State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-218

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge issued a restraining order against her without giving her a chance to respond and was biased against her.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's ruling. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: August 28, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on August 28, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014-218

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

~

See attached complaint. pase (Th

2014-218

There is nothing I would like better than to settle this case. There are several issues here. An old and subsequent events. I feel I have been denied due process. Judge divorce, a lawsuit is obviously prejudiced against me. He told me in open court in front of witnesses that I "should leave town. (That) some property on first avenue wasn't worth fighting over." Judge subsequently allowed my ex-husband to file a restraining order against me without ever hearing the facts of the case or my side of the story. He flatly stated they had "come to him first" and he did not care what happened. In fact, my ex husband, his and a cohort broke into my place of business which had been protected by a restrain order. To my knowledge it was still a protected area. However, the court allowed my ex to send a letter to an address where he knew there would be no mail service so he could prevail in getting the order against him dropped. He knew it because he lived there himself over his wife and a rather large woman, slammed my office door into Then me so hard it not only hurt me but came back to her. I went into my office to hear my conversation with my attorney who I had just called as there was so much commotin outside. This assault was done exactly in the same manner and technique as my ex husband used when I came home from the hospital post bilateral mastectomy. I believe from the malicious manner it was done, that he in fact coached her on how to do it. They got to the court house first because I was in the middle of a work day and customers had their computers in my shop and on the bench being serviced. I had to get help to shut it all down and get everything out of there. The court had given me to vacate and my attornev had assured me the day before that that was correct. had called and threatened me on the phone that day so I was worried.)

The court there in allowed my ex-husband to have trumped up charges against me heard in divorce. It was truly a sham and a frivolous lawsuit. I asked that it be dismissed but these people said it would have to be allowed. They all claimed it to be heard and even had been my ex-husband;s attorney, they were allowed to preside over the hearings. I felt this was a conflict of interests but my attorney told me the judge got to decide these things. There people involved here are all of a faith. It is one that dismisses women and makes them believe that they are superior to others by virtue of their religion.

I feel I was denied a fair divorce and then again this whole sham almost post divorce was allowed to proceed. Where could anyone one go to a court nearly later and claim things that they left in their old garage had been taken when they had the garage door opener all those years and when they never locked it and when they sent all kind of people out there and left it open dozens of times themselves? It was a ridiculous claim t be sure. rent was a ruse to get me out of my home. I worked at the storage faclity for no pay and with no restroom for and all that time tried to negotiate with my ex-husband who cheated me out of all but that home to live in for thse years. It was part of our divorce settlement. He knew it because as his attorney now, judge stated in the courtroom wrote it himself. They all allowed this and then tried to make another legal case of it all at my expense. My letters to the court were "lost". I had to go back several times and resend everything by certified mail. I asked for a telephonic hearling. Only to be told by secretary to call on the phone the day and time of the hearing. When I called back she Judge stated that was all I had to do. I told her I was worried that I couldn't get through. She assured me rather sarcastically that they do that "a million times a day". I subsequently learned a request must be made in writing. I sent one by certified mail - it was never acknowledged. But got to speak to Judge and sent me some more papers to sign. How does that work?

Where in America could anyone come in the middle of a work day and change your locks and take over your place of business, your phone numbers, besmirch your reputation and steal your land and community assets by fraud with no penalties or consequences and get away with it with the help of the court? Well all that happened with no consequences to the offenders in

I say Arizona needs a good legal cleansing. It should start with an investigation. This case is nothing much in terms of money or prestige but can you imagine if these people stoop to such shameless disregard here, what goes on in all levels of "justice" a place like this?

I acknowledge that I did sign a document to gain access to my property which had been commandeered by I did so so that I would have my access to land again and it could be sold. However, he manipulated the court saying he needed the other part of the land he stole. (This is the piece they subsequently called the dunce's hat.) He claimed by giving it back, I would take part of his back yard with it. (Excuse e but he is the one who got the new survey and changed the property description not I.) Then he claimed it would interfere with his leech fields. Then when it was revealed he had city sewer on his property, he was still allowed to make his whining claims heard but mine rights to keep my property or get it back from this lieing thief, were completely ignored by the court.

By signing this newest agreement to settle this case, I would essentially be saying that all the money and time that went into trying to get back a little piece of land that stole from me over the years will now also be his because the court favors him over me. This is not because he is entitled to it or deserves it . He was never punished or even admonished for stealing or lieing or any other deceptions. The court is supporting him and as I see it , they are actually aiding and abetting him with his continued fraud and misrepresentations to achieve his goals. They know unjust enrichment is wrong yet they are supporting this by not upholding the court decision to return it to me as it was.

Let's be clear here, the lawyers involved knew I had no legal representation the first time I faced them in court. I hired to go into the court house with me. I had run out of funds much earlier and even used at the suggestion of my ex-husband not knowing this should only be done when parties agree. They absolutely abused my rights to a fair divorce then they just helped themselves to seconds by allowing his frivolous suit and now one again they all gain by making me give up more land (such as it is) and pay legal fees again.

Even during the divorce, my ex-husband converted property and changed documents, he never produced any proof of income, or income taxes. He hadn't done them in over it turned out during the divorce, even though every year he lied about that. No retirement, hidden investment accounts or any sort of disclosure was presented and in so doing he was able to illegally gain from a very bad divorce. This s not right in the first place and to go on downhill from there – its really a travesty. It was clearly not fair or just and he blatantly took advantage of his connections to officers of the court in every aspect of his dealings with me and they allowed it to be done. His parents were well connected in their church and with some of these individuals.

I would sincerely like to see some sanctions taken against all parties to this case. I can no longer afford council of any sort and wish to have it all be over. If you could see how little of this small piece of land was to be returned to me after all the legal costs and hearings then you would see why this is particularly disturbing. These people are using their to gainfully achieve unjust enrichment via the legal system.

has recently fenced off not only the part he planned to take originally but now it appears he has helped himself to considerably more as well. Why not, he can get away with anything there- right?

٠

I tried going to the AZ Bar but sadly the lawyers actually lied about what they did and what went on. I guess it isn't something I can do much about but I would like my word and the truth to be heard and my complaint to be registered against them. So even if just for the record so be it.

Thank you for your time and please feel free to call me or my witness for any additional information. I wish I knew some other people in the courtroom, I can provide names of other people who witnessed some of the events however.