

did not do this. He ignored it. Why did completely ignore this rule? He was provided the names of three relatives, and overlooked/ignored the fact that they had not been notified of this hearing. Common sense and education of elementary school children enables for the location of almost anyone in the world via the Internet and ignored this. VIOLATION OF THE LAW, RULE 1.1.

C. Proof of the giving of notice shall be made at or before the hearing and filed in the proceeding.

Why did ignore section, A. B. and C, of 14-1401, and VIOLATION OF THE LAW, 14-1401 (C)

Changes in court dates and violations of the law committed by  
complaint against

will be presented in the

2. After the numerous changes there was an evidentiary hearing scheduled for At  
the beginning of the hearing, a man, later learned by me to be Attorney for the Public  
caught my attention and hand me a rolled up handful of papers. There were three letters  
from the following people that had been faxed to him on entered into the court  
record on and which he was just now providing to me on the morning of  
. Inasmuch as I was the acting attorney for this petition, violated the law in not  
providing these letters to me earlier. I will further address this in my complaint against him. These  
were letters of objection to my petition from the following. Some limited comments about these letters  
are included. If these letters were valid and acceptable under the law, paid for and the court complied  
with the law in allowing cross-exam, they sill contain no evidence. , they contain no evidence. Letters  
from and are exclusive hearsay. The letter from contains no truth  
and is vindictive. I would like to know how they can present the written evidence about me,  
especially about my health when they had not seen me nor talked to me for and yrs. . I just  
recently painted the entire outside of my house and last summer, , finished painting the inside of  
my house. The letter from my contain no evidence and is subject to motive. They are all  
letters of nonsense. I can not have the violence, and meanness that my and her r  
generates. My has problems. I am in frequent contact with my other But this is all  
nonsense and in VIOLATION OF THE LAW. acceptance of these letters, the examination of  
them and the subsequent ruling based on them is unacceptable. IT IS UNLAWFUL, IT IS FRAUD, IT  
IS INCOMPETENT OF

Mrs. sister of petitioner, address given as that of her

I have only seen my sister, three times since She has not been in my home since  
and was never in my home during the time I had care and custody of I last saw her at  
her . I can't put up with her behavior not ever again. Unfortunately I have  
had enough, no more. She has no knowledge of any activity in my home and supposition as offered by  
her is just that, supposition. Her testimony is all heresay and supposition. However, apparently that  
gives the right to scream at me, call me names, generate insults at me and villify me. She fails to  
mention that she is estranged from her (whom I am not) and even sued her  
in court. My sister, lost.

niece of and

I have only seen my niece, perhaps times since She has not been in my home  
since and was never in my home during the time I had care and custody of from  
. She has no knowledge of any activity in my home and testimony by her is based on heresay and  
malice. She provided an extremely abusive foster care home for children from and the  
children were taken away from her by the State I did not testify against her but we  
argued about her behavior when I was on a visit in to her home. She sued the State of  
which cost her She continues to claim she won. However, she did not get the license back.  
She then moved to and applied for a foster care home license. She put me down as a  
reference and when contacted I referred the State of to She had lied on her  
license request that she had never had a license prior to this. She was severely abused by her mother as  
a child (as was and she is extremely vindictive, and mean to an unbelievable extreme. I  
moved to Arizona and brought with me to get away from her influence. It did not work. I

would not leave anything or anybody in my care.

my , lived with me briefly from I kicked him out of my house two times for over a year each time, during that period. He is an alcoholic and a participant in other drug use. He is a long-distance truck driver (as he says) and was not a witness to any abuse of is academically challenged. He has some reading ability but almost no writing ability. He has never written a letter in his life. However, that is a moot point since he signed the letter. He has lied extensively about me, has stolen money and personal items from me and from my house for more than

He has been very controlling of me and has been physically abusive to me. If he was so concerned about where is the evidence he testifies to. Did he call the doctor, the police, a family member? Did he take pictures? What did he do and what evidence did he have? There is none.

