State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-287			
ludge:			
Complainant:			

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge failed to fulfill his duty to report several attorneys to the state bar for engaging in professional misconduct.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: October 15, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on October 15, 2014.

CONFIDENTIAL State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct

Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014-287

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:	
what you believe the judge did that c times, and places that will help the	n paper of the same size to file a componition of the same size to file a componition of the same size to file a componition of the same size to same size of the same size to file a componition of the same size of the sam	ific and list all of the names, dates, Additional pages may be attached
JUDGE	<u></u>	COURT CASE
_	RANTED DEFENDANT	NEW
INC.'S MOTION FOR	JUDGEMENT ON THE	PLEADINGS AND DEFEN-
DANTS' JOINDERS THE	ERETO WITH AN UNS	IGNED MINUTE ENTRY
AND NO LEGAL BAS	SIS ON AND	FILED ON
HE GRANTED THE	MOTION FOR JUDGEMEN	UT ON THE PLEADINGS
AND JOINDERS THER	ETO ON FALSIFIED	SWORN STATEMENTS
BY DEFENSE ATTOR	NEYS (COPIES ATTA	CHED). MY RESPONSES
TO DEFENDANTS M	OTIONS AND JOINDER	S MADE IT QUITE
CLEAR TO JUDGE	· -	THAT FALSE SWORN
STATEMENTS HAD	BEEN MADE (COPIE	S ATTACHED) I
ALSO STOOD IN FR	PONT OF JUDGE	IN COURT ON
AND TOLO	HIM OF ATTORNEY	MISCONDUCT AND
FALSE STATEMENT	CS MADE BY DEFEN	DANTS AND THEIR
ATTORNEYS (TRAN	SCRIPT ATTACHED)	
	ID NOT SIGN THE	
WHEN HE SAID " PU	RSUANT TO THE CO	ORDER ORDER
DATED_	THE COURT	ISSUES THE FOLLOWING
SIGNED MINUTE EN	TRY: (COPY ATTAC	
ADMITS TO BEING	IN CONTEMPT OF C	OURT SINCE THIS WAS
DAYS LATER B	UT I BELIEVE THE	JUDGE HAS MADE A
•		A FELONY BECAUSE
NO WHERE IN THE	COIZET	ORDER OF

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014-287

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Judge's Name:__

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.
DOES IT SAY FOR JUDGE TO
SIGN THE ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS MOTION AND
JOINDERS THERETO (COPY ATTACHED). JUDGE
IS A DISGRACE TO THE COURT AND PUTS ,
THE COURT IN A VERY BAD LIGHT THAT SURERY IS COTTUET
CORRUPT, AS THE DAY I FILED MY OPENING BRIEF WITH
THE COURT ON I RECEIVED
A LETTER FROM THE CLERK OF THE COURT
DATED _ TELLING ME THE COURT HAD NOW
RULED ON MY CASE AND MY DEFERRAL FEES WERE DUE
(COPY ATTACHED). THERE IS CORRUPTION IN THE
COURT THAT I HOPE THE COMMISSION ON
JUDICIAL CONDUCT WILL ADDRESS AS THIS JUDGE HAS
VIOLATED MY CIVIL RIGHTS TO DUE PROCESS.
<u> </u>
IT STATES IN JUDICIAL CONDUCT AND ETHICS
D. DISCIPLINARY RESPONSIBILITIES. (2) A JUDGE WHO
RECEIVE DECEIVES INFORMATION INDICATING A SUBSTANTIAL
LIKELIHOOD THAT A LAWYER HAS COMMITTED A VIOLATION
OF THE RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT
SHOULD TAKE APPROPRIATE ACTION. A JUDGE HAVING KNOW-
LEDGE THAT A LAWYER HAS COMMITTED A VIOLATION OF
THE RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT THAT RAISES A

SUBSTANTIAL QUESTION AS TO THE LAWYERS HONESTY,
TRUSTWORTHINESS OR FITNESS AS A LAWYER IN OTHER
RESPECTS SHALL INFORM THE APPROPRIATE AUTHORITY.

(3) ACTS OF A JUDGE, IN THE DISCHARGE OF DISCIPLINARY
RESPONSIBILITIES, REQUIRED OR PERMITTED BY SECTIONS
30(1) AND 3D(2) ARE PART OF A JUDGES JUDICIAL DUTIES
AND SHALL BE ABSOLUTELY PRIVILEGED, AND NO CIVIL
ACTION PERDICATED THEREON MAY BE INSTITUTED
AGAINST THE JUDGE.

JUDGE DID NOT UPHOLD HIS

DISCIPLINARY RESPONSIBILITIES AND MUST BE
PUNISHED TO THE FULL EXTENT OF THE LAW.

2014-287