State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-119	
Judge:	No. 1104714888A
Complainant:	No. 1104714888B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner acted unethically by signing a legally defective search warrant.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: May 28, 2014.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on May 28, 2014.

CONFIDENTIAL
State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014-119

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Judges take AN onth to uphold the law under Rule 2.2 of the Judical

Conduct As well As take AN OATh to Comply with the law under Rule 1.1 ..

IN this Complaint, Judge did not comply with Anizona law and uphold A.R.S. 13-3913 regulrements when signing off on the Attached search warrant.

The exhibit Attached to this Complaint is the vary first page of A search warrant as my proof that Judge Signed a search warrant that Did NOT Comply with Arizona law. Therefore violating the Trules that Judge took An OATH to uphold. As A result, making Judge liable for A Judical misconduct violation. This violation is knowingly because judges are presumed to know the law And it's requirements. The law that was clearly violated By Judge

The law that was clearly violated By Judge is A.R.S. 13-3913 which states in part, "That All Search warrants must name or describe a person". As one can see by the attached exhibit. this law reguliement was not upheld By judge

This Complaint is simple. IF A Search warrant that comes before judge and the very first page

CONFIDENTIAL

Name:

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014-119

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Judge's Name

what you believe the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the page only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.	ne
of the Search warrant DOES NOT comply with Arizona	- LAW
hen How is Judge compling with Rule	4 - 4
ind 2.2 of the Judical Conduct by signing off on	, the
parrant? The Judge is Not!	
	6
ersonally respond to this Complaint. I would Also	o like
judge to take the Appropriate steps to	correct
his madifest miscarriage of whetice.	