State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Co	omplaint 14-108
Judge:	No. 1107914936A
Complainant:	No. 1107914936B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge engaged in unethical conduct as a prosecutor prior to becoming a judge.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: May 21, 2014.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on May 21, 2014.

2014-108 LEGAL CORR PRIVICONS.

ARIZONIA CONNISSIONI
ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW PREBOUNGS
As I'AN without Fair REPRESENTATION IN THE COUNTY
CASE PROPERTY OF THE SEED AND SOR ONES
+ on Acts of PROFESSIONIAL THIS CONDUCT PERFORMED
BY FRM. PROSECUTOR (HOM.). I STATE 3
WILH SUPPORT to CONFIRM PURSUANT TO THE PENDITIES OF
PERTURY 28 U.S.CFITYG AS ERVE AND CORRECT THAT:
HOW HAS ACTED WITTELL BASEFHOUGHT to
CRAST PUAR FURSTHESIAND TORNIALIAN OF 317 \$35ZBUC PUAR ITIN
OF DEFATIATION AS A SEX OFFERIDER. And I HAVE MEVER
BEEN CONNICTED OF A SEX OFFENSE IN TIT LIFE, IN ARIZ.
OR ABROAD. RECORD PROVE I WAS ACCUSED IN 1993 IN
CASE II et Al. NO.
FRUCH. IRS IN YELIUS FOIR DRIFT DINA
0.00
Hoal Yould THE SHEAR SEEM committed of And
OFFERISE ANICH AS A JUDGE HE SAYS MOTHING BECAUSE IN
HE PURPOSESTY ABUSED HIS POWER
to commict TIE FOR "FAILURE to RECISTER" WI NO PROOF
to Justify on PROBABLE CAUSE to FIRBUSH A SEX
Offense comviction in the United States.
THE FO! OwinG Finding & Dad PAGUETENT ARE TIADE
2138117 bind

Telephone: Facsimile: E-Mail;

Altorney for Petitioner

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

FOR STATE OF ARIZONA,

FROM THE

COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF

	Plaintiff)	Case No.:
NS.)	Superior Court Case No.:
Hon.)	•
Judge of the	County Superior Court Defendant)	VERIFIED PETITION FOR SPECIAL ACTION
and) 1	
THE STATE O	F ARIZONA Real Party in Interest)	

RE:

County Case

Dear

l enclose a copy documents that the prosecutor sent to me regarding your DOC criminal history and the records from the records appear to state that no records exist that establish that you were convicted in I will investigate This issue because it is my position that the prosecutor must establish the crime by presenting certified records of your conviction.

Respectfully

- RADINATAO XAC A CA DAITICHAT

- THE ONLY DOCUMENTATION PROVIDED BY THE STATE

 OF ANY PREDICATE CONVICTION OF DEFENDANT (TAR.

 Allegedly Reodining Defendant to

 REGISTER AS A SEX OFFENDER 15 AN ARRISIGNMENT

 IN COURTHOUSE ON 5 COUNTS

 IN VIOLATION OF 288 (A) PC (X2) AND 288 (B) PC (X3), to

 Which COURTS DEFENDANT PLED BUILDED.
- THE STATE HAS MOT PROVINCED ANY COCUTIENTATION,

 THICH LESS CERTIFIED, OF ANY PREDICATE COMMISSION

 OF DEFENDANT FROM REQUIRMS DEFENDANT

 TO REGISTER AS A SEX OFFENDER.

THE FORECIONAL PARACRAPHO ABONE FIRE ARCUTENTS

PRISED IN THE ARIZONA COURT OF

CASE

V. STATE OF ARIZONIA. ARTORNIEY

ARGUES THESE ABOUT FACES SHORM UNDER

STREET SING THE SIMILARY SHIP SHIP HAVE HAVE HAVE

this findings conclude that

HAS BEEN

UNITALISMITY REQUIRED to RECIPTER AS A SEX OFFERILER And MINITALISM PROSECUTION IS EVIDENT AS IS HIS CURRENT PROSECUTION, And FALSE IMPRISONMENT.

