State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-328

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge violated his constitutional rights.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 5, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer George A. Riemer

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 5, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

2014-328 County Case NO: TO: Commission of Sudicial Conduct SubJect: COMPLAIN I wish To make a ComplaINT · Judge Court OF ARIZONA aga'nt_ OF THE County -Ĩ# Centinges Judge a Violate My ConStitutional MUCHOF HIS MALICE TO is THAT Me, T_HAVC A Law Salt aga WST THE Judge

2014-328

Part 10F2

Sorry TUIS Did Not make The Envolpe To you

ComPlaint of a Judge

2014-328 * Sec in THE COULT alse MINY_ FOR Racketeering !! XTHE Jadge HDS AlReady Been Previously Asked TO Be J.SQualIFied * I was Never Notififed OF THE Review and Did Not PRESENT Ground'S FOR THE JISQUALIFICATION'S + GRound's To CHAMIGE Dudge A TO AVOID Impropriate OR THE APperarie OF Impropriate: Kel Pequest a CHANGE OF Versuel To Aviad imProprate AISO AS. I HAVE RePorted Judge BEFORE, also

2014-328

Record THDT HE HAS Been SITTING NON THE Cases Im Involved WILL! is A Fair Judge Vadge But My Problem Herein is OF THE DISQualiFication OF THE County ATTORNey'S OFFICE My face Sult Name's Legal DeFenders OFFICE SO A Change of Ventul is more Appropriate Due THE cercemstances FOR NOW is A DISGRASE TO 2 Contitution's and AN

2014-328 Em Barrassment To Due Process Prceedings HIS Violated my ARIZONA RIGHTS Section 30 HE MOST CARTANLY By LES proceedings HAS TAINTED THE Trustworth YNESS OF THE COUNTY COUNTS Please Dis Bar Sworn an Sabscribed Pursuent To 28 uSC 1746 De SPICT EVILL