

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-098

Judge:	No. 1035014924A
Complainant:	No. 1035014924B

ORDER

The complainant alleged the judge presiding over his pending jury trial in his criminal case is biased in favor of the prosecution and unfair in her rulings.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of any of the judge's rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: April 23, 2014.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 23, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014-098

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form on plain paper of the same size to file a copy of what you believe the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Please see ATTACHMENT
Please see Vindictive Prosecution
motion

Corporation Commission on Judicial Conduct Complaint.

Complaint Against: Judge

It is the Defendants Belief That Judge _____ Has Violated the Defendants Constitutional Rights and has shown an **Extreme** amount of **Prejudice** toward the Defendant as well as , I'm hoping to show that by these acts that, she falls below the Professional Standards of the Office at which she holds. The Defendant in this case challenges this body to pull all Transcripts of this Case. The Defendant knows that this Body will not overrule a Judge's Decision however, what this Body could do is Remove this Case in its entirety from Judge _____ and prosecution of this case as an Emergency Declaration because of, what the Defendant believes are unconstitutional decisions by this Judge to harass and punish the Defendant before the commencement of trial at the direction of Prosecutor _____

The _____ have shown beyond a shadow of a doubt in the Defendants opinion that they are out to punish the Defendant using any and all means necessary before and during the Commencement of Trial. The _____ have shown that they have other hidden motives in the prosecution of this case. In the interest of justice and fairness, this case can't continue with the present conditions in place while in this Court Room. The Defendant is wondering why Judge _____ Has Aligned herself with the Prosecutor _____ and helping _____ to cause emotional and mental anxiety to the Defendant? The Defendant believes that _____ is the most Vicious Prosecutor the Defendant has ever witness or seen. She could care less about the truth! When you have Judges that appear to have secretly aligned themselves with the Prosecution, you can expect all decisions by this Judicial Officer to be unfair. The Prosecution who has been accused of Vindictive Prosecution and Misconduct in the same case by Former Prosecutor _____

and Defense Attorney _____ and in two different Court Rooms and while both Setting Judges deny that it exist and turn a Blind Eye to this Prosecutor behavior, even though the Court Record of the behavior says other wises and reflect Extremes Amounts of Prejudice and Punishment to the Defendant before commencement of trial , the Defendant becomes at the mercy of this body to do something about it and I would think that the *Interest of Justice* requires that something needs to be done please! For this body to do nothing would send the Negative Connotation that, not all are required to be law abiding and that all are not equal up under the Law and Judge _____ has shown that equality and fairness is not a requirement in her Court Room when it comes to this Defendant and in this case!

Please bear with me, I must catch you up to date to how we got here. There are complaints total, _____ to this body for Miss Conduct and Extreme Prejudice and Judicial vindictiveness and Judicial Miss Conduct involving Judge _____ and Judge _____ and _____ to the State Bar Against _____ pertaining to Vindictive Prosecution, Prosecutorial Oppression, Prosecutorial Misconduct, all complaint are supported by facts.

Home Arrest _____ **request for a change of judge.** Prior to this day the Defendant was arrested By the Sheriff Office at the insistence of _____ even while being out on a _____ Cash Only bond and no violations of the Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure and no violations of Defendants Release Conditions and while having excellent performance reviews by Pretrial Services because, he objected to the *Extreme Prejudice* coming from Prosecutor _____ and Judge _____ Courtroom, both gave the impression that they were working to make sure that the defendant was to be convicted at any all means necessary and both wanted and achieved Pretrial punishment of the Defendant and it was obvious that the Defendant wasn't going to get a Fair Trial because, this Judge allowed the prosecutor to say things which wasn't supported by facts and all rulings that pertained to the over throwing of this case that were of a factual nature would be denied that would in fact, overthrow this case were in her favor. Defendant requested a **Change of Judge.** Both _____ and _____ were visibly upset in his Court Room on this day. Prior to this date, _____ put out a **Warrant** for the defendants arrest based on a

new indictment which wasn't supported by probable cause and the fact that, there was absolutely no proof that, this had ever happened as a means to harass the Defendants mental state of mind and to Circumvent a **New Time Clock** because, as shown in the prior case, was never prepared to go to trial in the last case, she had assumed because, she had a setting Judge in her corner and in her pocket and he did basically everything that she had requested to harass and to punish the Defendants and to Stress out the Defendants. She had underestimated the resolve of some of these Defendants and now she had to get a **New Time Clock** so, she filed a indictment against the Defendant on the advice of a Felon, who has many Felony Convictions and has pleaded guilty to this case and is working off charges and then she put out a Warrant for the Defendants Arrest and had the Defendant Arrested, despite the defendant being out on bond and not violating any Release Conditions because of, the Reckless and Unstable actions of this prosecutor and Judge Shielding this Prosecutor from answering to the Defendants Motion accusing this Prosecutor of Vindictive Prosecution and Miss Conduct, to dismiss the case in its entirety. Judge would not allow oral arguments requested by Former Prosecutor and now Defense Attorney and because, the case was allowed to proceed in Court Room without prejudice and without the Punishment of this Prosecutor and the Prosecutor full case in tack. There were no remedies for the Conduct of this Prosecutor? The Defendants wonders how can a Judge prevent the prosecutor from having to answer to an accusation of Vindictive Prosecution and Miss Conduct as serious as this one that would lead to the dismissal of this case in its entirety with Prejudice? How can this Prosecutors Miss Conduct allegations be swept up under the rug? How can both Judges by their actions support the Negative and Unprofessional behaviors of this Prosecutor and turn a blind eye to her behavior while in the Court Room and Out of the Court Room?

The Defendants **Speedy Trial Rights have been violated.** Even more Judge has refused to dismiss this case as required by law but, has went as far as to corrupt her office by supporting this negative behavior of the Prosecutor by first condoning this negative behavior and by second allowing the Violations of this Rule 8 infraction in the Defendants opinion! Judge is supposed to be fair and impartial and unbiased to this case! **I'm asking this Body to help me out with this because, no one I have talked to that knows this case, could explain how could a Judge in this case not allow Oral Arguments as requested in his motion? How can in a Miss Conduct Motion and a Vindictive Prosecution motion, a setting Judge turn a blind eye to this behavior? How can there not be any punishment of the Prosecutor? How could the Prosecutor be allowed to proceed in another Court Room and under a different Judge with her case completely intact and not suffer any remedies for her actions and about her behavior what so ever and not at any time? How can the**

Prosecutor continue with the same case in two different Court Room and two different Judges and two different sets of Harsh and Extreme Release Conditions solely as a means to punish and harass the Defendant in both Court Rooms and both Judges permitting this erratic and psychotic behavior only because, the Defendant requested a change of Judge something the Defendant is allowed to do?

