State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 14-324
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

The complainant alleged two superior court commissioners abused their positions, were prejudiced and biased, and did not follow the law in a domestic relations matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioners engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judicial officers' rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioners did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 5, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the commissioners on November 5, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014-324

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
what you believe the jutimes, and places that	s form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words adge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and claint for your records.
This case began over years	s ago in when my rapist filed for paternity so he could file for rights. I have struggled with many issues in this case since.
Judge started the case. I	er prejudice and bias acts set the setting and snowballed into continued prejudice and bias being conducted by
My second attorney called	out on her prejudice and requested a new judge. in retaliation took extra steps and made sure mother was thoroughly
investigated sending emails requesting	ig it specifically. She even highly recommended a psych doctor in order to evaluate the mother to aid and assist her malicious attempts of retaliation.
had contin	ued (her clients) defamation of character attempts and in each hearing and in each filed paperwork
continued knowingly and will	ingly with her libel, slander and defamation of character stating mother was abusive, deliberately not allowing visits.
Both and refused to	look at evidence to support the defense of Neither addressed or corrected to discontinue her malpractice of lies
deceit, libel, slander etc.	after lying to stating she would read her pretrial statements and all submitted documents
from day one utilized	libel, slander and defamation because she forgot the case after vacation and used it against in the final judgement of the trial.
submitted doc	uments to notify the judge of her actions in sensitive issues that would lead into contempt.
refused to acknow	edge and dismissed concerns mother had which lead to again taking mother to court for contempt.
In doing so is i	now with another prejudice bias judge being treated unfairly as they are diligently working to aid her
rapist in legally kidnappin	g her child. knowingly and willingly is compromising situation making it difficult to
comply with her orders even thou	gh pleaded and pleaded for her assistance with issues of work hours obligations of care of another child, lack of funds etc.
continued her acts of	orejudice and is wasting state funds with continued hearings forcing to request a change in visitation when
was ordering it at that more	ent instead of taking into account the issues that will lead to unfortunate noncompliance which will lead to the
inevitable issue of	being thrown into jail because she will not have money to make the visits that were unfairly thrown
at her. refused	council. and refused of witnesses. and continued
wasting of state funds of	onducting tests that are insufficient as pointed out with literature. They continued with
abuse and misuse of the	system legally harassing and aiding her rapist in continuing his abuse, neglect and domestic
issues. refuse	d to look at evidence of abuse, smirked and stated there was no abuse and continued forward
with an unfavorable jud	gment that compromises a who cannot protect himself. They failed to hold
accountable for his	actions and clearly target and sabotage in every effort possible. further violated
civil rights by delibe	rately renaming her child just for spite and has refused to undo that wrong also.