

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-105

| | |
|--------------|----------------|
| Judge: | No1026214933A. |
| Complainant: | No1026214933B |

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge improperly refused to send his case back to the grand jury notwithstanding the judge knew a police officer had committed perjury to obtain his original indictment.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of any of the judge's rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: April 23, 2014.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 23, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

today's date

1) To whom it may concern:
2 at my settlement conference
3

4 My Grand Jury Indictment says
5 officer (Having been duly sworn)
6 under Oath committed perjury by
7 stating to the grand jury that the
8 I was driving the morning
9 of had a suspended registration.

10 In His own words. Page 11, lines 8, 9, 10
11 of my Grand Jury Indictment "Then he
12 ended up running the license plate
13 of the and found out the
14 license plate registration was suspended
15 apparently. I have proof registration
16 insurance were up to date at the time.
17 The police report. (2nd paragraph, Page 1)
18 States. "I got behind the vehicle and ran
19 the license plate And the
20 records check to that license plate came
21 back good to a "

22 That is officer statement,

23 There were other perjuries in my indictment
24 that were false accusations.

25 My complaint is even though the judge
26 knew the officer perjured himself he
27 did not send my case

1 back to the grand jury to review again
2 with the truthful information,

3 I believe if the jury knew the truth
4 the outcome may have been different.

5 The officer lied under oath which takes
6 away any credibility as a witness.

7 "was the witness bias, motive or prejudice
8 by anything the witness said or wrote
9 before trial? yes

10 He also said I was caught with scale,
11 metal pipe, blue pipe, Pills and Hypodermic
12 needle.

13 He made fals. accusations under oath.

14 Judge Should have sent my

15 case back to the jury to review

16 again. The judge did not stand up

17 for my rights which means he violated

18 the constitution and his oath of office

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27