

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 14-306

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge violated his constitutional rights. He also claimed his appointed counsel had been ineffective.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: October 22, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on October 22, 2014.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY



Constitutional right continuously challenged by courts  
DEFENDANT - makes objections without court rulings on  
State Response. **COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**  
NO RECORD IS CREATED FOR NEEDLESS - ON DEMAND -

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I had invoked my Miranda rights and invoke my constitutional rights

I have requested indictment information (DENIED) by attorney

THE STATE PROSECUTION HAS NOT RESPONDED TO ANY OBJECTION MADE

I have requested opportunity to independent blood testing of blood samples taken without warrant and by unreasonable force, where conflicts of interest are involved, (DENIED)

DNA testing to confirm it is my blood tested (DENIED) BY ATTORNEY

THE STATE PROSECUTION HAS NOT RESPONDED TO MOTIONS FILED IN COURT

I have requested disclosure from the state of all witnesses and all evidence to be used or been used

to change or support the proceedings; copies so that I could examine what I am being charged of doing, for investigative purposes as well. (DENIED) BY ATTORNEY

THE STATE PROSECUTION HAS FAILED TO COMPLY WITH DISCOVERY PROCESS

I've requested depositions be taken of my witnesses on court record as testimonial that I want to be present for any and all depositions and court hearings to be informed (DENIED) BY ATTORNEY

my attorney has a duty to withdraw for my close conflicts involved

I've requested motions filed to suppress statements and tainted blood test (DENIED) BY ATTORNEY (ALSO SEE COMPLAINT)

THE JUDGE. duty is to support constitutional rights

I have requested the copies of state's for what I am charged and for sentencing state's to support such. (DENIED) BY ATTORNEY

NOT ONLY DENIED BY MY COUNSEL BUT REFUSED MY COURTS MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO BE INFORMED OF ALLEGATIONS AND THE STATUTORY LAWS THAT SUPPORTS SUCH.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014-306

Defendant appeared in Judge Court  
Defendant made OBJECTIONS WITH STATE NOT RESPONDING ON COURT  
RULING ON THEM. COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name \_\_\_\_\_

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I BELIEVE THE FOLLOWING IS AGAINST THE DEFENDANT'S CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS AND AGAINST RULES OF LEGAL PROCEDURES UNCONSTITUTIONAL STATEMENTS MADE BY JUDGE CONCERNING DEFENDANT'S RIGHTS

Judge CONDUCTING sided proceeding CONTRARY TO CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS stating defendant DOES NOT HAVE THE RIGHTS TO PRELIMINARY EXAMINATIONS OF ALL WITNESSES ANY EVIDENCE USED TO CHARGE ME. I DO NOT HAVE THE RIGHT TO CONSULT COUNSEL IN PRIVATE PRIOR TO COURT, NO RIGHT TO INDICTMENT INFORMATION PRESENTED TO JURY PRIOR TO TRIAL, THAT I DO NOT HAVE THE RIGHT TO BE COMPLETELY INFORMED ABOUT WHAT I AM BEING CHARGED AND HOW BY STATUTE INFORMATION THAT DEFENDANT DOES NOT HAVE THE RIGHT TO COPIES OF ALL DOCUMENTATION AND SUCH INFORMATION PRIOR TO ANY PLEA OFFER AND PRIOR TO

I BELIEVE I DO HAVE THE RIGHTS TO BE COMPLETELY INFORMED PRIOR TO COURT, PRIOR TO PLEA OFFER, PRIOR TO JURY TRIAL, THAT IT IS MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO HAVE COPIES FOR EXAMINATION THAT I HAVE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO INDEPENDANT INVESTIGATIONS AND TEST OF ANY EVIDENCE WHERE CONFLICTS OF INTEREST ARE INVOLVED, THAT IT IS THE STATE PROSECUTION WHO HAS THE DUTY AND BURDEN OF PROOF.

THAT MY ATTORNEY HAS THE DUTY TO INFORM HIS CLIENT AND BE INFORMED BY CONSULTATION AND TO PROTECT DEFENDANT'S RIGHTS OF SUBSTANTIVE DUE PROCESS WHEN REQUESTED AND EVEN DEMANDED IT. DEFENDANT ABSOLUTELY HAS THE

CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO CLAIM INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL AGAINST HIS ATTORNEY ANY NUMBER OF TIMES TO GET COUNSEL WHEN NOT BEING CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED OR REPRESENTED ON RIGHTS TO SUBSTANTIVE DUE PROCESS

Judge stated he was not about the defendant's challenges illegal and excessive plea offer that is factually outside the

changing statutory law of using enhanced again (twice) for punishment, that is outside provided in original charging complaint. Judge changing and statutory provision has violated defendant's constitutional rights by inferring I DO NOT HAVE THE ABOUT RIGHTS TO EXCEPTING A PLEA ON PRIOR TO ANY TRIAL. [I HAVE THE RIGHT TO BE INFORMED AND COUNSEL]