At the beginning of the evidentiary hearing in court on appeared very confused as to why we were all there. He asked if this was the matter of the yearly report. He then asked "ARE THESE THE LETTERS WE HAVE DISCUSSED EVERY DAY FOR THE LAST TWO WEEKS?" VIOLATION OF RULE 2.6 (I) said "yes". knew he was lying. and discussed the content of these letters outside of my presence for approximately days and without me having any knowledge of them. said he checked with the clerk right before the hearing started and she told him they had not been paid for. It is in the minutes. His pursual of the questioning and ruling on these letters are a VIOLATION OF THE LAW. He knew they were inadmissable and he ignored the law and grilled me on them. He ignored court procedure. What laws does he obey? then asked if I had filed a petition. I answered yes. He yelled at me and asked, "Where is it?" "Did you file it with the clerk?" I answered yes. I paid ..... he kept cutting me off whenever I attempted to answer his questions. VIOLATION OF RULE 2.6 (I) and discussed the content of these letters outside of my presence, letters to which I have no knowledge nor information. appeared to be extremely confused about this case and appeared to appeal to to provide information as to the purpose of the case. I would characterize this as to the fact that is in charge of court. I charge and with VIOLATION OF RULE 2.9. continued to be hostile to me during this hearing. He continued to cut off any and all answers to questions that I attempted to answer. I charge with VIOLATION of Rule 2.8 Professionalism. He was hostile, angry, impatient, rude, condescending, demanding and insulting. What was my crime? I was only trying to get custody of my and take home. VIOLATION OF RULE 1.2. acted inappropriately

1. A Minute Entry dated indicates that these letters objecting to the appointment of as guardian for were filed with the court on
2. It has come to the Court's attention that required filing fee was not paid by the parties.
3. The Court will allow the parties to submit payment in the amount of for each objection to be filed. If no filing fee is received, the Court will consider the objections withdrawn.

If these letters had come to the Court's attention, why was there confusion about the acceptance of them as evidence. continued to treat these as valid testimony under the law in this hearing and also in the hearing on VIOLATION OF LAW, RULE 1.1. based his denial of my petition on these letters. He is a FRAUD.

I continued to check on the legality of the information and the acceptance of these letters inasmuch as they were the basis for so much violence and hostility directed toward me and the fact that the case was

denied based on these letters. I checked with the clerk's office on [redacted] There have never been any receipts generated in the amount of [redacted] for any objections filed in [redacted]

We were in the courthouse at the window of the clerk's offices approximately [redacted] on [redacted] and there was screaming, fighting, yelling and finally the doors to [redacted] courtroom were seemingly attacked and appeared to be ripped from the walls as people were ejected from the courtroom by [redacted] screaming. There was a loud screaming confrontation then by the posted security toward the 2-3 people ejected from the court. This is an example of the inappropriate behavior and unprofessionalism that is generated from that court.

End of Part II

**IN THE MATTER OF ARIZONA CODE OF JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION 1-303;  
CODE FOR CONDUCT FOR JUDICIAL EMPLOYEES Administrative Order No.  
JUDGE OF THE COURT, COUNTY, ARIZONA**

To: Commission on Judicial Misconduct, Arizona

Re: Petition for appointment of Guardian/Conservator for A Protected Person No.  
1, dated Hearing of Successor Petition,

1. The hearing for my petition was scheduled for on but we were notified that it would be delayed about minutes for hearings for three convicts. During this " " minutes which lasted hour, interviewed which I understood was "post-hearing orders" (?). was so polite, courteous and friendly to these men who were in orange jumpsuits and chains. He inquired as to their well-being, places of residence, mail delivery, potential work expectations, previous work experience, education and other mundane bits of information.