Indictments these days are like rubber stamps at least in this case! During this court room hearing of _____ and prior to the Defendants request for a new Judge, Prosecutor _____ had no problem with the Defendants bond being transferred to the new case and she Stated this for the Court Record and neither did Judge _____ and defendant didn't have to go to Jail. **Once all hell broke loose at the requesting of a new Judge in Judge _____ Court Room, defendant was forced to go to Jail.** The Defendant couldn't find a Judge any ware that would arraign or was willing to arraign him. Not even as Judge _____ had the Sheriff Officers following the Defendant and his lawyer from this floor to the next floor and Judge _____s Court Room where _____ met the Defendants Attorney in Argued to have Defendant Jailed, no one would arraign the Defendant however, the Defendant was out on Bond on this very same case. Why is this and how could this happen?. That vary evening the Defendant was released from Jail with the same prior Extreme Release Conditions set by Judge _____ which included _____ cash only bond and electronic monitoring, pretrial services, curfew, alcohol and drug monitoring. There was one addition to the release conditions that was imposed on the defendant that was not a part of the original Release Conditions which now included **Home Arrest! No judicial Officer of the Court with a personal involvement in this case knew how it got there and not one was willing to have it removed**

Defendant *Lawyer Mr.* _____ fought vigorously to get the new release order removed which no judge knew how it got there supposedly and what was even more incredible and alarming and that is, not one Judge including the Judge that released the Defendant that evening and Judge _____ who imposed the prior release orders and to Judge _____ who assigned this new case to Judge _____ and to Judge _____ herself. It was like a consolidated effort to keep the defendant on Home Arrest which appeared to the Defendant at that time that a Violation of Due Process had been done?

From the Unset of this case, _____ Court Judge _____ has never remained neutral or fair and has shown by her rulings that she was impartial and had a unwavering support for this Prosecutor even when she knew in fact that the

Prosecutor was telling lies in her Court Room. After leaving Judge Court Room and being subjected to unbelievable amounts of Prejudice and Judicial Vindictiveness and Misconduct by Judge and Miss Conduct by this same Prosecutor whom a complaint is pending with **The State Bar**, the defendant was just happy that he was in another Court Room where he assumed that he could get a Fair Hearing. This happiness would later be shattered and replaced with mental anguish and anxiety and bouts with depression as soon as entered the Court Room with her new ally Judge

Judge had made me the Defendant feel from the unset of this case, a feeling of hopelessness and despair because, she has gave the appearance that she has aligned herself with the Prosecution and the Rule of law has no baring inside of her Courtroom to the facts of this case! This Day went something like this.

A Perceived Violation of Due Process!

Judge starts out her day in her Court Room with a speech on how all inmates are to be treated fair and with respect and all are innocent until proven guilty. The Defendant was wondering on this morning, how could the Defendants very first day inside Judge Court Room, I the Defendant be immediately placed on Home Arrest, when no law required this type of punishment and harassment of the Defendant? The Defendant was wondering at this time did, Judge talk to Judge about this case and he wanted her to proceed with the Pretrial Punishment of the Defendant for Mrs. The Defendant was just stunned and very puzzled, how could something like this happen? How can this judge who never met the Defendant before this date allow the Prosecutor to request this act of Punishment and Harassment without a Violation of any rules? How could Judge who this case was just assigned punish the defendant before the commencement of trial? Judge has made **Home Arrest** a requirement and wouldn't take me the defendant off of it after the Defendant left Judge Court Room and after the Defendant had been wrongly thrown in Jail without violating

any Release Conditions or any violations of the Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure and being out on bail and two different Release Orders coming from two different Court Rooms as a favor to Mrs. _____ only because, the Defendant requested a _____ change of Judge?

The Defendant was indeed experiencing the **Full Powers** and **Weight** of the **Prosecutor**; she had two setting Judges in two different Court Room that actively supported the Pretrial and UN Constitutional Punishment of the Defendant. The Defendant was wondering how could he be thrown in Jail after not violating any terms of release and being out on **Cash only Bond** and then be force to be on **Home Arrest** after getting out of Jail which appeared this act in itself is of, Vindictive Prosecution and Prosecutorial Miss Conduct, Prosecutorial Oppression and Judicial Vindictiveness because of, the Defendant exercising is right to a change of Judges, which wasn't a Pre Release Condition set by Judge _____ how can this happen? After the Defendants lawyer and former Prosecutor and now Defense Attorney Mr. _____, working tirelessly to get it removed and not one Judge was willing to remove it.

From the Judge that just released the Defendant from Jail, to Judge _____ the _____ Court Judge _____, to Judge _____ who established the Original Harsh and Extreme Release Conditions and this was never included, to now Judge _____ who was enforcing this order as an outright what appeared hatred of the Defendant, after Defense Attorney submitting Motions to have it removed. Not one judge was willing or wanting to remove this act of a Pre Trial Punishment handed down by Judge _____ in support of this Vindictive Prosecutor! Why is this? How could this be because, all Judges are supposed to be neutral and unbiased and fair and impartial to this case?

Judge _____ on the first day of meeting the Defendant whom, he had never seen before or met, she imposed Harsh and Extreme Release Conditions upon the Defendant at the Insistence of _____ who is a Vicious Prosecutor who had shown by Record who has a personal vendetta going on against the Defendant because, the Defendant has resisted her Extreme Behavior over the years without the Defendant violating any of the conditions of his release even

more, the Defendant had a Different set of Release Conditions in Judge Court Room and Judge Court Room because, the Prosecutor had preceded with both cases to Harass and Punish the defendant. He found himself going to Judge Courtroom and then to Judge Court Room on the same case for months and after nearly years of release and an impeccable release record by Pre Trial Services Agent Mrs. . How can this be?

