State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 14-134
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge improperly failed to order his release on his own recognizance.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 5, 2014.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 5, 2014.

CONFIDENTIAL

AZ

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:			
evidentiary on my attorney or myself all the many court doc , but it , Judge var addressing the issue of was out on my own re held in prison and not fulfilling Rule 32.8 d. favor of the defendant or detention, any furth matters that may be no Furthermore, but of release, I was held or the opportunity to p thru Right under the Eight Section 15, was violat post conviction process	Decision, of the Ariz. , it shall enter an appropriate the proceedings, including a necessary and proper." by Judge choosing to not days without the post bond. My attorney filed not be actions of J. Amendment as well as my A. ded. I feel this was no accident.	, but the torney and myself who torney was made award a more and granted a more rece and granted a near to my aling Judges start order with respect to new trial and condition to fulfill his duty and the court issuing my or motions to resolve this Judge my Unit wrizona Constitutional and (due to all the prior	. I was given an e court failed to include here always included on re there was a hearing on tion to reset. ew trial, yet not , sentence to prison I ated his recusal. I was only partially tes," If the court finds in the conviction, sentence and other address my conditions iginal release conditions is issue from the State Constitutional Right under Article 2,
Competence, Diligence (A) A judge shall per "promptly" Comment 4. In disper	form judicial and administ osing of matters promptly a ghts of parties to be heard a	rative duties competended and efficiently, a judg	tently, diligently, and ge must demonstrate

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Nam	ie:
2. Judge of Justice.	is in violation of the Arizona Constitution Article 2, Section 11, Administration
	l cases shall be administered openly, and without unnecessary delay.
been made a Judge conviction, v himself, why	nclusion, the actions of Judge caused undue hardship. His recusal could have fler fulfilling his obligation to my rule 32 post conviction process was complete. felt the need to carry out his duty up until the point of vacating my sentence and why not finalize the process? Better yet, if he felt such a strong need to recues not do so at the very beginning? Whatever the reasons Judge had for iming I my opinion constitute "cruel and unusual punishment."
I affirm, und	er penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the allegations contained in nt are true.

Date