			-
2.745	TE GOVERNMENTENT FAISIFIED A SEXO	mortsiumos seumarre	,
5. PN	AF HEIGH REDURA UNI BRILL 177 FO	Meisications.	
3. 00	HERMITEME COMMITTEE FRANCE ON F.	HE COURT.	
4. 48	so the succession of	commetion.	
S. HA	AS NO CERTIFIED SIGNED PLEA P	20 HUSIISSADK	-
6. 16	DING A DISTUSSED CASE NO. ILLEG	Ally As A commiction	· Inc
7. 791	MSIFTIMIC CRITICIAN HISTORY REPOR	Ats to cominct Peor	ple.
8. 4101	NATES FED. R. EVID. 803(22) - 410	5(1)	
9. 410	SHATES SEH ARIENDATIENT CRIET 3 UNI	SuriTheilard Saveur	(u.s. const
10.110	States ARticle 481 Full FAITH	2nd CREdit Cl. (U.S.C	(.semo.)
	-As the Result	~	_
11, 108	St PARENTAL RICHTS to	299E	_
80	PORTS ON SAISISISIONS AS SEX OF	RADINATA	_
12.	IN PRISON FOR FAILURE to	Recipter	-
13.	in PAISON FOR FAILURE !	REGISTER OF	-
	France rankeus uni ritungase		ROP
	Pal finas bunk. Raterisas of assulia		OR PIER)
15.10	ose of tiarriage (Due to sex of	(คกามร์เว Я301837	
16.10	ne dusty of obbodinities me see	THEAT (DUE to FAICE O	(Inoitenium)
17. FA	Arily RESIDENCE tARGETED BY Fly	the Poeted	
18. 50	speed to PAY fees At Sex offenio	STER CLASSES	
19. EX	COMMUNICATED, DEGRADED, RIDICHT	ced, Defarrated, Viol	bata!
001	and Departed of Envil 118ERFIES	HO WE SPEAK.	

2015

Balit

YTTA THEITHOUGH HUT

Int

CASE

(mitagraf Virginian ARGUNIAN)

PG. 8. PAR. 16. HERE, THE INISTANT PROSECUTION AGAINST DES ENGANT PURSUANT TO A.R.S. & 13.3821 MUST BE distributed PO YOURISTANDER 3HT PO JUTRIU YOU WAS PO RETTAIN A 2A ANY RELIABLE EVINGENCE TO ESTABLISH THE PREDICATE

COMMISSION FOR AN OFFENSE THAT WOULD OTHERWISE OBLIGE

HIT TO RECUSTER IN ARIZONIA AS A SEX OFFENDER.

PAR. 17. THE STATE HAS FAILED TO PROVIDE A CERTIFIED CODY

OF A JUDGITEAT OF CONDITIONAL OF THE SUBJECT PREDICATE

COMUNICTION OF DEFENCIANT IN

LOISE OBJUGE HIM TO REGISTER IN ARIZONA AS A SEX OFFE-

NICER UNICER A.R.S. & 13.3821.

PAR. 18. DEFENDANT HAS NEVER ADMITTED TO SAID PREDICATE

CONVICTION UNDER OATH IN COURT.

PAR. 19. THE STATE HAS PROSSERED NO OTHER CERTIFIED dOCK

MENTS OF ANY SORT TO ESTABLISH THE SUBJECT PREDICATE

CONVICTION OF DEFENDARIT IN

STUTITIONS THAT .

-RAHTO DIGOGS TAHT

TURSITIANIARA BELLIASS UNDER A BAR SISBLIAVA YTURBARY

PRELIMINARY HEARING COCKTENT FROM THE

- TUROS OF ON DERITHES - WOLL COURSING WAR , 38 VOH TRUCS

ENDERE PLEA AGREETIENT AND AND HOUSIGNED NOW CERTIFY-

SHT MORE TIMENTUSOD SUNCHARITIES DE

COURT OF CALIFORNIA. SAID COUNTENTS WERE IN FACT OBTAINS-

ESTRIUBO HOIHES TURBOURFES TB TOTE STATE THE BY

3055

4

ANY CONCEINABLE FINDING THAT THE STATE HAS EXER CISED THE REQUISITE EARNEST AND DILICENT EFFORTS TO OBTAIN REQUISITE DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE.

