

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-161

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge was prejudiced and failed to give notice of decisions.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 16, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed
to the complainant and the judge
on July 16, 2014

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**2014-161****COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Your Name: _____

Judge's Name: _____

Date: _____

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

ON THE 17TH OF APRIL 2014 I VACATED AND REMANDED MY ACTUAL INNOCENCE CLAIM WHICH WAS DISMISSED BY THE HONORABLE JUDGE JAMES J. HANCOCK AFTER THE ABOVE DATE I BEGAN TO REQUEST DISCOVERY TRANSCRIPTS, POLICE REPORTS IN ORDER TO PROVE MY CASE WHICH WENT UNANSWERED. I LATER FILED A MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF INVESTIGATOR AND ATTORNEY TO HELP ME FIND WITNESSES TO SUPPORT MY CLAIM. AFTER APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL I LEARNED MY ATTORNEY DID NOT KNOW IF SHE WAS GOING TO BE ADVISORY COUNSEL. SO I FILED MY ADDITIONAL PLEADINGS OF PROSECUTOR MISCONDUCT. THE JUDGE ORDERED STRIKING THE DOCUMENT OF PROSECUTOR MISCONDUCT. ALSO ORDERED THIS COURT WILL NOT ACCEPT FOR FILING ANY FUTURE DOCUMENTS PREPARED BY MR. ANDERSON. THIS IS MY SECOND COMPLAINT AGAINST THIS JUDGE THE FIRST COMPLAINT WAS FOR HIM NOT GIVING ME NOTICE AFTER DISMISSING MY FIRST RULE 32. THEN AGAIN NOT GIVING ME NOTICE AFTER DISMISSING MY ACTUAL INNOCENCE CLAIM. THE JUDGE'S ONGOING ATTEMPT TO KEEP ME FROM OBTAINING RELIEF IS OBVIOUS. THERE HAS BEEN ONGOING TELEPHONIC PHONE CONFERENCE BY THE JUDGE THE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND MY ATTORNEY WHO TELLS ME NOTHING. I HAVE ADDRESSED TO MY ATTORNEY I WANT TO HEAR WHAT IS BEING DISCUSSED IN THESE CONFERENCES I HAVE ALSO ADDRESSED THIS TO THE STATE BAR. INADVERTENTLY THIS JUDGE HAS VIOLATED CANON 1, CANON 2 A. BY NOT RESPECTING THE LAW AND COMPLYING WITH RULE 32 1 H. AND PREMATURELY DISMISSING MY CLAIM WITHOUT A HEARING RESULTING IN A TWO YEAR DELAY. CANON 3 B.1. IGNORING MY REQUEST FOR DISCOVERY REQUEST OF TRANSCRIPTS AND POLICE REPORTS. TO SHOW THE PROSECUTOR USED PURJURED TESTIMONY TO GAIN A WRONGFUL CONVICTION. CANON 3 B.7 THE JUDGE HAS DENIED ME THE RIGHT TO BE HEARD ACCORDING TO THE LAW AFTER MY REQUEST TO MY ATTORNEY THAT I WANT TO BE PRESENT OR ON THE PHONE DURING ANY TELEPHONIC PHONE CONFERENCE. AFTER MY ATTORNEY HAS FAILED TO FILE THE PROSECUTOR MISCONDUCT AND KEEP ME UPDATED ON WHAT'S GOING ON WITH,

(Attach additional sheets as needed.)

MY APPEAL. CANON 3.D.2 THE JUDGE HAS RECEIVED COPIES OF EXHIBITS OF THE PROSECUTOR MISCONDUCT INTRODUCTION OF PURTERED TESTIMONY. AND FALSE OPENING STATEMENTS. DURING THE TRIAL HE WAS PRESIDING OVER. THE ONLY APPROPRIATE ACTION TAKEN WAS TO TRY TO SILENCE ME BY DEPRIVING ME ACCESS TO THE COURTS. WITH HIS LAST COURT ORDER ATTACHED TO THIS COMPLAINT ORDERING THE COURT TO NOT ACCEPT ANY DOCUMENTS PREPARED BY ME.

CANON 3.E 1 A DISQUALIFICATION

THIS JUDGE HAS A PERSONAL BIAS AGAINST ME AND SHOWED IT THROUGHOUT MY RULE 32 PROCEEDINGS AFTER NOT FOLLOWING THE ARIZONA RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE AND DISMISSING MY ACTUAL INNOCENCE CLAIM WHERE THE ALLEGED VICTIM CLAIMS TO HAVE BEEN COERCED AND THREATENED BY THE PROSECUTOR.

ALL THE CANON VIOLATIONS HAVE BEEN LISTED AND POINTED OUT I HOPE YOUR COMMISSION CAN TAKE APPROPRIATE ACTION I KNOW I AM NOT THE ONLY ONE WHO HAS COMPLAINED ABOUT THIS JUDGE'S ACTIONS.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME