State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-184

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge failed to recuse himself at the appropriate time and failed to issue timely rulings.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 23, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 23, 2014.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014-184

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _	Judge's Name:					
what you believe the times, and places the along with copies (no	his form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, at will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached of originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and implaint for your records.					
(P	e Offocles					
						
-						

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington St., STE 229 Phoenix, AZ 85007

To Whom it May Concern:

I bring this complaint against Judge County, AZ in the matter of . I have absolutely no confidence in the County Judicial System, specifically , and I feel there is no way I can receive a fair EX PARTE hearing Judae (It did not happen). There are many people who "claim" to be professionals in County to include, judges, lawyers, therapist, teachers, police, etc. and they are anything but that. County is a poor county with a transited middle class community. military and federal workers, who do not necessarily get involved. County has become an island onto itself, because the permanent citizens do not have enough resources to defend themselves. I am slowly peeling back the onion (I peeled it back, it is incestuous, all the professionals are linked through familial, social, and professional ties), and what I am discovering is all these professionals are interlinked and unprofessional. which means it has become very prejudicial against me. Judge powers under the color of law, the cloak of who abused robe. This matter was not deposed of in prompt, fair, and efficient manner (BTW blamed me, which can be further form the truth, more on that later). I bring this complaint in accordance with Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct, dated 2009, Arizona Revised Statue, and Federal Statue. My attorney advised me not to do this but I could care less at this point because I have not , why well just because. Fighting these professional incestuous relationships is losing battle. In County its not about the , its promoting and protecting institutions and constituents.

Rule 1.2 - Promoting Confidence in the Judiciary

Rule 1.3 – Avoiding Abuse of the Prestige of Judicial Office

Rule 2.4 – External Influences on Judicial Conduct

Rule 2.5 - Competence, Diligence, and Cooperation

Rule 2.11 - Disqualification

Rule 2.12 - Supervisory Duties

Rule 2.15 - Responding to judicial and Lawyer Misconduct

Judicial Ethics Advisory Opinions 06-02

ARS 12-128.01 Receipt of salary by judges and commissioners; affidavit; pending and undetermined causes; violation; classification

On	, on		, I discovered an indirect relationship via					
	between Jud	ge a	nd a key witness	in my Ex Parl	te hearing,			
		Judge		. On				
Judge	agreed to r		from this case.	On	_, Ĭ			
received	l Minute Entries, w							
profession	onal relationship v	vith	admitted . I have bee	n at this since)			
	(I'm still g	oing at it, wh	y because institu	utions have ins	stalled fear			
in			let it happen), a					
rights		due to ma	ny parties egred	ious action to	include			
_	and Judge	. This exp	lains everything	to me, why I c	ould not get			
anywhei	re. I also discover	ed, on	, that Judg	e disc	closed this			
	ship to my attorney							
why i	s no longer my at	orney. If I ha	d know this in	to	time			
frame,	would I	nave been of	the case.	WO	uld have			
	ained, and							
	would have beer							
not excu	ise Judge	conduct.	should have re	ecorded of	fer to			
recuse	•		er was assigned					
	should have an				hear the			
	ne of the reasons	mv	riahts were	_	was a			
	letter from	 . all ι	intrue. Judae	knew	would be			
part of th	ne proceedings. a							
	nts, Judge							
•	e, no transcripts.							
	This was never a							
	responsibility				me it			
	ed on			h out this trial,				
tempora	ry judicial decision	ıs in chan	nbers, away from	•				
	turned off the tap							
	ctation. I feel							
	wanted to insula							
	ere is no public re							
	ide. Judge							
	perly annotated in							
	s day). At one hea			or me to bridge				
	• •	y start sendin		•	ite clear in			
instru	uctions. My	,	opposing coun					
along wi	•			the spirit of th	ne order.			
Му	instead of			with a note				
back, cu		and r	provided them to					
	provided the			_	vhatever			
wanted t	to open (that is an	other story).	This happened o		ay period.			
	s not the intent of							
do - nothing, never sanctioned anyone. Opposing Counsel argued,								
					lly are you			
kiddina r	mel Judge	did nothina			, ,			

On	, Juage	stated,			
, conce	erning my		•	abused the p	rocess,
and Judge	approves	behavior.	f Judge	checked a	ny
documents	would have seen	this, and it	would have	been perfectly	clear
was abusing	the system. The tl	reshold for	Ex-Parte in	(Count	v is
alarming low,		. In this			
case it was b	ecause		-	-	
Is tha	at imminent danger	and irrepar	able harm?	Nope! (You ar	re going to
	matter of law, I say				
In	my lawyer	tallied apx	motions/c	auses that	never
ruled on that	were submitted in	•	timeframe	e. I believe Jud	lge
cl	eared this up in	•		I do not know	_
). I can re	asonably as	` .	e accer	
in viola	ition of ARS 12-12	8.01. AŽ Su	ipreme Cou	irt found that the	e filing of
	s brought the "inte				
	judicial to the admi			•	
• •			-		

The commentary on Canon 3B(8) elaborates on the duty it imposes:

. .

"In disposing of matters promptly, efficiently and fairly, a judge must demonstrate due regard for the rights of the parties to be heard and to have issues resolved without unnecessary cost or delay. Containing costs while preserving fundamental rights of parties also protects the interests of witnesses and the general public. A judge should monitor and supervise cases so as to reduce or eliminate dilatory practices, avoidable delays and unnecessary costs. A judge should encourage and seek to facilitate settlement, but parties should not feel coerced into surrendering the right to have their controversy resolved by the courts."

"Any person who issues or causes to be issued any check, warrant or payment to a judge or commissioner knowing that, pursuant to this section, such judge or commissioner should not receive his salary is guilty of a class 3 misdemeanor."

If proven true, I would ask that Commission for Judicial Conduct to swear out charges to the appropriate DA, a misdemeanor 3, it's fraud.

I could never understand why I could not get anywhere with this case. I have done nothing wrong and filed a bunch of false allegations against me. I'm months into this (as of this writing it is), and they have not (can't) prove irreparable harm and imminent danger (never proved anything). Opposing console has provided nothing, no facts, just a bunch of mudslinging by and no police reports, no medical repots, no forensic interviews, no negative disinterested third parties reports, in fact and said this all unfounded (fact). In fact the professionals in

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.