

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 14-307

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner should not have granted an injunction against harassment.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judicial officer's ruling. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: October 29, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the commissioner on October 29, 2014.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

JUDGE:

COMPLAINANT

CASE NO.

Judicial Official Court

Executive Director  
<sup>conduct</sup>  
Commission on Judicial  
1501 W. Washington Street  
Suite 229  
Phoenix, Az. 85007

2014-307

Dear

Arguably one of the most controversial and universally condemned decisions made in recent years by a Judicial Official was issuance of an injunction to purportedly, as the alleged defendant, I am being portrayed as harasser. I reject the accusation. has granted the with a licence to harass me, and they already have harassed me during my incarceration.

has made a grievous judicial error by providing my harassers with an injunction against me! Besides its being illogical, illegal, and immoral I have been in prison for years and injunction will expire long before I am released. It simply is judicial and statutory fraud. Supplying the with a court order while I am in prison places the fox inside the hen house and serves no purpose whatsoever, other than to



cause me additional anguish, persecution, and cruel and unusual punishment. The issue is moot; how can I be harassing the \_\_\_\_\_ when I am seated <sup>and locked</sup> inside a prison cell, not that I have ever engaged or harassed them on the outside either? \_\_\_\_\_ injunction is an unadulterated hoax as they have harassed me for \_\_\_\_\_ years. \_\_\_\_\_ has provided the scope and extended the duration of their hate-crime. The horrible irony is that I am \_\_\_\_\_ victim and wrongfully convicted of crimes they committed against me. It is an upside down world in \_\_\_\_\_ Arizona, where wrong is called right if the \_\_\_\_\_ commit the crimes, and right is called wrong if I am their victim.

After \_\_\_\_\_ years of wrongful imprisonment and \_\_\_\_\_ petitioned for an injunction against me. What is there to protect, and why must I be further constrained? The evidence points to discernable "reverse harassment." What is it that I am being enjoined from doing? If strong, corrective mandatory action is not taken to stop the \_\_\_\_\_ from fraudulently petitioning for serial unwarranted-injunctions I fear they will feel empowered to kill me. Already they are armed and targeting me. A presiding judge has authoritative power to issue an administrative order to cease and desist from perjured petitions for injunctions against me by the \_\_\_\_\_. Their sole purpose is to harass me, as on its face, under my current circumstances, \_\_\_\_\_ injunction is nothing more than vexatious, frivolous, a gaming of the system, <sup>making</sup> a mockery of the process, <sup>disregard</sup> for the law, abuse of statute, and pointless,



JUDGE:

Court of Arizona,

COMPLAINANT:

CASE NO. \_\_\_\_\_

COUNTY AZ.

Commission on Judicial Conduct,  
 1501 W. Washington Street  
 Suite 229  
 Phoenix Az. 85007

Dear \_\_\_\_\_

This is unacceptable judicial folly. I shan't  
 tolerate having my life toyed with. on  
 Judicial Officers, issued

and my harassers, with an injunction  
 against harassment naming me as their defendant.

This is egregious misconduct by a Judicial Officer.

I have ~~never~~ harassed the \_\_\_\_\_ in my life, and am  
 even less likely to do so from inside a prison cell.  
 If this weren't so serious it would be laughable.

As it is, I find it criminally negligent and specific wrong-  
 doing. I have <sup>been</sup> locked-up for \_\_\_\_\_ years, wrongfully convicted,  
 and latest injunction expires \_\_\_\_\_ years  
 before I am released. What must \_\_\_\_\_ be  
 thinking? She does me, victim, a disservice.

The \_\_\_\_\_ knowingly misrepresented themselves, but  
 issuing them with yet another injunction is moot  
 under the circumstances. There is no basis for a new  
 injunction against me. The problems with  
 decision to issue \_\_\_\_\_ with an unwarranted injunction is



bigger than her recklessness. It does not address the criminality of the plaintiffs who are not victims.

issuance of a fraudulent injunction indicates complicity. It promotes and encourages 'hate-crimes against me, and reveals her complete lack of understanding of my case. It is as though she were partnering with the to game the justice system instead of stopping their criminal conduct once and for all. She squandered a golden opportunity blundered very badly indeed by pandering to frauds and tricksters, allowing herself to be duped. I want her to make amends by quashing fraudulent injunction:

misjudgement has an impact on the my family's security and our finances. I must now hire a lawyer to file a motion to quash, while frivolity, vexatious, and unconstitutional petition and receipt of an injunction did not cost them a penny. I have depleted my entire life savings, defending myself against intrusional accusations, on legal fees.

are drunk with the power their illicit injunctions provide. Their conditions are tantamount to the of the Old South, pre-civil rights legislation.

My Bill of Rights guarantees have been violated.

cannot issue an injunction to the against me because they are imposters and the current situation does not warrant it by any stretch of the imagination. She made a terrible error and I seek remediation.

have destroyed my life.

makes the

beneficiaries of their hate-crimes against me.



2014-307

JUDGE:

Court of Arizona

COMPLAINANT:

CASE NO:

Court ORINO:

Commission on Judicial Conduct, copy:  
1501 W. Washington St.,  
Suite 229  
Phoenix, Az; 85007.

To whom it may concern,  
no conceivable grounds exist to justify the  
granting of yet another serial injunction to  
It is tantamount

to supplying - with free  
~~commitment~~ for his death camps. I am perfectly  
serious when I say acted  
gratuitously, overreaching, overbroad, overkill,  
and over-jealously by issuing an unnecessary  
injunction against harassment to the on

I am in prison,  
and the injunction expires before I am released! It is  
moot. This is not good enough. The are chronic-  
abusers of injunctions. I have lost count of how  
many, in the past years of fraudulent misrepresentation.  
A.R.S. #12-1809 was intended to quell domestic violence  
not <sup>to be</sup> <sup>into</sup> made a weapon of terror and victimization against a  
wrongfully convicted victims of  
granting an injunction to my harassers while I am  
rotting in a prison cell serves no practical purpose,



other than to harass me. This latest affront to civility reminds me of

911 call accusing me of a  
or the police report they filed against me accusing me of impossible criminal activity when on the day in question I was not even in the United States of America, let alone Arizona! The have been making false accusations for years!

Their latest injunction is a fraud and stinks of scapegoating, and deceit similar to the last years of their hate-senses: crimes against my family. Has taken leave of her senses: I don't care how low the bar is.

should extend the presumption of innocence to me, and take with a grain of salt what my racist accusers are saying. It is precisely because the presumption of innocence was not assumed that the won an unlawful conviction based upon "wild accusations only, and zero supportable evidence. HOAX has continued for far too long. STOP IT NOW

They have absolutely no justification to petition for an injunction against me. I am their victim and should have exercised discretion, and cynicism towards petition. The State of Arizona is incarcerating victim. The jury's verdict was wrong.

Now, comes more victimization, anguish, and terror in the form of unnecessary vexations, spiteful, frivolous, and racially chauvinist injunctions that are frivolous and unconstitutional. I am not going to put up with this egregious judicial officers' misconduct. I AM

VICTIM. How can issue my persecutors with an injunction against me, when I have been locked-up in a prison cell for years without release for another years for crimes I not only did not commit, but perpetrated against me.