Judge would follow in the Footsteps of Judge denying all inquiries of this Faulty Wire Tap and its Authorization and farther Prejudicing the Defendant and Punishing the Defendant who has openly challenged this heavily flawed Warrant and Its Inception and Judge appears to be actively helping Mrs. at seeking the Conviction of the defendant. Like judge she would deny all Defendants Motions on any and all challenges to this case, no matter the truth! How could the Judges not recognize are be willing to recognize the truth or willing to be open minded that something terribly went wrong in this case? Like Judge it would appear that she would show an immediate and unusual and Extreme Amount of Prejudice towards the Defendant. Like Judge it appeared that she would form an allegiance with Prosecutor and Judge and intentionally Harass and Punished the Defendant before trial could commence and turn a blind eye to the behavior of this Prosecutor who has been accused in both Court Room of Miss Conduct and now a Complaint has been submitted to the State Bar.

The Defendant explains to Judge in her court that morning that he was actively involved in sports and church with his kids and that he had won a large Settlement for the Death of his mother and then his lawyer Mr. to over and explained to the courts that the Defendant doesn't have to sell cocaine and that none was ever found in his home or on his person. Mrs. knew that the defendant was actively involved in

track and football for his kids from this case being in Judge Court room, she was using all of her resources which included Judge to **Harass** and to **Punish** the Defendant and she appeared to have undeniable hatred for the Defendant.

The fact that the Defendant wasn't caught with any amount of Illegal Drugs or Guns or Ledgers in which the Search Warrant Authors had sworn would be in his possession and in his home, it didn't matter, The defendant was forced to remain on Home Arrest after nearly two years of fighting this case and was currently out on Bond. How could this be? The Defendant had just gotten out of Jail in which he was wrongly placed do to acts of aggression and in outright hatred of the Defendant by this Prosecutor and then to have another Judge and a different Court Room also supporting the Miss Conduct of this prosecutor was almost the straw that broke the Defendants Back!

For any court to allow this conduct shows a presumption and gives the appearance that the court too is guilty of Judicial Vindictiveness and Coorruption in this Pretrial setting by allowing the Punishment handed down by Judge in Support of Prosecutor determination to punish the Defendant with any and all means necessary in the Defendants opinion! The court at the recommendation of for Mr. to be placed on Home Arrest despite No obvious Violations of Rules of Criminal Procedure and No obvious violation of Pretrial Release Agreement nor this being a prior Harsh and Extreme Release Condition established by Judge who was onboard with the Previous Pretrial Punishment of the Defendant. **The Defendant was wondering and still wondering how could this be?**

told the Courts that early morning that Mr. had never worked and that Mr. was actively selling Cocaine to this date. was allowed to make statements like these also in Judge Court Room that weren't of a factual basis in both Court Rooms and neither Judge cautioning this prosecutor about making these statements that

wasn't supported by facts however, it was the norm in both Court Room and it was these statement that set the stage for Judge to punish the Defendant which this Judge welcomed, despite having no proof ever of Mr. selling Cocaine even from the unset of this case because, the defendant was never caught with cocaine on him nor in his home. **The defendant doesn't sell cocaine so counsel challenged Mrs.**

about the Accuracy of her statements saying that there is no proof to her statement and that the States very on (Snitch, who is actively working off charges by cooperating with the State admits that, the Defendant doesn't sell Cocaine and the Defenses Lawyer went on to say that, she and he have never talked about Mr. current Job she said no as a matter of Court Record!

Mr. former Prosecutor and now Defense Attorney for told Mrs. that day that it's a good thing that he keeps all Emails and then he provided, the email and pictures of the Defendant actually working on a AC Unit, to Judge Court that, he had kept discussing his clients Job with Mrs. and he the Defendant requesting more time out for work with Mrs and Mrs. saying in the same email that, she was okay with the defendant changing his work hours to to as well as Pictures of Mr. actually working to the courts proving that the Defendant did work servicing AC units and that Mrs. was indeed lying and being totally Untruthful and dishonest!

Mr. attorney also provided to this court, his Client's Lawsuit Settlement Contract Agreement which was a Secret Agreement, in which Judge court have demanded where he won a large Settlement for the wrongful death of his Mother suggesting that Mr. doesn't have to sell drugs. Despite this, Judge ruled in favor of Mrs. and at her insistence and forced Mr. to remain on Home Arrest. Judge also allowed Mrs. to send the D.E.A Agent who was the of the Wire Tap

and one of the _____ to the _____ Search Warrant of the Defendants home who worked closely with Mrs _____ on this case to verify if the Defendant was indeed working. Even more, Judge _____ after her ruling never commented on why she made this ruling which is her choice but, this act alone is highly suspicious! Judge _____ was saying by her ruling that, she supported this Vicious Vindictive Prosecutor and the pretrial punishment of this Defendant and by ruling for the Prosecutor she was showing that she was Biased and impartial to this case, How Could This Be?

The Defendant questions this act by Judge _____ because, the Defendant had a Pre Trial Services Agent Mrs. _____ whom she could have just called? The Defendant questions the motives of both Judge _____ and Prosecutor _____

This D.E.A Agent _____ gave false and miss leading information to the Court which he had done in The Wire Tap application and the Search Warrant at the Defendant House so, it was nothing for this same Agent to present false and miss leading statements again which was expected and welcomed by Judge _____ and Prosecutor _____ or he would not have been sent to interview the Defendants former Employer. They both gave the appearance that they had a common interest in the Punishment of the Defendant. He was a D.E.A. Agent and not a Jobs Check Interviewer . They could have used Mrs. _____

_____ who was actively monitoring the Defendant for nearly 2 years at the time and who would schedule weekly trips to this Defendants home at all and different hours of the day and which is still ongoing to this very day! Mrs. _____ She was trying to make arrangements at the time with the Defendant to come out to the Defendants Job Site where he worked servicing AC Units. Even she thought that this was unusual however, she couldn't speak on it because she is an Employee of the State and couldn't even respond to the Defendant as to why this was happening to the Defendant! So I'm asking this Body, how could this happen?

Pre Trial Services Agent.

