

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-349

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner improperly denied his request to represent himself.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 19, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the commissioner on November 19, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014-349

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

ON _____ WAS AT _____
HIS INITIAL APPEARANCE - when the judge,
ENGAGED IN CONDUCT THAT IS
PREJUDICIAL TO THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE, BY
ACTION OF MISCONDUCT, WHEN ASK
TO REPRESENT MYSELF UNDER ARIZONA LAW ARTICLE II
SECTION 24, TO DEFEND IN PERSON, GO
WAS DENIED EQUAL PROTECTION OF
THE LAWS THAT ARE GUARANTEED IN BOTH ARIZONA
AND FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONS.

IT IS APPARENT THAT JUDGE _____
FALSIFIED HIS OATH OF OFFICE
WHEN JUDGE TAKE AND
SUBSCRIBE AN OATH THAT HE WILL SUPPORT THE
CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES AND THE
CONSTITUTION OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA.
THIS IS A CONFLICT OF INTEREST DENYING
HIS RIGHT TO GO AND JUDICIAL
MISCONDUCT.