## State of Arizona

## COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-351

Judge:

Complainant:

## ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was improperly allowing the state to prosecute him on a failure to register as a sex offender charge.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 10, 2014

## FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer George A. Riemer

**Executive Director** 

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 10, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

-THE COMMISSION OF JUDICIAL COMOUCE - 1055

LEGAL CORR PRIV. -CASE At hand-2014-351 State VS PEOPLE NS No NC \* PIER PJER (ABIZONA) \* BY Pleading no contest YOU do NOT ROMIT Civilt: THEREFORE, NO ONLE CAN USE THAT PLEA AGAINST TOU IN ANY SUBSEQUENT IRIAL OR MEARING, Whether it is CRIM-3985 Falt bai Livio 80 Parts A1.50 .... UNILIKE A PLEA OF GUILTY, HOWEVER, it CANNOT DE USED -AGAINST A DEFENDANT AS AN ADRISSION IN A SUBSEQUENT CRITINAL OR CIVIL CASE. 4 WIGTIORE LOGG(4), AL 58 (33 ed. 1940, SUPP. 1970); Rules of Evidence for United States Courts. And MAGISTRATES, RULE 803(22) SECTION 4 - ARIZONA Rules OF EVIDENCE. BULE 803(22) JUDGRIENT OF PREVIOUS CONVICTION. EVIDENCE OF A FINAL JUDGENENT, ENTERED AFTER A tRIAL 20 AZIA & ROOD FOR THE SUL STILL TO AJER & ROOD AD 70 relived backage & punipherida SO A CRIME, to PROVE ANY FACT ESSENTIAL to Subtain the fundation -1-

ONE OF the PLEAS A defenidanth MAY ENTER IN RESPOSE to DEING CHARGED W A CRIME. A Guilty PLEA ADDING the CHARGES AND SUBJECTS The Defendant to Punishment for THER. Guilt Also REFERS to the State of Deinic Sunuc Sunit 70 state-JAQUE A rd (fundoouni fo affreque and OR JURY. (CULDABLE = DESERVING OF BY PIERdinuG ENIDERE, You do not Admit Guilt; BLAME) THEREFORE, NO ONE CAN USE that PLEA AGAINST YOU IN ANY SURSE-QUENT IRIAL OR HEARING, WHETHER it is communal or civil in plature. SEE 4 WIGTIORE LOCG(4), At 58 (3d ed. 1940, Supp. 1970). Rules of Enidence for United States cate And TIRGISTRATES, Rule 803(22) THERE is A TRUE Distinction BETWEEEN A Guilty PLEA And PLEA", THAT SUCH Distinction DESERVES 9 That Each BE Accepted FOR it's Distinct Function And it's PURPOSE AS THE LAWS 3 RULES PROVIDE AS MANURATED.

2 04 5

2

TIERIBERS OF THE CORIFISSION RE. United States FEDERAL Rules fo Exidence for United States COURTS And MAGISTRATES RULE 803 (22) (NOV. 1971) MAS BREAL Violated BY NUMEROUS JUDGES in PREvious commistions And QU BETTINAS INDI CASE JUDI EROFUSZEORS to county JUDGES to ADJUDGE FIR. RS Guilty OF R int of That is Brinks Clairied A Plea. HomoRABLE Conttinues to Refer to AS A with no proof that has even Pleaded Guilty to A . on NOR CERTIFIED CROUNDS Does How have that has even signied PIER IN THE STATE OF A OJ OF IN CASE # has conviction on his critical RIT AL history Report no For Tiember's of the Commission to DRAW ANT BASIS TO CALAGORIES AS A OR REQUIRED TO REA REFUSES TO RELEASE ME AND ORDER HON MY REMONAL FROM BECAUSE HE HAS BEEN OPERAL. inter an intervention of the list of the l Failure to viol. Since (not convicted). ALSO, I FEEL it is ABSOLUTELY ETICUMBENH THAT THE TIENBERS Of The corninstion Take in consideration ARIZ. R. Evid. 410

3

United States FEDERAL Rules OF EVIDENCE - 410 Section 4 - ARIZONA RULES OF EVIDENCE

<u>Bule 410</u> - Except As Otherwise Provided by Applicable <u>Act of Conigress</u>, Arizonia statute, or the Arizonia Rules of Criminial Procedure; Evidence of a Plea of

OR to the CRIME CHARGED OR <u>ANY OTHER CRIME</u>, OR OF <u>Statements</u> Made in Commection with Ann of the Foregoind Pleas is <u>not ADMISSIBLE</u> AGRINIST the PERSON Who MADE THE PLEA IN ADD CUIL OR CRIMINAL ACTION OR ADMINISTRALINE PROCEEDING.

ISSUE 3 FACES:

The U.S. CONGRESS MAS NOT ENACTED A LAW THAT MAS PERMITTED THE SUBSEQUENT USE OF A

PLEA IS ENLERED ? Accepted when the A COURT 3 PROSECUTION AGREE TO Allow The DEFENDERN' "Mot to BORIL GUILL BAIL SIG LAIR LAIR LAIR TO AND LIVE LOUDE TO THE AlleGations CharGed, PREVENTING the PLER FROM BEING Used AGAINST DEFENDER IN ANY LATER CIVILORININAL PROCEEDING.

It is not MY Goal to PERMIT How to Look BAD OR Attack his integrity as a Judge in MY CASE. It is also Not THY GOAL IS Allow I LAT I BE IN A LIFE THREATENING Environment, on the GROUND'S THAT A CHOICE IS BEING MADE TO PUT THE IN DANGER TO CONCERT LACK OF ACCOUNTABILITY.

IF I HAVE NOT BEEN CONVICTED OF A TO BE RE it's own riotion REMOVE The Mistake in FAIRNESS 3 MORALE.

WHEREFORE IAM REQUESTING THAT THE CONTRISSION REVIEW AS to what conviction And signed ASIG is BEING USED TO REQUIRE ME to And What is Hond. PERRittinic Att IT ANDRESENT OJ When a complaint is PENDING Ini AGAINST LIB FILED BY )( (Filed

Called CASE

CASE NEXT COURT I HAVE NOT Seeni in A courtenant in And Believe A Benich trial Will BE held Without TIE AS PREVIOUS DEGRING HAVE BEEN HELD WO THE ... PLEASE RESPOND AS DILIGENT AS POSSIBLE I DO FEAR OFFICIALS ARE ARRANGIALS TO HAVE ME HARRED OR Killed.

5

SINCEPELY