State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-175

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge would not consider her evidence and always took the side of her ex-husband.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: August 28, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on August 28, 2014. CONFIDENTIAL State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014-175

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

This pertains to custody of my kids. This Judge will not look at any evidence I have to state my case. Even though I produce numerous documents via emails that he has court ordered for me and my kids father to follow and send I have phone records and other material to admit, and he continuously ignores them. I have evidence and this judge won't even look at it. My kids father comes to court with nothing to support his side and then judge still takes his word for it. I have also found out that this judge is an advocate for father's right's and holds meeting's for father's and their right's. Isn't this a conflict of interest? Look at his percentage of cases, and look at how many times he award's more custodial right's to the father This may sound like just a disgruntled parent, but it's deeper than that. I have done everything the way he has ordered now and the kids father doesn't feel he needs to follow the it for judges orders at all, blatantly saying "He doesn't care cause the judge sides with him anyways". And yet I produce numerous document's to support my side and this judge won't accept them as evidence. The kids father shows up with no supporting document's, and I loose more of my right's. After all said and done the Judge admits he doesn't read or have the time to read any emails, he has

to make a judgement. And yet he is a judge, he controls countless lives and the future of countless lives. Makes a judgement or an order, but when it comes time to enforce those orderes he states that it's hearsay and not admit that evidence. What is the purpose of parent's follow certain rules and then not inforce them said rules?

 $\mathbf{2}$