#### State of Arizona

## COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 14-176	
Judge:		
Complainant:		

#### **ORDER**

The complainant alleged that a superior court commissioner misstated the law, prejudged her case, engaged in an improper demeanor, and made erroneous decisions.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: August 20, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the commissioner on August 20, 2014

## CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014-176

# COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name		Juage's	Name:		
Instructions: Use this for what you believe the judge times, and places that will along with copies (not originates) a copy of the complain	did that constitutes help the commission nals) of relevant cou	judicial miscond n understand yo	luct. Be specific and our concerns. Additi	l list all of the names, onal pages may be att	dates, ached
At the first hearing	g in	and	the subsequer	nt hearing in	
	in	dicated how	she would like	ely rule on this ma	atter.
She stated grandpa	rents have no C	Constitution	al Rights.		
During the third h	earing in		the	announced	she
purged Respondent	's and Respond	lent's live-in	boyfriend's cri	minal records. T	hese
records were admitt	ed during the in	itial hearing	. The	claimed th	ie
records were obtain	ed from the inte	ernet, and s	he did not trus	t the internet.	
Testimony reveal	ed Respondent	enrolled	in a	high-risk school	
There ws no basis f	or this as	atte	ended		
District school from	thro	ugh	Responden	t stated she had	to
hurry to enroll him i	n school.				
Respondent deni	ed being in dru	g rehab; ho	wever, testimo	ny revealed she i	n fact
was admitted for pr	escription drug	use.			
Respondent move	ed in a f	ew short yea	ars. This was v	erified in open cou	ırt.
Respondent testi	fied she did not	seek medic	al attention or	grief counseling for	or my
	When he		she	related he didn't	want
to continue counse	ling.				
Respondent's		was out o	of control, and I	had her removed	from
the	When Respon	ndent receiv	ed a letter from	n	
	Program, she r	eturned her		When her	
turned	she drove her	out of the		to live with the	same
friend.					
In	another with	ess describ	ed in detail ho	w Respondent's l	ive-in
bovfriend held her		upside do	wn by one foot	. This incident to	ok

## **CONFIDENTIAL**

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

## FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014-176

## COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:		Judge's Name:		
what you believe the times, and places tha along with copies (no	his form or plain paper of the judge did that constitutes judge at will help the commission up to originals) of relevant court of applaint for your records.	icial misconduct. Be specifi nderstand your concerns. A	e and list all of the r dditional pages may	names, dates, be attached
place in a	Wa	iting Room. After w	tnessing this in	cident,
witness reporte	d same to a nurse who	exclaimed this beh	avior was dang	erous.
During the sa	ame hearing, the	announced	I she had not m	nade a
decision in this	matter. This announce	ment was more than	confusing.	
Several witne	esses testified to my	relationship wit	h now	deceased,
and	It was verified that	I cared for my	while my	worked
in town, out of t	own, and out of state.			
<u>In</u>	an expert witnes	s described Respon	dent's criminal	record as
well as the exte	nsive criminal record of	of her boyfriend. The	ere were multip	e MVD
offensesno dr	iver's license, no insur	ance, and no registr	ation. He desc	ribed the
arrests and con	victions for misconduc	t with weapons, drug	g, transporting,	and drug
paraphernalia,	and well as serving	in Arizona		
Respondent	described how she and	her family scrap for	metal. This, to	me, is a
red flag.				*** ,
The	insulted and em	barrassed me at ea	ch and every he	earing.
She said she did	not have to give me visi	tation time with	She co	mmented
my conduct was	troubling, and she was	not sure she could t	rust me. I am n	ot a
criminal but wa	s definitely treated like	one.		
The	judicial demean	or was Napoleonic, p	rejudicial, close	minded,
unprofessional,	and out of control.			
The	removal of criminal	exhibits previously acc	epted into eviden	ce and then
removing same	seems outrageous.			
The		nterpret facts from fig	tion and apply	laws with

## CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

## FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014-176

## COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name	Judge's Name:			
what you believe times, and place along with copies	se this form or plain paper of the same size to the judge did that constitutes judicial miscondust that will help the commission understand yours (not originals) of relevant court documents. Ple complaint for your records.	ct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, concerns. Additional pages may be attached		
fairness and	l impartiality.			
	was impatient, sa	rcastic, and discourteous to legal		
counsel and	I me. At the final hearing, she contin	uously attacked the attorney.		
	glared, made faces	s, and squinted at spectators,		
witnesses, le	egal counsel, and me.			
Respond	lent verbally attacked the character,	size, and truthfulness of the wit-		
nesses and	Petitioner. made no	comments.		
The Res	pondent was given the opportunity to	have witnesses testify on her		
behalf. Res	spondent produced no witnesses.			
	severed my	from having a relationship		
with	This relationship was from	to the date		
The gros	s mishandling of this case by	has now		
placed	health, welfare, and safety in jeo	pardy.		
If possil	ble, I request the Commission listen	to the interview		
	conducted with my	This is how she arrived at her		
decision.				

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.