State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-276

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge presided over a flawed trial and had improperly refused to appoint him counsel to pursue post-conviction relief and obtain a trial before a jury.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: October 8, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on October 8, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Commission On Judicial Conduct



Re: A complaint against Judge presiding judge of the Court of the State of Arizona in Arizona in Please refer to case

I did not commit any crimes. I am not mentally ill. Judge has ignored the facts and chosen to support the unsubstantiated opinions of psychiatrists. During the trial phase of my case, Judge ignored the fact that persons making statements in relation to the case contradicted themselves and lied to the court. Furthermore, Judge

has declined to address the issues of officers of her court withholding evidence that would support my claim that I acted within the law when I shot the in self-defense. Judge has refused to act on evidence that demonstrates the psychiatrists and conspired together, and lied to the court in the evaluations they were commissioned to perform in relation to this case.

Judge has declined to address my requests for the appointment of counsel to pursue a rule 32 and obtain a trial before a jury. Repeatedly, Judge has demonstrated a deliberate indifference to my rights to due process. She has ignored my reports of attorney misconduct and refused to take steps to preserve evidence that would have supported a defense in this matter.

I ask that the Commission on Judicial Conduct seal and protect the entire records of the cases numbered and in the Court for County. I also beg the Commission to appoint counsel to represent and protect my interests in pursuit of an administrative review of this case, and an appeal to the Governor for a pardon.

I ask that this matter not be remanded to Judge court. Her marked bias cannot be undone. A fair hearing is impossible in her court. I ask for a change of venue.

I ask that the Commission on Judicial Conduct recognize that Judge has violated my rights to due process and betrayed her oath to abide by the tenants of the Constitution of the United States of America.

The Way is Life and Love,

2014-276

