State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-117

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge should have disqualified himself.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's ruling. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 17, 2014.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 17, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

2014-117

To whom it may concern:

that I had to address. My apology for it has taken me so long to reply due In regards to my complaint against Judge , l attended court in . This was a delayed and long waited and unjustified hearing on behalf of my receiving , and to ask the court to see to enforce my to pay the debts had stated in the rather than . Therefore, when in court for the on promised to pay all the debts in lieu of , my has paid a instead attorney month after the had been docketed. never planned on paying for anything and to this day still no financial obligations to myself and accordance to the . Therefore, I took back to court on borrowed money to hire an attorney. ,my attorney was given a request from me to have a change of venue because Judge is related to . My attorney argued the and would not file the papers on my behalf because it would cost more money matter with me and time. Court was scheduled for which nor attorney appeared and suspiciously was on the court premises which he made an appearance only after a request by the judge only to establish a and had to which confirmed that the session was indeed (when showed for court. tried to get which never happened. The judge а against and for this matter. In this court session both agreed when court was to reconvene. requested out which was granted for . This has iust to me be in court for absolutely nothing and only to be . In fact, I had with the attorney in which I had and after walked out of the court house ... because of the . Papers were all ready to go for the next court hearing.

My new hired attorney, received the paperwork, which was already completed and ready for court. All had to do at that time was to review the paperwork and be ready to represent me at court the following month. had mention to that I would make would need as I didn't want whatever copies to charge me for copying services. had mentioned to me that does not charge for making copies, nor does charge whenever we spoke on the phone about the case. mentioned that while most attorney's did charge for this, didn't feel it necessary to charge for such services. When I paid , I told him that this was all the money that I could come up with, as I had to borrow this money. I paid him a check of which was to cover all cost, and possibly receive a refund. I had already paid thru my former attorney because of nformation in which knew nothing about , in which is supposed to be the attorney in the did not represent me well in court. In fact, the Judge as well as area. actually asked were laughing. Judge if there was a point to all the questions in which

was asking me. In fact, I thought was actually badgering me with the same questions over and over again. I told. that I didn't feel that had represented me well after we left the court house. And, I asked why didn't protect when I was being badgered by Judge and also threatened me in court in wanted me for three alone with . My case and concerns were never justified. told me it was all just a show.Well, it was not just a show as of days later, I received the papers thru the email that Judge had just washed his hands of me and gave my everything. I don't understand why I couldn't have had another is related to my). I don't agree with the decision, which has judge (especially when caused a tremendous hardship on me.Yes, I am complaining and wondering where I go from here?? I have no money and still have no job, no unemployment or anything. Why should get to walk away without paying what promised? I did my part.

Thank You,