This act alone was Irregular and seemed Prejudicial because, he was, the D.E.A Agent and one of the Authors of the Heavily Flawed Wire Tap Application and the Heavily Flawed Search Warrant and now the courts had him Interviewing my former employer to see if I was actually working. It didn't matter that

had a Picture of me actually working on a AC Unit for the Defendants Boss that was submitted to the court and the Defendants Boss telling this Agent that he and his customers really liked the Defendant and he could get the Customers testimonials that would prove it and despite being on Bond for nearly two years, never missing a court date nor ever being late for court and no obvious Violations of Criminal Procedure as well as this not being a prior Pre Release condition set by Judge and an impeccable release record by Mrs. it didn't matter that Mrs.

was doing her Job weekly to enforce Judge previous Release Rulings that, the defendant was to be Monitored with a curfew and alcohol and drug testing by Pretrial Services and Electronic Monitoring whom she just could have dialed up however, they both felt that it was necessary to use the D.E.A. Agent in this case? **The Defendant was forced to Remain on Home Arrest, until a reconsideration motion was made some months later where the Defendant had to bring Pretrial Services into the issue who had been monitoring the defendant nearly years at this time and had given the defendant excellent Prerelease Reports not only in Judge Court Room but also in Judge**

Court Room for those years and fully supported the defendants release from Home Arrest which this act alone by the Judicial Officers was highly irregular and appeared to have had the markings of Extreme Prejudice and Extreme Hatred of the Defendant written all over it.

Even more, this allowed and this D.E.A. Agent to post undercover patrol units around the house of the Defendant, as a means to harass the Defendant that was first recognized by

Pretrial Services who was wondering while visiting the Defendants home one day who they were parked outside the home and second by neighbors who were wondering why strange

looking cars and people just setting in them, were in the neighborhood and third, by the Defendant.

Judge _____ was put into a an **awkward position** to where as much as she wanted to keep the Defendant on Home Arrest for the duration of this case like she did _____ whom she **never released** from Home Arrest after he was illegally thrown in Jail with the Defendant who was later rearrested and place back in Jail something the Defendant realizes that these Judicial Officers would love to do to the Defendant however, they haven't found a way to Legally do this even with the same D.E.A agent snooping around the Defendants home for years and surveillance placed on the Defendant by Attorney General _____ who has been accused of this with the **State Bar**, as she did another Defendant in this case, They refused to believe that the Defendant is a Law abiding citizen and will continue to waste tax dollars to prove otherwise.

Judge _____ and Prosecutor _____ and D.E.A Agent _____ they would run into one **unforeseen** and one **unexpected** problem, it was called "**Pretrial Services**" who had been monitoring the Defendant with **regular weekly visits to the Defendants home** for those years, so she had a problem that she couldn't get around to help Mrs _____ as much as it appeared she had wanted to so, she had to rule in the defenses favor because, this would make it totally obvious she was trying to help _____ and this D.E.A. Agent, if she had overruled the Reconsideration Motion! This Judge wasn't done with the Defendant by a long shot, She would have one more tool of the trade to harass and intentionally inflict emotional unrest to the defendant, she enforced the use of a **GPS monitoring Device** which is a upgrade from the Tracking Device that the Defendant had been wearing for the last _____ years, she also enforced **all the other Extreme Release Conditions that Judge _____ had imposed on the Defendant except for the _____ cash only bond!** After over _____ and a half years, how could one still have these Extreme Release Conditions after the Affiants in this case had taken all the defendants Money and Credit Cards, House, All Cars a Total of _____ and frozen all bank accounts, they had taken

every Television off the Walls and then destroyed the home and then money come up missing in the amount of _____ from the home and after being force to return nearly everything back as well as Compensate the Defendant on behalf of Affiant _____ by the City of Phoenix in this case and then the Defendant is forced to and remain under Extreme and Harsh Release Condition for the duration of this case at the insistence of Judge _____ as a perceived favor to Prosecutor _____ because, of _____ who tried to make a deal for the **Civil Lawsuit to go away** and the Defendant refusing, how could this be ? How could this Prosecutor get away with something like this? How could Judge _____ allow this?

The Defendant was wondering at this very minute, whatever happen to the speeches that Judge _____ had just stated before this hearing and every hearing that she set's on the bench, **all are innocent until proven guilty before the Court of Law? What happened to the Statement she had just made.** After over _____ years of Intensive Probation is what this really boils down to and Extreme Prejudice and Miss Conduct coming from Judge _____ Court Room and now in Judge _____ Court Room and the Support of the Prosecutor _____ who has been accused of it in both Court Rooms and both Judges turning a Blind Eye to this Reckless and Unstable behavior and backing this behavior by the showing of undeniable and unwavering support for the negative behavior of this Prosecutor despite, Excellent Release Reports by Pre Trials Services for the Defendant?

The Defendant begs this Body to dig into why it's so important that the Wire Tap Application never be challenged. Please get the transcripts, please get the Facts! Judge _____ and Judge _____ and _____ appear to be team players in concealing the facts about a faulty Wire Tap Application and its inception. I know that this story may seem far-Fetched but, if one just looks at the Facts of this case from a unbiased stand point, how could one not see that this Wire Tap Sworn Application is totally flawed.

Look at the Interviews of the Affiants in this case by **well-known and Seasoned Attorney** who interviewed both Affiants and Submitted a Motion to Judge Court Room, who I'm also accusing of Corruption and Miss Conduct in this case, who denied that these officer did anything wrong even when it was a Factual Basis calling for the Hearing. **These Affiants have Misled Court Judge** who approved the Wire Tap Authorization. Not one Judge with a personal interest is willing to take in Un Bias approach to look at this and peel back the Layers of deceit in look at this for what it really is and that is a terrible, terrible mistake on the part of Judge who appears to be a fair and honorable Judge however, he allowed it to happen based on the information that he was given?

If he knew then, what is known now, there is no way that this could have been permitted to happen. I know it would be detrimental to this case and all Defendants would have to be released. However these cops acted also like Bad Actors, by knowingly and intentionally, supplying false, and Prejudicial and incorrect information to the Courts but even worst, they didn't prove **Probable Cause** and the other most required of this **Legal Warrant** which is **Necessity**. Even if this body wanted to deny that **Corruption exist**, which I would find impossible being that the Facts of this case suggest otherwise and that both Judges have a unwavering support for this Vicious and Vindictive Prosecutor and both have turned a Blind Eye to the facts of this case that support an overthrowing of this Case and the Reckless Behavior of this Prosecutor Even if this is the case, how can it deny that Extreme Prejudice does not appear to exist?

