

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-136

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged the consideration of his petition for post-conviction relief had been postponed too many times, resulting in the violation of his due process rights.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 5, 2014.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 5, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

STATE OF ARIZONA,
COURT,
COURT,

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

RULE 32, POST CONVICTION RELIEF,
DUE DILIGENT- AND RIGHT TO
BE HEARD IN A TIMELY MANNER
AZ STATE BAR ASSOCIATION, FILE

RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS-
OF 150 DAYS COURT, HAS
VIOLATED, BY GRANTING SEVERAL
30 DAY EXTENSIONS- NOTICE OF APPEARANCE
WAS FILED - ONE TIME

EXTRAORDINARY CONSEQUENCE ONLY- NOW
THIS CASE - HAS BEEN
DELAYED, SIX TIMES- UNITED STATES
SUPREME COURT, RULE 8, DEBARMENT
AND DISCIPLINARY ACTION, JUDGE
HAS ENGAGED IN CONDUCT UNBECOMING
A MEMBER OF THE BAR WILL ENTER
AN ORDER SUSPENDING THAT MEMBER
FROM PRACTICE

STATE OF ARIZONA COURT

pursuant to AZ Rule 53 b-(2)
 R- Discipline Probable
 Cause Committee,

CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACT
 OF 1964-, see 18 USC, § 3006, A
 (d), (b), Federal statute, Requires
 Attorney's and member's of Justice
 to who's name appears on the
 cover of a document presented
 for appearance,

The petitioner in this, is being
 held in ARIZONA STATE PRISON
 WITHOUT Factual evidence in an
 ACIDENTAL INJURY CASE, JURY TRIAL

A lesser included
 verdict, post conviction, and
 evidentiary hearing HAVE
 BEEN POSTPONED, Beyond The
 150 days- This in violation
 OF Right to due process- And
 due diligence- COURT

senior

3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
ARIZONA STATE

HABEAS-

COUNTY

COUNT

VIOLATED, RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS-OF
150, ON RULE 32, P-C-R, WHEN IT
GRANTED 30 DAYS-CONTINUENCE, ON

- THIS

HAS-NOW CAUSE THE, PENDING RULE 32,
TO INTERFERE, WITH, PETITIONER

RIT OF HABEAS CORPUS- THE COURT
HAS-GRANTED, MORE THAN 5 CONTINUENCES
ONLY ONE, CONTINUENCE CAN BE GRANTED
FOR EXTRAORDINARY REASONS-OF 30 DAYS
THIS WAS DONE

MR - SHOULD NOT HAVE TO ASK
THE NINTH CIRCUIT, APPEALS- COURT
FOR SUCCESSIVE, CERTIFICATE, BECAUSE
HE IS NOT AT FAULT, IN THIS NOW
MISCARAGE OF JUSTICE, CAUSED BY

COUNTY

COUNT,

IN CASE NO.

THE PETITIONER SHALL BE GRANTED
RELIEF, UNDER THE DEFAULT RULE,
RIGHT-TO BE HEARD IN A TIMELY
FASHION.