State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-131

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainants alleged that a hearing officer reached an incorrect result, took too long, and acted in an arbitrary and capricious manner.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the hearing officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the hearing officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 5, 2014.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainants and the hearing officer on June 5, 2014.

CONFIDENTIAL State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014-131

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Attached is a copy of the Judges Clarification on a small claims case that was heard. The case was not decided on the date because he stated it was to technical and needed to do research on the case In his decision he based it on that we were not honoring our warranty and we had no intention to resolve the issue, during the case we repaeated time and time again that the system was returned to us and we spent several hour and days trying to resolve the issue to find out it was not the work we did but something non related to the work we completed we offer a solution the the Plantiff but it would cost more money the Plaintiff rejected the work to be preformed. They asked for another service of recovering DATA. Which we said for a fee of per hour Which we did and returned the unit to them with the DATA. Gave them a bill that was never paid??? which was denied??? I consider that This was part of our of Services. i feel the Judge was acting "Arbitrary and Capricious" Based on the opening statement of the Plaintiff "Your Honor, the defent does not know that I am a Retired and have been invloved In many court case etc." And I also feel that the judge did not review the evidence properly because the Plaintiff stated that an expert stated the failed on the There is no such regulator on the board, that is the which we did not replace. And fact is the Plaintiff was using the unit in a where voltage id different can explin why the power supply died. He could have Google-it all of this online, and got the same answers. Also it took over a month to get the clarification. I would like this case reviewed and I wish to have an appeal somehow.

Because cases are not appealable.....