State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-330	
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

The complainants alleged that the justice court judges involved in their case ignored the evidence they presented at trial and improperly ruled for the other party.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judges engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's ruling in the case in question. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judges did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 5, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judges on November 5, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014-330

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	dudge's Name:
what you believe the judge did that constitu- times, and places that will help the commis-	r of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words ates judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, ssion understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached a court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and s.
SIRS	
SEE ATTACHED PAPERS PREPARED FOR APPE	EAL THIS DAY
JUDGE I WAS TOLD SAT JUDGE	SIGNED THE JUDGMENT CIVIL
JUDGE DECREED===→ AS FOLLOWS	S
IGNORED THE PROOF OF PAYMENTS FOR O	VER CHARGED WORK ON THE WATER PUMP AND
IGNORED THE PREPAYMENT TO "FIX THE PUMP WAS INSTALLED.	THAT WAS NOT DEFECTIVE NOT DAMAGED BEFORE THE WATER
JUDGE HAD ALLOWED THE PREVIOUS COURT HEARING AT THE BEQUEST OF OR COUNTY HE RULED, FOR THE BENEFIT OF	DECISION OF MITIGATION TO BE LEFT UN RESOLVED DURING THE DIN BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT UNTIL AT THE END IN AS WELL.
THE MITIGATION SETTLEMENT OF PLAINTIFFS WISHED TO BE REIMBURSED FOR WERE TREATED DURING THESE MONTHS, THE WAS UN USEABLE FOR	DOLLARS WAS TO BE PAID TO PLAINTIFFS, HOWEVER THE R COURT COSTS AND TREBLE DAMAGES BECAUSE OF THE WAY THEY TRIPS TO STILL DOES NOT WORK STILL PAID FOR THE ENTIRE SUMMER.
	REBLE DAMAGES WHILE STATING THAT THE PLAINTIFF DID NOT OT TRUE BILLS AND RECEIPTS AND EMAIL LISTINGS SEE ATTACHMENTS

THE JUDGE FAVORED THE PLAINTIFFS AND SACRIFICED THE PLAINTS RIGHTS AND ENTITLEMENTS.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014-330

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
what you believe the judge did that cons times, and places that will help the com	aper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words titutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, mission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached ant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and ords.

YOUR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT MOST WELCOME.

WE WOULD HAVE SENT MORE MONIES TO APPEAL BUT THE WRITING IS ON THE WALL.

UNLESS A STAY IS ISSUED OR THE COURT REVERSES THIS UNGODLY DECISION.

