State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 14-280
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge convinced him to proceed to trial and then imposed a harsh sentence after he was convicted.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: October 15, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on October 15, 2014.

To The Commission on Judicial Conduct, This is intended as a formal complaint against Judge county. In the year of while detending myself in I had judge .. as the presiding judge over the case. Before one of my court hearings off the record I told judge that my attorney told me about a plea offer I told him I would accept but that he kept ignoring my requests to bring them to me to sign. Instead of helping me resolve the issue ms told me she was one of the most benient judges in wand convinced me to continue proceedings to trial where I later lost and received a , sentence. Later my attorney would end up signing a Tender of Admissions, and agreement wherein he admitted he never provided me with copies of the plea agreement in the case even though I requested it. On Rule 32 Judge denied my request to reaccept the appeal in spite of everything. It was unethical in my opinion for judge to do so after advising me to continue to trial when I did not wish to.

Sincerely,