2. My hearing started about was immediately hostile, unfriendly and quickly launched into rages of fits, scowls, and absurd physical behavior all meant to intimidate and demean me. Most of this exchange was delivered by him as red-faced screaming rages, exaggerated scowls, voice laced with contempt, insults, etc. I have never experienced anything like this. I am and have been described as a quiet, rather introverted person. Some limited exchanges are as follows as accurately as I can relay:

a. My attorney asked what calls me. He calls me Mom, or Mommy, sometimes refers to me as sister.

b. My attorney asked me to describe I said he was very loving, kind, considerate person with a friendly and gregarious personality. He especially loved animals, loved going to the zoo, and loved babies of all kinds. I said he was a hard worker, was very intelligent and constantly listened to music. He does have some physical and mental limitations and can not articulate in the same manner as non-handicapped people but understands everything he sees and hears, has a fantastic memory, listens to and understands current news and world events. He loves to look at newspapers and is able to understand their content. I said it is documented that he can not read but on some occasions he can read and understand some printed words as he spots them." (I love him so much and am in such a place of despair over his condition.) Before I could finish stretched up off of his bench, leaned way out and over the bench, turned his head toward me as I was looking straight at my attorney and shouted, "I DON'T BELIEVE A WORD YOU HAVE SAID." I clearly interpreted that he implied that I had lied. My attorney then finished his exam. This is unethical, unprofessional, rude, uncivilized, vile and prejudiced. VIOLATION OF CODE OF CONDUCT Rule 2.8. No one deserves this kind of treatment. I remain shocked at the vile manner in which he screamed at me.

3. The cross exam of is approximated as follows:

1.How much money does your brother receive from SSI monthly (refers to letter of Mrs. and money issues- I am only doing this because I want money)

2.Some question ? about a comment of the Police re: APS in I was and am confused about a telephone conversation with this woman in the police report almost years ago and this woman kept screaming at me. berated me, yelling over and over, never letting me answer a question. Then he accused me of not getting along with her.....

4. At one point sometime in his exam he asked how old my aunt was? SEVERE VIOLATION OF RULE 2.3, BIAS, PREJUDICE, AND RULE 2.8 PROFESSIONALISM. I had already disclosed her age and other personal information about her in my petition of Discrimination based on age. She is a person of very sound mind. He dismissed her after my attorney had called her to the stand. She and my mother were very close and she had extensive contact with and our family most of our lives. To dismiss her he said, "I have no questions of this witness". Yet has now said that I should have brought forth this evidence when the original petition of was submitted.

5. Then he berated me, yelling, seemingly out of control about the comment of the police regarding this woman from the APS. (she is no longer there and no information is known as to her present location) We were told "she left some time ago". I could only reply there had to be some mistake. Then screamed and accused me of calling the police liars and said "You can't get along with the Police." The police in this incident said that was not true.

6. then asked if he understood that I was unhappy with the care home in I answered that I would not put a rat or even a dog in their care. They are killing

7. Then he asked who the person from the Pub/Fid office was in attendance at the Mental Health Clinic in in I answered, Maybe Then started screaming at me yelling about calling (Caretaker of the care home) a liar (yes, he was lying). I tried to explain in the mental health appointment what Dr. said about BP problems. All I had asked at the clinic and also to was that Blood Pressure was out and control and PCP could not properly treat it without knowing what the medical health clinic was prescribing. I have extra documentation in the form of notes and memorandums for record with the house staff about how well was not doing. (They were threatened with termination from their jobs by if they did not lie as he told them to. is money to this organization.) The mental health evaluator had screamed and yelled at me and stormed from the office. All I asked was that he send the medical information to the PCP. HAD RAISED HIMSELF UP SLIGHTLY FROM HIS CHAIR, LEANED AS FAR AS HE COULD OVER THE COUNTER TO SCREAM, CALLING ME A LIAR RELENTLESSLY, HIS FACE WAS RED. HE ALSO ACCUSED ME OF NOT GETTING ALONG WITH THEM.