This Wire Tap is Authored by Two Officers none of which has ever done a Wire Tap before this one, one being Special Agent and who in their interviews were caught in a number of inconsistencies and simple untruths throughout this Legal Document during their interviews by Defendant lawyer This Agent and Lead Detective didn't have **Probable Cause** nor have they ever proven **Necessity** which is a requirement by law. Both Judge and Judge have blocked any and all attempts to challenge this Faulty Legal Warrant and I'm just asking why? It's the Defendant belief that both Judge's know the Flaws in this case

concerning the Wire Tap. How could anyone with a Law Degree deny that this Wire Tap Warrant has many Flaws? Just as much as both Judges have successfully helped the Prosecutor to secure convictions. I believe that it's equally important for this body to seek the truth in how all of these defendants were convicted even if it meant that, all defendants could go free? **The Fruit From The Tree Has Been Spoiled and Cannot Be Used By The Prosecution!**

The Facts are in the interviews of both Special Agent and Lead Detective by well -known and Seasoned Defense Attorney

I would like this Body to look at the Civil Case against one of the Affiants in this case who, the City of Just paid a Law Suit Settlement on her behalf for negligence and for the unnecessary damage of the Defendants home in the theft of dollars to this Defendant
The Defendant would still like to know which Officer or Officer's actually had Stolen the Money from the Defendants home and why not one Officer was ever questioned about the disappearance! How could this money just walk out the house of the Defendant, with not one of these Cops knowing anything about it when they came into the home looking for specifically for money? These Affiants would like the Courts to believe that they did everything right in this Wire Tap investigation however, they had stepped on their toes at the Defendants home, and they denied everything up until the Pay Out in this case! This Pay Out shows Negligence, this Pay Out shows that these Officers have made Huge Mistakes! This Pay Out farther reflects on the many Flaws in the Wire Tap Application and Authorization and its Inception. This Body will never know the truth about this case if it doe's nothing! This Body will never know the truth about this case because, it seems to be a consolidated effort by both Judge and Judge and to keep a lid on this thing however, the facts would have to speak for themselves and trust me they speak loudly about this case and about these Judges and Prosecutor!

I'm asking this Body how, could they go on with the Prosecution of this case knowing that there has been a Pay Out in this case for Negligence on behalf of one of the Affiants, Officer [redacted] in this case and that Police Officers stole Money from the Defendants home and the fact that the, Prosecutor in this case has been accused of Miss Conduct and Vindictive Prosecution in this case?

Quote by Lead Detective [redacted] in application of Wire Tapp. Line 128 in the **Necessity Clause** of this application, this is a direct Quote taken from the Wire Tapp Application itself below. One thing that should stick out in this statement is that **Conventional Investigative Techniques is either working are they are not! You can't have it both ways in seeking this very Intrusive Warrant.** This is why I believe that the **Court installed Strict Guidelines in which to follow before authorization can be given!** Even more alarming When Judge [redacted] denied a [redacted] hearing to examine the inconsistencies of the Officers involved in the Authorization of this Wire Tap. He never addresses the **Necessity** part of the **Motions Denial.** He refused to comment on that part of this Motion which, his Denial clearly states. His refusal to answer that part when denying the first attempt at uncovering the flaws, suggest that even he knows the flaws involved in its Authorization. Justice [redacted] will follow in the footsteps of Judge [redacted] and halt any and all inquiries of this Wire Tap. Both Judges who appear to have personal ties to this case, they have refuse to even check and see if it's possible that the affiants have misstated the facts because, neither Judge will allow this Wire Tap Authorization to stand on it's on. Both will not allow this Heavily Flawed Search Warrant to be scrutinized. It makes one wonder, what are they hiding?

At the closing of this case, the Police Department went to the media and celebrated the Arrest and the indictments of some [redacted] plus defendants, they high five each other, they celebrated the victory. This was a Multi- Jurisdictional Task Force who worked this case for Months. So it's hard for any Judge to go back in and admit they have made a terrible mistake and that the Wire Tap Application is totally flawed. After all they did find a number of Defendants with Cocaine in their possession, so it's easier to hide the truth and just go forward with it's

inception. It would hurt the states credibility to admit something went wrong then to admit the truth! It would bring a reasonable doubt of un Fairness and give the feeling that persons of color are not being treated equal, up under the law. I would think that Judges who appear to be secretly working together and a Vicious Prosecutor would have to answer to this Commission and explain their behavior!

This is why I believe that these flaws and inconsistencies' of these Lead Officers must and will be covered up, no Judge in this case and with personal ties to this case is wanting or willing to put this Wire Taps Authorization and its legitimacy to the test despite many attempts to have this Document Scrutinized do to the Facts of the Interviews of both Affiants in this case. it's easier at this point for both Judges to just cover it up! It's easier to turn a blind eye to all the Inconsistences. It's easier to keep the public in the dark after all some did have ties to a gang were arrested but certainly not who this case was formed and brought against.

You can't just want one of these Wire Taps! It's a violation of the rights of Individuals who have and had absolutely nothing to do with this case who voices are heard when the inception of the Wire Tap begins, this is why, you can't just want one of these, and you have to satisfy the Guidelines first. This is only part of the failures in this case when you get into the Interviews of both Affiants you will find out the untruths to their stories and this investigation and how they actually misled the Court. By both Judges denying a Hearing in this case, They want you to believe that they the Affiants did everything right in this case but the Search Warrant at the home of the Defendant reflects on the Wire Tap Directly itself because, without the Wire Tap they could not have gotten this Search Warrant signed to enter the Defendants home after all, the alleged incident happen a month prior to the signing of the Search Warrant by Judge For the City of to Authorize a Pay Out of in this case Shows not only Negligence in this case by the affiants but, it also Shows that there were very serious mistakes made in this case.

In Fact, if you took away all the Inconsistences in the Affiants stories it's not possible to have **Probable Cause** to pursue this very **Intrusive Warrant**. Even more, if you look at how this investigation got started and the Statements by both Affiants in this case, one with experience with deciding the law and not have a personal interest in this case, one would have to have a lot of serious question about the **Probable Cause Statement!** The truth is, one doesn't need to have a Law Degree to figure out what has happened here and went terribly wrong in this case all you need to do is, look at the facts of this case! Judge [redacted] who, for the most part seems like an honest Judge, of the [redacted], if he could go back and look at the falsehoods and miss leading statements by both Affiants, he would have to deny its inception according to the Guidelines installed by the [redacted] **Court** however, Judge [redacted] and Judge [redacted] are going to make sure, this never ever happens, not one of them or nothing is ever going to reverse this, it would hurt the State's credibility to much!!!!

128. Conventional investigative techniques have, to some extent, been successful in identifying members of, and some of the resources used, by the [redacted]. However, the continued use of these techniques will not allow for the identification and/or prosecution of individuals supplying, transporting, storing and distributing cocaine and cocaine-base to and for the [redacted].

