

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-250

Judge:

Complainant: .

ORDER

The complainant alleged a pro tem municipal court judge ignored his constitutional rights.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 4, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 4, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014-250

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Evidentiary hearing for _____ Court case _____

Judge _____ ignored my Constitutional Rights against unreasonable searches and seizures which were performed by the officer that arrested, detained and took me into custody.

My vehicle was searched & towed, my cell phones, iPad and camera were searched without any warrants or citation for violations, nor my permission.

While in custody, my photo and fingerprints were taken without a warrant or citation. A compelled blood draw was performed without an Admin per se, Implied Consent Affidavit or warrant documenting such actions.

Judge _____ allowed a documentation-free, detainment, arrest, custody and evidence gathering to take place for prosecution. None of the verbal, visual, or physical evidence should have been permissible in court without warrants, citations or my written consent.

Judge _____ refusal to acknowledge my United States' Constitutional rights enabled this warrant-less, citation-less case to proceed, despite dispute by my defense.