8. asked if I was estranged from my (letter of Mrs. Then said **YOU CAN'T GET ALONG WITH ANYBODY.** This is a VIOLATION RULE 2.3, A SLUR, among others..

9. Then again started ranting and raving about me not getting along with the people there, my lying about high blood pressure and me calling a Liar. was lying. Then started this screaming rage. **HE ACCUSED ME IN HIS SCREAMING, RED-FACED MANNER THAT I COULD NOT GET ALONG WITH THE DOCTOR.** He said, **"I HAVE GONE OVER EVERY SINGLE PAGE OF MEDICAL REPORTS AND THERE IS NOT ONE SINGLE MENTION OF ANY PROBLEM, QUESTION OR INDICATIONS WITH HIGH BLOOD PRESSURE. YOU ARE LYING AND MAKING ALL OF THIS UP..... and then in relation to the medical evaluation as to diagnosis of WHY ARE YOU TELLING THE DOCTOR THAT HAD CANCER WHEN HE DID NOT.....SCREAM, SCREAM, SCREAM...ETC. ETC.** was referred to see an oncologist by his PCP, Dr. I took to see Dr. There were extensive blood tests during the next year that used up all my vacation leave, sick time and family-medical leave. The oncologist also ordered a bone marrow test which was a core drill of the right hip. How could I have made that up. I now suggest

that should have ordered those medical records before calling me a liar. The present location of Dr. is unknown, however, his oncologist is alive and well and practicing cancer treatment as indicated on his business card, in . Then the screaming saying "YOU CAN'T GET ALONG WITH ANYONE". This is definitely a violation of Rule 2.1, Rule 2.2, Rule 2.3, Rule 2.8, inappropriate beyond comprehension. The caseworker supplied the file with her written evaluation of the doctor's visit. One comment is especially notable. She said that the caregiver employee had brought along the two weekly BP check on . That is a lie. The doctor asked him about it and was very upset that he had not brought it. She came over to me, came right up to my face and said she could not control blood pressure without the knowledge of what the mental health clinic was giving him. Why didn't the County Pub/FID see that that was done?. Is it being supplied now?

See attached medical records which I was allowed to have and note comments as copied here from my visit to PCP on I visited the mental health clinic about weeks later. These are just the comments for months for the problems with High Blood Pressure.

*now needs to go to ER for Systolic (top number) BP less than also had his blood drawn at this appointment for labs regarding Blood Pressure medication. Diagnosis Don't take to ER unless BP*

*lab tests from show decreased Kidney Function Also changed Blood Pressure checks to once daily in the AM*

*Take BP once weekly and check RTC for BP check in mo. Do not call for an particular BPS until we get this down. Needs to stop and send script for*

*Take BP once weekly and RTC for bp check in mo. Do not call for any particular BPS until we get this down.*

*Pts caregiver states that since being seen bp has been Tuesday on wed. for today. But even before last apt bp was still on the*

*Reason: pt caregiver is calling because he wants clarification as to why pt has to call when BP is over and also how often does pt call in and why the concern BP once daily in AM call if BP*

*1 Reason for visit: Follow up Re: BP Meds Dr. thinks is over medicated regarding his blood pressure. She discontinued and prescribed She wants to see him again at 1 month. Diagnosis, Hypertension' over treated. Take Bps weekly and bring him in mo. BMP today RTC mo*

*No changes, Follow up "BP" check in weeks*

*Labs were taken again today and will be taken again next week. Also need to check BP daily for now and call PCP if above for or more days. Hypertension – off all meds now. Take BP once daily for next days. Call if consistently over days in a row or more*

*1 My visit to doctor with Caretaker staff and Case worker from Pub Fid. Bronchitis. The caretaker staff was supposed to bring the daily BP log but forgot it. lied when she*

*said brought in the daily log. He said, "Oh, I forgot. I explained everything I knew or could remember about medical history to doctor as I knew it-problems at birth, history of BP, history of meds, Basal Cell Carcinoma on left ear, colonoscopy (unremarkable), leukemia diagnosis Dr.*