PAR 20. FURTHERMORE, THERE IS NO ENIDENCE WHATSOEVER,

NEITHER COUNTENTARY NOR TESTIMONIAL CEMONSTRATING

THE PERPETRATOR OF THE SUBJECT OFFENSE IS

JUNG DEFENDED THE TORY UNI

PRR. 21. BY HIRTOR OF NOT HOVING PROVIDED ANY RELIGIBLE CONTRACTION OF THE SUBJECT PREDICATE CONTRACTION, THE STATE IS UNDERLY TO DEFICATE, FLOREOVER, THE REQUISITE ELEMENTS OF SAID ALLEGED CONVICTION AS CONSONANT WITH ANY OFFENSE OTHERWISE REQUIRING SEX OFFENDER PRECISTRAL TON UNDER REGISTRAL TON UNDER RESISTRAL TON UNDER RESISTRAL

PAR 32. THE STATES PLIERAUTIVE RELIANCE ON THE PRIOR FELORY CONVICTIONS OF DEFENDANT FOR FAILURE TO REGISTER AS A SEX OFFERIDER "AS THERSELVES" CONSTITUTIVE OF THE REQUISITE PREDICATE CONVICTION UPON LUNION TO RESERVE DEFENDER OF THE SOUVICTION, NO TIENTON IS THAT SOUVICTION, NO TIENTON IS THAT SOUVICTION, NO TIENTON IS THE PREDICATE OF TENSE REQUIRING DEFENDANT TO HOUR REGISTERED AS A SEX OFFENDER IN EITHER THE "CHANGE OF PER HERRING", THE PRE-SENTENCE REPORT, OR THE "SENTENCE OF PER HERRING", THE PRE-SENTENCE REPORT, OR THE "SENTENCIAL HERRING". IN THIS CONVICTION, THERE IS PROBLEM NO REQUISITE DEFENDED OF THE SUBJECT PREDICATE OF PERIOR.

OFFENDER IN TO THEMSELVE CONVICTION IN EITHER THE "CARNING TO THE SUBJECT PREDICATE.

BEING REQUIRED TO REGISTER AS A SEX OFFERIOLER BY

Fac.

THE COURT, BUT FRITS TO PROVIDE HOW SOPECIFIC ANY STRINTS UNIDER LANCH DESENDENT LORS CONVICTED, NO TENTION WHATSOEVER OF THE PREDICATE OFFERED AS A SEX OFFERIOR IN ETTHER THE PER ACREETIENT OR THE SENTENCING HERRING, AND A TIESE VACUE RESERVE HERRING AND A TIESE VACUE RESERVE IN THE PRE-SENTENCE REPORT TO DESENDENT HAVING BEING CONVICTED IN CONVICTED AND LASCIVIOUS ACTS WITH A TIMOR BUT LAITHOUT SPECIFIC STATUTE UNIDER WHICH DESENDENT WAS SO CONVICTED.

PAR 23. BOTH THE AND COMMICTIONS SUFFER FROM

THE SAME "FATAL LEGAL FLAM" AS THE CURRENT PROSECUTION;

SAND COMMICTIONS WERE CLEARLY Ill-FOUNDED AS MOT PROPERLY

BRISED UPON ANY PROOF WHATSOEVER OF THE PREDICATE

COMMICTION OTHERWISE TRANSATIONS SEX OFFEMBER

IN MOITARTENDER

PAR 34. FOR THE CURRENT PROSECUTION OF DEFENDENT FOR
FRIDRE TO RECISTER TO BE BREED UPON THE PRIOR
FETONIT CONDUCTIONS OF FRITURE TO RECISTER, BUT WHICH
PRIOR FETONIT CONDUCTIONS ECRECIONS! LACK AND PROPER
ENIGENTIARY FOUNDATION OF THE PREDICATE CONDUCTION UPON WHICH DEFENDENT'S ADJECTED CONTINUOUS OBJICATION TO
RECISTER AS A SEX OFFENDER IS BREED, IS SHOCKING TO THE
DISTURBED SENISE OF THETIER AS A MINISTON OF THE PROCESS
BUSY SUNDAFFINITAL FRIRNISS. - ENIG OF RECURSION