**Detective [redacted] Quote Above and Special Agent [redacted]
Probable Cause Statement below!**

PROBABLE CAUSE

16. In DEA Special Agents were conducting surveillance in a neighborhood near Street, Arizona regarding an unrelated investigation. During surveillance, agents observed a vehicle that drew their attention described as a bearing Arizona license drive through the area. is commonly known to stand for the title which, among gang members, refers to individuals who are respected in the gang community). At the conclusion of the unrelated surveillance, agents conducted a records check on the and learned that it is registered to at Street, Arizona. During a public records check, agents located a driver's license record for a DOB at Street, Arizona. Based upon a public records check, it was learned that the residence located Street, is owned by and was purchased by him in

One of the things that the Affiants have failed to mention in this Probable Cause Statement is that, Defendant was never identified through Court Record as a Gang Member during this Investigation. In fact Defendant was never a Gang Member so this Statement is false and Miss leading and Prejudicial. To Mislead Judge into Thinking that Defendant was Indeed a Gang Member gave Weight to Judge Decision. Second " license Plate is a false and Misleading statement by the Affiants of its identification of its meaning term ." A black man can't ride down a street with a personal license plate reading this and he will be labeled as a Gang Member and be harassed by Police. In Fact the term is what young black men call other older black man in the first meeting by men who grew up on the South Side of as a way to say hey, what's up, or a way to say hi, what up, a show of Respect to an older Black Man. In Fact I the Defendant has been called thousands of time and I the Defendant have never been affiliated with a Gang or a Member of any Gang as a matter of Court Record. It's a slang term between younger black men as a means of respect. at no

time during this investigation had been caught with Cocaine or was any cocaine in this investigation was ever traced are linked back to So this Probable Cause Statement was prejudicial and heavily flawed. Even would have to be released if the facts got out! However, not one Judge who has a personal involvement is even willing to look at the Facts of the intentional and misleading statements of the Affiants in this case and this is why I have presented these Statements to this Commission. They want convictions and they lead with an Iron fist, no mercy is ever shown towards people of color and the following of their own laws is something that, they refuse to do. So how could someone wanting to respect the Justice System as being fair and equal and then see people who represent the Justice System turning a blind Eye to Facts of this case and the behavior of the Judicial Officers involved this case? How can there not be any Checks and Balances in the Justice system and where the Rule of Law is fair to everyone, even if you are accused of a crime?

Judges have a lot of power and they are supposed to be neutral in any conflict that's why court Judges are elected. They are supposed to give there un bias opinion, they are supposed to protect the laws of this state and defend one's Constitutional Rights. **The most important in my belief is, they are supposed to be fair.** These Judges appear to not have lived up to the expectations of the Office in which they hold. **Just to call one Honorable, would have to mean more than just a title. There must be checks and balances even in the Justice System! From what I have experienced in both Judges Court Room, to be called honorable is not enough! To obtain a title of honorability, I would assume that one would have to lead by example.** Everyone's rights should be a priority, everyone should have these Constitutional Rights even when being accused of a crime! You only give up your Constitutional Rights when you have been convicted of a crime and not during a Pretrial Setting!

Perceived Prejudice in the Highest Degree!

Arguments were heard for Rule 8 violations of Defendants rights to a Speedy Trial and Vindictive Prosecution and Miss Conduct by Mr. a Former Prosecutor and Defense Attorney explained to Judge that how it gives him no joy are pleasure to accuse this Prosecutor of Miss Conduct however, the truth is the truth, he then went on to talk about her behavior in the prior months, during these arguments Lawyer for defendant brought up Allegations of Misconduct by who refused to offer a plea agreement to Defendant because defendant wouldn't drop his lawsuit against police officers. Judge was asking Mr. had there been any settlement agreements. Mr. explained that Mrs. said that, she wouldn't offer one as long as defendant wouldn't drop his lawsuit against Police Officers. During this Court Room hearing Mrs never denied the accusation as a matter of Court Record and Judge never questioned her about this obvious Violation of State Bar Codes of Conduct!

This act alone is the most obvious a violation of Ethics and Misconduct and Vindictive Prosecution in my opinion! Judge quickly moved on to another subject knowing that, this one could cause problems for this case.

The fact that Mrs. can use her influence to dictate the outcome of another tribunal is a direct Violation of Codes of Conduct. Mrs. was saying to the Defendant back then, I will give you probation but first you must let my Cop friends off the hook and the Defendant refused and no other offer of probation was ever given from that point on. Let's be clear, the Defendant is not asking for Probation but, anyone who could use their office to influence the outcome of another Tribunal is in Violation of Conduct, what should be even more alarming is Mrs. hasn't denied it to date! To date Mrs. has kept her promise that, no plea agreement would be given as long as defendant wouldn't drop lawsuit against Cops as a matter of court record. Judge O'Conner took it all under advisement.

Mr. had argued 4 motions on this date . 1. Motion to Dismiss for Rule 8 Violation. 2. Motion to Sever defendants . 3. Motion to Sever

Counts. 4. Motion for Hearing on The Wire Tap itself. The Law Firm of had painstakingly review the facts of this case over the years. It presented the facts of this case in with detail and with accuracy because, it had been fighting this case over the years.

So it wasn't hard to see what was coming when, Judge took the Arguments into advisement before giving her ruling of what her ruling was going to be by Defendants Lawyer and to this Defendant you see, by Judge and all of her past rulings and the unwavering support of this Vindictive Prosecutor, Judge had become predictable as so did Judge before her who had previously Judged this case. What was incredible was, she took this under advisement for weeks as if she had given it a lot of thought and if she had actually read each and every one of former Prosecutor and now Defense Attorney Motions. She wanted to give the appearance that she really gave a lot of thought to it. You could not read the Defense's Motion and not find it to be of a Factual Nature! Privileged Info (Lawyer was reserved about attacking the Prosecutor on her behavior, He feared just like and Judge Court Room that if he did that then, the Judges would rescue the Prosecutor as seen in the prior years of working this case. Lawyer warned his client that the Judge was Pro Prosecution and each and every time we have called her on her behavior each Judge would show an Unwavering and decisive show of support for her however, it was this Defendant's belief that, the truth is the truth and we must call her on her behavior and this is why Mr. proceeded to call her on her actions and behavior knowing beforehand that Judge would resent any attacking of this Prosecutor whether it was the truth or not so Former Prosecutor and defense Attorney Mr. preceded with caution by suggesting to Judge during this Hearing on all motions that it gives him absolutely no joy to accuse her of Misconduct.) "Please pull transcripts of this hearing Please!" Don't take the word of the Defendant, please pull the transcripts!