*Doctor said she could not control his BP because the Mental Health clinic was diagnosing/changing medication that she did not know about and it was affecting and changing her prescriptions. She got right up and into my face and appeared to be very angry and said she had to*

*know the meds he was on. I could only wonder at what the employees of had said. Trying to vilify me because of their inadequacies.*

(Medical record notations are in Italics. Note: At the time please note that approximately approx. months after I expressed so much concern about HBP he was diagnosed with Stage 3 Renal Failure, a medical condition caused by unmonitored High Blood Pressure. Shame on me for trying to save his life and get him out of this hell the State has condemned him to said he read all med. Records and there was no mention of BP at all, what kind of mental condition is he in? My attorney called my aunt, Mrs. as a witness in this case and dismissed her, saying he had no questions of her. As it was apparent that was so biased and was going to deny my petition, my attorney asked if he was going to ask or review the letters from family members who had been around and I a lot and had only positive comments to make. said, "I HAVE READ THOSE LETTERS AND IT WOULD BE A WASTE OF THE COURT'S TIME TO DISCUSS THEM." But later in court on said I should have brought that evidence forward at the initial hearing in BIAS 2.3 My attorney also mentioned that of these people who had written letters in my behalf were waiting by the phone for calls. JUST BLEW IT AWAY. HE WAS NOT INTERESTED. Bias Rule 2.3

had no problem reviewing and discussing letters from family whom and I had not seen in yrs, submitted in fraudulent circumstances, offered no opportunity for rebuttal or questioning, IN VIOLATION OF THE LAW but refused to review letters, a personal witness brought to court, family members waiting by the phone, and additional character references from my church known by petitioner for many years. THIS IS UNPRECEDENTED BIAS, Rule 2.3.

denied my petition. He cited these reasons in court:

I. "I base my decision on the letters that I received. I read them and I know they are telling the truth for these reasons.

1. **There are so many of them** negative letters bearing false testimony, as opposed to letters from other family members, plus family member who came prepared to court to testify whom he dismissed family witnesses on the phone and personal local witnesses who were ignored by both the private investigator, and
2. **They must be telling the truth because they all say the same thing.** (They do basically tell the same thing, all lies, all copied from each other. False testimony does not become truth because of its duplicity. Duber's reasoning does not indicate the functioning of a sound, rational mind). This is classic bias.

II. The second reason he denied the petition as he explained it was "that will get more opportunities for cultural activities in a group home setting than anything Mrs. can provide.

This was also testimony in the letters of false testimony in addition to the opinion that he borrowed from his incompetent investigator. The testimony of other family members in additional letters refute the lies of the letters. But refused to admit any and all testimony and all evidence presented on my behalf. Remember, these are people who had either not been in my home for yrs, never been in my home while I had or saw him only occasionally.

NEVER ASKED ONE QUESTION THAT WAS RELEVANT TO MY WILLINGNESS, ABILITY OR PAST HISTORY OF THE KIND OF CARE THAT I PROVIDED FOR OR NOTHING, ABOUT OUR LIFE TOGETHER AND REFUSED THE OFFER OF PICTURES OR WITNESSES, NOTHING TO EVALUATE THIS CASE. HE HAD THIS PREDETERMINED FOR SOME UNKNOWN REASON LONG BEFORE WE ENTERED THE COURTROOM. SINCE THAT TIME HE HAS CLAIMED THAT HE REALLY LIKED AND ADMIRERD ME AND REALLY WANTED TO GIVE TO ME BUT HE HAD TO PROTECT ME FROM BECAUSE

