State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-267

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainants alleged a superior court judge had been unfair to their son concerning his parenting time with his son, their grandchild.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: October 2, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer George A. Riemer

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainants and the judge on October 2, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014-267

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

My family is law abiding, tax paying and morally responsible citizens. I waited

until	rotated off of the	on	to file th	is complaint.	
damaged our family beyond description, especially our grandchild's					
loving relationship with her father. Instead of bringing families together, and					
working towards a more equal parenting time (which is in the best interest of any					
child), he sided and ruled totally with one side.			bullied and ruled		
against the father - a good father who has no issues (always worked, owned a home					
never any trouble with the law). appointed court professionals, and when					
their reports said there should be an even playing field regarding parenting time,					
took more time away from him. Some of the evidence presented was					
reports for the	mother and stepfathe	r, along with Judge	rulin	ng in the	
stepfather's cu	stody case of supervi	sed visits for his ch	ild after	was called b	
at	who obs	who observed the treatment of our grandchild by			
the mother and stepfather in the waiting room.			continued to take		
parenting time away from the father, never once adjusting the mother's time.					
The mother used every form of parent alienation and				seemed to	
turn a blind eye to it, in fact, he empowered the mother. Hopefully, you are					
aware of the grass-roots movement to lawfully remove from the				the bench. Eve	
though he has rotated off of our concerned citizen group is moving					
forward with bringing to the public's attention incompetence and					
systematic destruction, as he saw fit, of many loving family relationships. I urge					
you to review s	some of his	proceedings.			