State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-212

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased against him.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: August 20, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on August 20, 2014

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR	OFFICE	USE	ONLY

2014-212

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: ____

___ Judge's name: __

____ Date:

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

I Fill That Judge was Boas By sealing officer			
- Testamony at trial I Beleave That He New			
was under Investagation By The Internal affairs and was working			
with the state, when I was Notenfy By public Defender			
That officer was let go For			
providing Ealse TESTIMONY TO GRAND JULY ON			
when I Found out This InFormations He and Hy atorney			
put to a rule 32 and Judge deny			
saying Re was They DiDen'T proble pury Tell I was Found guilty			
By a Jury I argure IF The Jury would of New This about officer			
The out come would have Been different, But Judge			
disagreed, we also argue That The verdio dident show			
any trasation only the INFORMANT and Said I soud			
Drugg's The JURY DIDENT BELEAVE THE INFORMANT BUT THE BELEQUE			
The Judge JOB IS NOT TWO BE DIDS and HE and			
My FAMILY BELEAVE WE Was I JUST WANT HIM TWO BE LEED RECOUNTAGE			
For His Action, Because two me that was enorgh two get my case over			
Turned and he should of Done IT But he did what he wanted two			
Not what the Law regire's			
Thank you			

(Attach additional sheets as needed)