IS SO VIOLENT. I WOULD ADMIT THAT IS IS SOMEWHAT ANGRY, NOT VIOLENT, ONLY BECAUSE HE IS BEING TREATED SO POORLY, IS IN JAIL AND HAS NO ELIGIBILITY FOR PAROLE. IS NOT ONLY BIASED AND PREJUDICED, BUT TOTALLY INCOMPETENT. NEEDS TO BE MENTALLY EVALUATED. FROM THE PETITIONS BEING CIRCULATED IN GLOBE FOR HIS REMOVAL FROM THE BENCH IT WOULD SEEM THAT A LOT OF PEOPLE HAVE HAD SIMILAR EXPERIENCES. violated **A.R.S. 14-5602 that states "The court shall appoint a public fiduciary for those persons..... in need of guardianship, conservatorship or administration and for whom there is no person or corporation qualified and willing to act in that capacity."**

I allege that knew he had violated the law in a most grossly manner in and the only recourse he had to make himself look honorable was to vilify me. is a bully. He berated me at every hearing, he insulted me, he ridiculed me, he never let me finish any answer to any question, he screamed at me, he called me a liar repeatedly from the bench, and violated every written law concerning my petition for the custody and care of my little brother. He screamed at me, called me a liar several occasions during exam, refused to honor pictures, written testimony, spoken testimony of not only my aunt but a friend in attendance in court that day. My attorney has claimed that had refused to provide in writing the reasons why he denied the petition as prescribed by law after this petition was denied in 2012.. FRAUD, VIOLATION OF THE LAW, RULE 1.1, RULE 2.

**What was my crime?** I only wanted to bring my little brother home and take care of him before the State kills him.

End of Part III

These are copies of the only medical records I have. screamed at me and called me a liar when I had mentioned how concerned I was about his Blood pressure. He said "I have read every single medical record and there is not one mention of any blood pressure issue." I allege that never read any of the file, petitions, pleadings, supplemental reports, nothing. He is totally incompetent, needs a psychiatric/medical examination. I have highlighted the Bp concerns. Now, has Stage 3 Renal failure because of what and have done to him.

**IN THE MATTER OF ARIZONA CODE OF JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION 1-303; CODE  
FOR CONDUCT FOR JUDICIAL EMPLOYEES Administrative Order No.  
JUDGE OF THE COURT, COUNTY, ARIZONA**

Re: Petition for appointment of Guardian/Conservator for  
No. dated Petition of

A Protected Person

Dear Commission:

1. My attorney, Mr. filed a petition with the County Court for a Change of Venue in filed an objection to that petition on denied the petition on approximately, and violated court rules. never responded with an opportunity for an evidentiary hearing to the petitioner. (See attached)

2. HAS VIOLATED COURT RULES, EXHIBITED BIAS, AND MOST OF THE RULES OF PROFESSIONAL BEHAVIOR AS DESCRIBED IN Canon 1, and Canon 2, Administrative Order No.

End of Part 5

**IN THE MATTER OF ARIZONA CODE OF JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION 1-303;  
CODE FOR CONDUCT FOR JUDICIAL EMPLOYEES Administrative Order No.  
JUDGE OF THE COURT, COUNTY, ARIZONA**

Re: In RE THE MATTER OF THE ESTATE OF

No.

was appointed to be an investigator in the matter of a petition for custody filed of a protected person, by his sister,

2. After extended screaming over the telephone arranging first a telephone interview and then a home visit, show up at the house of the petitioner, on and almost immediately informed me that if I didn't drop the petition for guardianship/conservatorship of my brother she would recommend that it be denied and that she would run up the investigative fees to She asked if I could pay that. I told her that I would never drop this quest. Please note that she did not say or imply that it would depend upon the court's decision, in retrospect I know that that decision was already decided. She asked if I could pay what she already determined would happen. She said she would do it and she did what she said she would do. **I have filed an additional complaint of misconduct against** See attached correspondence regarding the collection of fees, miscellaneous filings of my attorney and the transcript of proceedings of This is self-explanatory.