It wasn't hard to figure out at the end of the hearing that Judge wasn't going to entertain any challenges of disclosing the truth about this Faulty and Heavily Flawed Application for the Wire Tap even with the many flaws and inconsistencies that that this Application Carries. It wasn't hard to figure out that Judge was going to protect this case and also turn a

blind eye to the behavior of this Prosecutor. It wasn't hard to see that Judge would farther prejudice the Defendant by denying all motions and would require that the Defendant be tried with the other Co Defendants whom he hadn't known until he was arrested in this case which to the Defendant is unbelievable and he questions how and why?

Judge has the Defendant wearing a GPS Monitoring Device, also Monitoring by Pretrial Services and Curfew, Drug Testing despite the Defendant never ever being caught with illegal drugs nor ever missing a Court Date nor ever violating any of the rules of Criminal Procedure and having ties to this Community and 2 and a half years have went by with the obvious Violations of Rule 8 and Despite Snitch who is working off charges for the State admitting to as a matter of Court Record that doesn't sell Cocaine and hasn't since his mother died. Make no mistake about it, Judge with will make sure that the Defendant has these Extreme Release Orders for the duration of this case as a means to punish and harass this Defendant. The Defendant owns a home here and is a native here and all of his family is here. He is a Member of Spirit of God Christian Community Church and has been for some time! The reason this is done is to harass and to punish the Defendant because; there are no laws on the books that require this type of Punishment before the commencement of Trial. I'm so Thank Full to a Living God who has been my source of strength and guidance!

In Closing, before Judge took the arguments under advisement, by the Defendants lawyer. The defendant and his Lawyer knew what all rulings would be even before Judge made her decision; He even discussed this prior with his Attorney. All motions were denied as expected as well as the reconsideration motion by Judge who past rulings has made her Rulings Predictable This type of behavior by all Justice Officials set the country back another years into time. As important as it is to protect the laws of this country, (it's also important that none are broken in the Pursuit of Justice.) Ask yourself this, if the Wire Tap, if it's a sound document as it has been hyped up to be and lead to be then why so many attempts to deny it's scrutiny of the Wire Tap itself? Why are all these Judges not wanting

any reviews of this Application! It could make one wonder, what are you hiding? I'm hoping this Body would like to know what they are hiding as well.

From my experience when Cops lie on the witness stand in the pursuit of Justice and then Judges and Prosecutor's protect and co-sign the lies, than it makes a Mockery out of the entire Justice System! Justice is supposed to be for everyone and not just a few. Justice is also supposed to be for the accused. As important as it is to seek justice, it's equally important that all officers were abiding by the law and didn't break the laws trying to enforce the laws! These Judges and Prosecutor involved in this case, live by the Gun! Never any mercy is given; both Judges and Prosecutor have pursued this case with an Iron Fist, no mercy what so ever!

Neither one Judge nor, Prosecutor was willing to hear are seeing that these Authors of this Wire Tap have intentionally misled the court. It appears that these Judicial Officers of the Court have overlooked extremely important facts of this case that would have this Wire Tap thrown out in its entirety and this case dismissed with Prejudice. Back in my younger days, I used to be a Criminal, My Book talks about this. I have never seen in my lifetime in and dealing with the Courts where you couldn't win a motion no matter the truth, where Judges and Prosecutors appear to be secretly working together with each other and conceal facts of a case and the ultimate goal of all the Judicial Officers in this case is a conviction of everyone at any and all means necessary! They make sure that lies are never uncovered and the truth is never really known! How could this be? I challenge this body to look at all the facts of this case. Please Read Interview of both D.E.A Agent and you really have to read this thing to know how these affiants misled this honest court Judge You have to read this thing to know the facts of this case! Please read the Entire Wire Tap Application and its Authorization versus Defense Attorney Interview of both Affiants. The Flaws Start from its Authorization to its inception. Quote from Mrs. inside Judge Court Room, She said to the remaining approximately defendants. Quote (I know you all are just waiting to go to Prison!) Judge never ask her to quit harassing the Defendants, this type of behavior is welcomed in both Court Rooms!

I promise not only will you find that I'm telling the truth about my observation and my personal investigation about this case as I remember it but, it's almost impossible for a Defendant to go from one Prejudicial Court Room to another and the Prejudice is just picked up to where the other Prejudice left off and not one Judge is concerned about it. It appears that it's a consolidated effort to punish the Defendant no matter what Court Room the defendant appears in, in a Pretrial Setting and no matter what Judge is setting on the bench. It appears to be an outright hatred of the Defendant for doing something that the Law Legally allows the Defendant to do!

On Judge ruled that all motions are denied which was expected and predicted! She had to make sure that there never ever be a challenge to the conduct of her judicial peers as well as to the Conduct of and the Cops and Agent that Authored this Faulty Application even more, former Prosecutor and now Defense Attorney for the Defendant had submitted a Reconsideration Motion to Judge who usually takes weeks to answer any Motion that's pertaining to this case. It only took her about a day or two to Deny this Motion which suggest that she probably didn't read it or that she didn't put much thought into it even though the Defense Attorney presented nothing but Facts to Judge

If Judge who appears to be an honest Judge of the Judges could just have a chance to See the Facts about this Wire Tap, there is no doubt in my mind that he would not have approved it. I say this with confidence because no Judge could approve something as Reckless and Miss Leading as something like this knowing the straight and hard facts! Had he known that Defendant had never been a Gang Member and had no ties to Gang Members and that the Term is not a term used for Gang Leader. If he knew that had never been caught with Cocaine nor none had ever been linked to on him during this investigation and that the Phone Toll Records had been Inflated and that the Investigation hours had been inflated and that No Police Drug Sniffing Dogs had been ever used and that there was no Drugs that were ever found on any of the number of Defendants during this Investigation that had ever been linked to and that the Informants had not been proven to be reliable nor if they had Felony Warrants and were working

off charges or if they ever even existed! There is even more conflicts of the officers in this case like, it had never been proven that [redacted] had been sending Drugs out of town and that these Affiants had Intentionally and with Malice gave False and Miss leading Statements to hype up this investigation and to Misled [redacted] Court Judge [redacted] You can't tell me that this one and only honest Judge of the [redacted] would have Authorized this Wire Tap had he had known all the Facts! And there is more inconsistencies to talk about in this case! The Defendant refuses to believe that this Judge would have allowed this heavily flawed investigation to proceed to a Wire Tap Authorization! My hope is that Judge [redacted] is called into this Body and please obtain the Interviews of the Affiants and Please Obtain the Motion presented to Judge [redacted] by [redacted] pertaining to the Facts while requesting a [redacted] Hearing. Without even knowing this man or what he will say, he cannot and will not allow it to stand with these known Facts in Place! I would bet my life on it because he seemed fair while visiting his Court Room one day for a hearing. On like Judge [redacted] and Judge [redacted] he didn't appear to have any hidden motives or agenda's. He didn't seem to be tethered to a Vindictive Prosecutor!

