State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-167

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge had violated his due process rights.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 28, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 28, 2014

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

ADES. During this tril on

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014-167

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

for

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I believe the Judge has not followed the rules of procedure as they apply to my case.

I made a no contest plea in to the allegations set forth in the

dependency petition. I have completed all services that were required by the State.

Then in the State motioned to change physical custody make to

the State introduced evidence that

was not party to the initial dependency petition. It seems clear to me that Rule 48 (E) of rules of procedure juvenile court. Amended petitions.

or raise of procedure javenine court. Annenada petitione.

the petitioner upon order of the court not less than thirty (30) days prior to trial unless good cause is shown.

I was arrested on and my was retaken. This was due to

allegations my ex-wife made in for prostituting her out. This was

never a part of the original petition and or admission I made in

I was never arrested for anything relating to this protitution allegation until

Then I was released on my own recognizance and have not been charged.

Then on the trail, no notice was provided regarding any amend-

ment to the petition, yet the State used and the Judge allowed this information against me.

If the State and the Judge followed the Rule 48 (E), and I was provided proper

noitice, I would have testified at this trail, however, it seems that the State and or

Judge has committed judicial misconduct by no following the rules that are establsihed

and Court. This act(s) have not afforded me due process

to properly be represented on changes and NEW charges that I was not aware of or had plead no contest to in

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

would show

worker

2014-167

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

My attorney filed a motion of no reasonable efforts by the State in

The State has been allowed to make motion and had orders presented to me.

None of the motions that have been brought up my counsel have been denied.

Then the Judge granted the State leave from the court to motion to sever

parental rights, which was granted by the Judge.

The motion of no reasonable efforts filed in

that waited for over days before they provided any services for

reunification. Additionally, this time allowed and not heard concerning

reasonable efforts, directly impacts the States motion to sever, based on the

amount of out of home placement of my almost

This is judicial misconduct, if my motion was heard within a reasonable time,

the State would need to support why they failed to provide services for a less

than when they know the statute. The

let pass with nothing even though I asked which would be presented

in the no reasonable effots trail, if the Judge let it happen, I blieve that

that this motion has been out there then the Judge at an initial severance hearing

denies my attorneys motion for this to be heard. Due Process is not being afforded.

3