I do understand what the law says in regard to the payment of fees in regard to the denial. In says that the court order the the compensation be paid either from the ward's estate or by the petitioner, depending on the facts and circumstances as outlined by section Section - says that if a county pays for any of these services from general fund appropriations, the county charge the estate for reasonable compensation. The estate of did not have the funds but neither does the estate of the petitioner. Had acted in accordance of the law, and maintained the integrity of the justice system within his court the petitioner would not have had the expense of an attorney and frivolous and unnecessary court appearances. Inasmuch as the petitioner is a licensed school teacher and has had a clearance card issued by the state and had been en employee of the ADOC with extensive security and criminal clearance, it should have been deemed unnecessary to hire any investigator. It was supposed to be an investigation of ME and my suitability for the position based on the evidence I presented. It was refusal to follow the law, correct the violations and fraud which are clearly in evidence and refute any unethical and or unnecessary bias, or prejudice in the courtroom. The "investigation" was, as my attorney stated, "nonsense," so inaccurate, biased, full of lies. and a compilation of one oxymoron statement after another. But this is more unprecedented BIAS. I failed to provide for due process of my petition and the succeeding petitions with impartiality and fairness. It is not my responsibility to maintain the ethical functions of his court or any of its actions of which I knew nothing about.

However, I allege that this was a planned and collaborated campaign designed by and is just a puppet in their hands. I had noticed by this unfortunate visit in courtroom that he could not start or conduct the court matter at hand without yelling where is get him in here." It rang true in all court cases regarding my petition.

As I had also noticed because of my training in English, Teacher Education, Special Education, etc. that

appears to have some aphasia in his speech. He has difficulty speaking, and is definitely having trouble either remembering what he is talking about, or is suffering from some kind of psychological or organic mental deficiency such as senility, dementia, Alzheimer's or perhaps a stroke. Not only is his speech pattern compromised but the subject matter, sequence of events, knowledge of the law, ethical behavior, lack of cohesive thought patterns, application of common sense and the evidence in place is ignored. Please note on page that when my attorney pleaded that the investigation was defective (among other things) said: "Well, I did not hear from someone you contended should have been interviewed by the investigator? has to be seriously mentally defective to think he can deny the letters, proposed testimony and the witness in court by saying he did not hear from them. He refused to acknowledge them. Then further down the page said, "had she reported it, it would have taken more time." I think means that if has investigated these witnesses it would have taken more of time, her bill would have been more, and therefore, the Bias is OK. Why not eliminate all that nonsense and do what she was supposed to do. report was a compilation of interviews with people the petitioner had not met, did not know, never seen and repeated conversations from state papers unknown to the petitioner and had no bearing on this case. Then on page 10, begins to tell the erroneous story of how was abandoned in County and I abandoned him and he needed protection, etc. etc. He could not even recite the sequence of events that he had in place in front of him. was in a foster home in County and was picked up from a vendor call by County. has to be seriously mentally demented to engage in such a conversation that is so far removed from the truth it is shocking. Then on page 11, he has the nerve to say the "the estate should not be charged because her success was a function to having to bring the people forward that could have been found earlier." Earlier, maybe in or when and what is he talking about? Was it in of when I was not there, and had been deliberately and illegally excluded from any knowledge of the court action. He, THE JUDGE, is the one who should have asked about the irregularities that were so obvious and corrected the action when he was made aware of it by my petition months later. There was PERVASIVE FRAUD abounding in the initial guardianship proceeding. THIS IS PURE INSANITY. This is definitely a violation of the Rule of Misconduct, Abuse of Position. Almost any adult with average intelligence can tell you that no one can hide anymore. As a judge with years of experience, the fraud should have jumped out at him in an alarming manner. Yet, he chose to ignore it and then vilify me a year later. Who else but a fraudulent, incompetent judge can sit in a court and spew out that kind of nonsense and call it competence and /or justice. This is violation of the law, misconduct of Rule 1.1, Rule 1.2, Rule 1.3, Rule 2.1, Rule 2.2, Rule 2.3, Rule 2.5, Rule 2.8 not to mention numerous Arizona State Laws.

This meeting was primarily an opportunity for to sit on that bench and engage my attorney in another confrontation of screaming rages. Even though he never directed any comments to me this is inappropriate behavior for anyone to have to endure. Then of course, had to give the opportunity to speak. And of course, had to stand up, begin screaming at me and accusing me of "dumping off in the County Public Fiduciary Office Parking Lot, abandoning him, etc. etc. etc." claimed they (He, PUB/FID and APS rescued The information is this case is not secret. A paper trail has been left and these false accusations, lies, fraud, violations of the law are easily identified.

threatened to sue me and she did. The bill was for almost of unnecessary nonsense and useless information. I did not pay her. I did not hire her and I don't and would never pay an extortionist, black-mailer or thief. She collected from estate. should not have even ordered this investigation, it was unnecessary. This is a simple custody case of a whom I had loved and taken care of with exceedingly good care for , but I have been vilified beyond comprehension. It is beyond imagination that my and I have been plunged into such

horror by Co: an incompetent judge, an incompetent fraudulent lawyer turned investigator, (what a joke) a lying, conniving, thieving attorney for the public fiduciary and a public fiduciary who needs to be arrested for attempted murder of a homeless, helpless, handicapped little man.

has used her position and abuse of power to imprison him and he has suffered extreme physical deterioration and psychological trauma because of what she has done to him. has a contract with the State of Arizona CONTRACT INDIGENT REPRESENTATION ATTORNEY SERVICES – OPDS. SERIAL CONTRACT INDIGENT REPRESENTATION (PROBATE) ATTORNEY SERVICES -OPDS. However, one attorney (whom I attempted to hire) told me she had never been hired by a judge in County and never will. I ask, is Attorney Services the same as “Private Investigator”? was abandoned by his attorney after a visitation and has failed to answer inquiries from my attorney about his supposed representation. begs and cries to come home and when he is angry at this imprisonment and screams and cusses, it is all my fault. I have been accused of making him do this. I think it should be OK that exhibits this behavior, after all, this is what and have done in court and it seems to be just fine for an attorney and a judge to threaten and scream and throw temper tantrums, why not a mentally handicapped person.?

Recent legislation, ARS 14-5307 (B)) empowered handicapped citizens like to be able to have their voices recognized and approved, citing changes in guardianship, attorney, etc. has voiced his wishes repeatedly and yet his voice has been ignored by and VIOLATION OF THE LAW. This is the United States of America. We don't pick up people off the streets, deny them their civil rights, and throw them into a life in prison without due process of the law with no chance of parole, separate them from family and friends, submit them to name calling, starvation, neglect and abuse. These are some of the latest names I have heard:

He has asked me many, many times what does mean. He asks me at every visit, “Do I have a mom.” He told me that he was told “this is why you are in this place, because you have It is uncivilized.

My attorney continued in the effort to have moved from to a location closer to his family. He was moved approximately where he was immediately assaulted. He is now blind in the right eye which has some kind of internal brown growth inside the eyeball, has a damaged right arm with lots of pain and a pain in his right leg that causes him to limp. He is at State 3 Renal Failure because of unmonitored HBP in Tucson, has congenital heart damage, daily diarrhea, vomiting after every meal and recurring pneumonia and bronchitis. He is simply unhappy and wants to come home, just like every other prisoner in ADOC. It appears the state is OK with my brother being denied his civil rights, his verbal complaints and the injustice that has become a part of his life. If he does not get released from his prison he will die. He has given up, he is refusing to eat, vomiting after every meal, has several seriously compromising physical illness (which could be handled with someone who cares about him) is depressed and continually begs to come home. Under A.R.S.14-5307 (B) he has requested emphatically a new guardian, etc but his rights continually are denied. He is called a liar and I am continually called a liar, a troublemaker, etc.