I [redacted] is the last man standing who as withstood all the acts of Extreme Prejudice and Hatred coming from both Judges as well as the Miss Conduct and Vindictive Prosecution coming from [redacted] a Vicious Prosecutor and who has worked so hard at uncovering the truth! Make no mistake about it, Judge [redacted] is not going to allow me the Defendant to get a Fair Trial, they must have a Conviction at any and all means necessary, the appeared concealment is just too great to ever be known.

I beg this body to just take a look at the facts, just take a look at the Interviews of the Affiants versus the Wire Tap application and its Authorization and its inception which speaks louder than anything that the Defendant could ever say! I am at the mercy of this body to declare an Emergency Situation and remove this case from this Judge [redacted] and Prosecutor [redacted] in its entirety! I believe that the interest of Justice Require it as well as fairness and the equality of the law!

Court Record has proven in the Defendants opinion that, Equality of the Law and Fairness of the Law cannot be acquired by the Defendant while under the watch of Judge [redacted] and this Vicious Prosecutor. There are no Penalties for

the reckless and hateful actions of this Prosecutor! The condoning and support from both Judges of the Negative Behavior of this Prosecutor speaks loudly and clearly and undeniably!

Judge _____ has violated my constitutional rights by denying that there was a **rule 8 violation**. Despite Judge _____ telling _____ that no more time would be given to her and this case was going to trial on _____ had not and was not prepared for trial. _____ Circumvented a **New Time Clock** by re indicting all remaining defendants. As a matter of Court Record, _____ had indicated that from the Unset of this case, she had plan to seek new indictments. This was far from the truth! This is the first series of blatant lies by Mrs. _____ because, she could not have planned to re indicted the Defendant from the beginning of this case because, the snitch and informant in this case was not available until _____ as a matter of Court Record. So she could not have planned a new indictment from the unset of this case as she has suggested until, the informant was available!

Second the snitch _____ is not a reliable informant and has a number of **Felony Records for Cocaine Sales and Possession** and is working off charges in this case. The informant in this case is a habitual offender and was caught with Cocaine on him and in his Pocession and was looking at a length prison sentence so he is willing to tell Mrs. _____ whatever she needs to hear to get time erased from his own sentence. There is no proof what so ever, nor is there probable cause to believe that, the Defendant _____ ever sold a _____ amount of Marijuana to _____.

Judge _____ refused to hear the Defendants motion to suppress the Wiretap Evidence saying that Honorable _____ denied it so she was basically doing the same. How could any Judge that was honorable and has sworn to protect the Constitution and uphold the rule of law turn a blind eye to the obvious facts of this case. Evidence was produced and submitted in two separate Motions that contained the facts that the Affiants have purposefully and with malice misled _____ Court Judge _____. How could this Judge over look this evidence?

Judge _____ intentional acts to prejudice the Defendant by refusing to Sever the Counts saying that the Possession of the Marijuana forsale charge is

properly joined as an overt act in furtherance of a conspiracy. First considering that their hasn't from the beginning of this case and now in the end no proof that this has ever happen, second this Judge has proven to the Defendant that she can never be fair to him and it appears by this ruling and all the others that she to as an interest in seeing the Defendant convicted. Judge is also a former prosecutor and knows that case would fall apart if she makes one correct ruling in this case. So she will with any and all means help the prosecution keep its case intact even when the rule of law requires otherwise. Judge appears to be pro-prosecution and all of her rulings speak just that and because of, her previous rulings she hasn't and refuses to be fair and unbiased to the Defendant!

Judge refuses to Sever Defendant. The Defendant was never at any time throughout this entire investigation been caught with illegal Drugs so, how can Judge try this Defendant with other Defendants when this Defendant hadn't known the other Defendants until this Defendant was arrested. It appears that Judge cannot and refuses to apply the rule of law in this case, she doesn't follow are chooses to follow her own laws and seems to have a desire to see that the Defendant is convicted. This is substantial and undue prejudice and the highest degree in the opinion of the Defendant!

Judge in her ruling to dismiss all Defendant Motions that were of Factual Basis demonstrates this Judge's refusal to be fair and unbiased and impartial to this case. Even More, Judge denied in this same Ruling that Prosecutorial Vindictiveness and the fact that Prosecutorial Bad Faith does not exist in this case even after the Defense Attorney Mr. confronted this Prosecutor on her behavior in Judge Court Room about Miss Conduct and Acting in Bad Faith and also bringing Motions forward in the same case when the case was being handled by Judge who shielded Mrs. from Oral Arguments In a Vindictive Prosecutorial Motion and how it gives him no pleasure to do so as a matter of Court Record. How could this be? How can Judge deny that prejudice and misconduct exist when she is a part of the very same prejudice and misconduct and vindictiveness behavior? It hard for any Judge to call a Prosecutor out on her behavior when that very same behavior is similar to their very own! This why Judge denied that Prejudice and Misconduct by the Prosecutor had not been proven! At least she did one better then Judge because, at least Judge

allowed open argument even though it fell on death ears. First of all, If Judge had allowed Oral Arguments in this case, he would have been forced to dismiss this case with Prejudice something Judge knew before hand and this is why he hasn't allowed Oral Arguments in the Motions presented forward to his court. Even he knew that this case was in Jeopardy of never reaching Court Room which was something that he could not allow to happen. Now we are in Judge Court Room and she's also denying that this prosecutor acted in bad faith because, she too has appeared to have acted in bad faith and prejudicing the Defendant.

You will find that everything worded in this Document is the truth as I remember it, so help me God!

A copy of the Defenses motion accusing prosecutor of Vindictive prosecution and Miss Conduct are included in this Complaint.

Thank You!