63/17

5055

HAS FILED THESE SAFIE SUPPORT. MAJORNEY Ed ARGUETIENTS IN A DIRECT ROPER! IN THE ARIZONIA -MOSTAR 20 STATE. 11 . TIR FOCUSED ARCHETIENT IS THAT A CERTIFIED JUDGETERAL OF COMMICTION (FED. CRIT. P. 32(d)(1)) REGARDS to CASE HAS NOT BEEN PRODUCED BY LIVE STATE PROSECUTIONS IN (3) SEDARATE CASE THE HIMSELY CONCLUDES THE -Aus "HALL bing "enoitsitions babling !!! eA SUFFERS THE SAME FATAL RENT CASE LEGAL FLAM THEREFORE PRINCES QUESTIONS to tHE LEGALITY OF HIS CURRENIT CUSTODY IN COUNTY TAIL. As the state Has Held to Advisorio's SEX Offender Recistration (D.R.S. 813-3821) And Comini-Ctinic for FAILURE to RECIETER (ARS 133821A)(19) dus states est boognassed paragraph to state sut ANY CERTIFIED DOCUMENTATION to PROVE COMMICTED SEX OFFERICER EASES ON CASE NO. etavos. Il moitasimumnos so asiloza barast A CLEARLY FETABLISHES THAT A 19001 SIGNIES FIFA ACAGESTERIL IS NOT IN THE COSE FITE OF AND BE FRUOD A YS MOTTON OUN FAKT BINA ARIA A LERUARA OF ROALT AS SHOOD RESIDING ACBEFFIER + HOL SO HOLF FIRSTERS A

.9-

REQUEST FOR ORIGINAL PLEA IN CASE NO.
(SIGNED AND WITHURSDRING). DENIED WITH PRETVOICE
PEOPLE V. 21.00

THIS COURT CAMMOT CERANT YOUR CURRENT REQUEST BECAUSE

NO SUCH DOCUMENT IS IN THE COURT FITE. PLEASE READ THE

FORECOING SENTENCE ACAIN- NO SUCH DOCUMENT IS IN THE

COURT FITE.

- HONORABLE

DECLARE THIS I TENT TRUE

TO THE CONTENTS PROVIDED BY HOW

PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. F 1746 AND PENALTIES OF PERTURY.

"Request for Original Plea in Case DENIED WITH PREJUDICE (Signed and w/Thumbprint") -

People v.

et al. Case No.

Dear

This Court has already responded to your requests and motions foor and.

and, . On each occasion, this Court has informed you of the entirety of the contents of your court file and has repeatedly provided free of charge to you these documents. This Court has informed you over and over that nothing else is in the court file and has directed you not to send any further requests for documents to the Court. Nevertheless, you have now again done exactly that.

This Court <u>cannot</u> grant your current request because no such document is in the court file. Please read the foregoing sentence again - "no such document is in the court file"

You then add that "In the event that a plea is not found, it should be found as unfair to assume I was convicted by a "no contest" if I cannot receive a copy so as to challenge such plea and all its conditions."

This Court has no jurisdiction to determine the sufficiency of its own records as proof of your conviction it said prior conviction is somehow being alleged in an Arizona court. Such a motion, if it can be made at all, must be addressed to the court in which your prior conviction appears to have some relevance—namely, an Arizona court. The determination of whether this prior conviction can be "fairly" applied against you in Arizona can only be adjudged in <u>Arizona</u> not here

