#### State of Arizona

### COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-146

Judge:

Complainant:

#### ORDER

The complainant alleged a pro tem justice of the peace was prejudiced against men and improperly delayed a hearing which prevented him from presenting critical evidence from two witnesses he had asked to testify on his behalf.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 25, 2014.

## FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 25, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

# 2014-146

From:
To: Arizona Commission of Judicial Ethics
Subject: Judge in the County Judicial Ethics Complaint on case number
Date:
I hereby file this formal judicial complaint against Judge in the Court for the following reasons.
First Ethics Complaint: During the many months of having hearings in front of Judge I had always felt uncomfortable and even demeaned because I'm male. She always had a negative attitude in other domestic violence hearings, mine included. It felt as if she was simply going through the motions of justice but not "providing" true justice in domestic violence cases. Many men going through the court were saying "domestic violence cases are rubber stamped" by Judge 1000. Over the months I felt she already predetermined the outcome of the case before my trial on 1000000000 Clearly, after my trial I feel my fears had been confirmed. In my opinion, Judge 1000 violated the following rules of Judicial Conduct: Rule 1.2, Rule 2.3B
Second Ethics Complaint: On the second is the second ethics Complaint: On the second ethics is complaint. The second ethics is complaint is complaint is complaint in the second ethics is complaint. The second ethics is complaint is complaint is complaint is complaint. The second ethics is complaint is complaint is complaint in the second ethics is complaint. The second ethics is complaint is complaint is complaint in the second ethics is complaint. The second ethics is complaint is complaint is complaint in the second ethics is complaint. The second ethics is complaint is complaint is complaint in the second ethics is complaint. The second ethics is complaint is complaint is complaint is complaint is complaint in the second ethics. The second ethics is complaint is complaint is complaint is complaint is complaint in the second ethics. The second ethics is complaint is complaint is complaint is complaint is complaint in the second ethics is complaint is complaint. The second ethics is complaint is complaint is complaint is complaint is complaint is complaint is complaint. The second ethics is complaint is complaint is complaint is complaint is complaint. The second ethics is complaint is complaint is complaint is complaint is complaint. The second ethics is complaint is complaint is complaint is complaint. The second ethics is complaint is complaint is complaint is complaint is complainted ethics. The second ethics is complaint is complainted ethics is complainted ethics. The second ethics is complainted ethics is complainted ethics is complainted ethics. The second ethics is complainted ethics. The end result was a second ethics is complainted ethics is complainted ethics is complaint
I request the Arizona Court, Commission on Judicial Ethics investigate the

Respectfully Submitted,

above complaint.

