State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-133

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a judge misapplied the law.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 5, 2014.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 5, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

2014-133

Date:

Judicial Complaint against

My name is I was sentenced by to years to life for first degree murder. I was just notified that my sentence of in the state hospital is in error. Due to a case State of Arizona Vs. which overturned her sentence to only vears due to the fact on a sentence for only one murder there is no presumptive sentence. This was an over sight at the legislature when drafting the punishment laws for murder. Since there is no presumptive, the Arizona Court errs on the side of lenity and changes the sentence to only vears, Mr as a competent judge and lawyer should have known this.

I was sentenced long after state vs. whih was decided in My case is a case Why does an insane man need to teach the judge the laws?????????

h.s 15 A GUILTY EXCEPT INSANCE NO Presun Ptive on Degree murder Get Presumptive Not MAXIMUM Sentence tell Juge to Leaven Law