Your request for your "original plea" in this matter is denied with prejudice

Very truly yours,

AS A.R.S. 13.701 (C) (C)(I)(II) PERLOIN to SENTENCE OF ITIDAISO-TIENT FOR FELONY; PRESENTENCE REPORT; AGGRAVATING AND MILICATING FACTORS, CONSECUTIVE TERMS OF IMPRISONMENT DEFINI tions. (11, Ch. 33, Sec. 5. Eff. 7/1/12). 13-701 (C). Preginges that All ACCRAVATIONS CIRCUTISTANCES UNDER SUBSECTION (D) And FACTURE FINISHINGS AND REASONS IN SUPPORT OF SUCH Findings ARE SET FORTH ON THE RECORD AT THE FITTE OF a chinishathase THE AGGRANATING FACTOR'S IN THE AHIF DIAR CURRENT CASES FOR FAILURE to REGISTER MOLATIONIS BEFROORGE SHF BAR CASE OT. PERCINGE THIS FROM THE RECORD CASE AS THE ACCRANATING FACTOR AT SENTENCING IN All (3) CASES IS ARULIA TO Unoitatoir A ROZ to REGISTER AC ILSELF (A.R.S. 13-3822 OR 13-3824) 3 5000 laws 9 130017 A3040 ella? 213011 eA moitaloil A 1.04(5) (not a carrie mos Ponishaste By incascessation) SEE: VIOLATION" = BLACKS LAW DICHOMARY THE ED PG 1268).

PS ALT.

SUBTINES OF STATE OF THE OF TO BOTH

THE DOES

AFE PROUNT THAT STATE THAT AND AFTER AFT THAT OF AFE

AFE THAT AFERTAND AND AFERTAND AND AFTER AFT AFT THAT AFTER AFT AFTER AFT AFTER AFT AFTER AFT AFTER AFT AFTER AFT AFTER AFTER AFT AFTER AF

I AN MOL A SEX OFFENDER YET THE DESTACLE THAT I AN UNIVER AND SUBJECTED to is A false light that -3720 PHRICH A IN SILBUR THE BROKES SIT BERRY CAH DEIVE And UNITRUE FIRMINER 18 USCA & 1658(b) THE LABOL AS A SEX OFFERIDER HAS PROVIDED ABSOLUTE DEMINA OF THE BUCHES ABOUNDANTY PROVIDED TO A PERCON WHO HAS PEFFE FOUND COUNTY OF THROPER. Society And Public Officials W/ All REASON PIETES connected SEX OFFERIDERS. AS EQUALLY DO I REJECT A PERSON WHO COTIFIED INDEPROPRIATE ACTS DEGINGS A MATTOUR SO WILLS 25 I HAVE NOT BEEN CONVINCTED OF A SEXUAL OFFENISE IN TY LIFE AND HAVE BEEN "WARDINGSVILL SECTIONALED AS A PERSON WHO IS REQUIRED to BEGISTER AS A SEX OFFERIO-ER. I AM Denized the RIGHT to CHALLENGE ARIZONA'S 13-YEAR CLAIM THAT I WAS COMMISTED IN CASE

The Reterted a certified prea from that

Does not have, and spizone incapperartes

And resonates the united cex offender registeration.

Thures the permittion that that the convicted of a

288(a) protet india contemperar prof in

Ariz Rev stat 13.701 (c)(a)(1)(1) than ate that a reptified

Prea of such conviction be provided. And no

Prea the fuel Permitted non the fix Permial

From sex offender register as this false in the converse.

HAVE ME KILLED AS DIOBODY SEES THE CANGER THIS

HAS EROUGHE ON THY LIFE AND MY FAMILY.

I AM UNDROTECTED AND FEEL THAT HOW ROLF

IN THIS PLANTER IS ONE OF A SELE-SERVING ACENDER AS

HIS RAWN IN THE COURT CAM BE LEVERAGED TO RECIEVE

OR PROVIDE FAVORS FROM PROSECUTOR'S AND LAWYERS WHO

CURRENILLY LIFUCATE THY CASE. AS I SHOULD NOT BE IN

CUSTODY I DO FEAR THAT I WILL BE WILLED AS RESULT

OF THY STANDING-UP ACAINST WHAT IS UNLAWFUL AND

A THREAL TO THE DIGNITY OF THE ARIZONA COURTS.

TAN REQUESTING A INVIESTICATION STARTING WINTERS

TIFASE RESPECT TIM REQUEST AS EHIS IS MOT
FRINITOUS. IT'S BEEN FITED IN AN
REVITED THE PETITION FITED OR WHITE
THATE A COPY. PIERSE HELD.

SIMICERELY