

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-354

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a town magistrate ignored evidence and improperly entered an injunction against harassment against him.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 26, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 26, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Re: Violation of My Civil Rights and Abuse of Power by

I am near the end of the rope in trying to right a legal wrong, which has burdened and punished me for the past years. I am years old and prior to the complaints filed against me by a neighbor in I had never been charged or convicted of any violation of the law. The complaint filed by alleging that I was harassing residents of the in Arizona on was first filed in the Court. Judge would not accept the Order of Protection Petition on the basis that the evidence provided by Mr. was inadmissible. Mr. persisted in his attempt to punish me by shifting his complaint to the city of Court. I believe he was acquainted with and he felt his falsified complaint would be more acceptable in her Court.

There are several questions that were never answered by concerning Mr. Petition for a Protective Order against me. Mr. alleged that I had harassed him and many neighbors living in He presented the Court with a "Petition for Injunction Against Harassment" which he said contained the signatures of neighbors, whom he stated were willing to testify against me. Although I objected to entering this so called "Petition" into evidence, accepted it as evidence without verifying the veracity of any signatures. Why did the accept this damning document without ensuring the signatories knew what they were signing? Why did dismiss my suggestion that Mr. manufactured this evidence? If you check the addresses given, several of the signatures did not live in the were not our neighbors and some lived in another Surely just one call to a questionable signature would have exposed Mr. presenting false evidence into the Court.

In addition to accepting false evidence, also accepted sworn perjured testimony by Mr. as factual. I pointed out that Mr. testimony alleging that he had notified the Sheriff "many times in the past year" was not true. In fact, the demonstrated that Mr. never called the Sheriff's office regarding harassment until after the date the Order of Protection was granted. We can expose Mr. lies by noting that his first harassment call was made on while the Protective Order date was Why did the accept Mr. perjured testimony after I demonstrated to the Court that Mr. did not call the Sheriff's Office "many times in the past year" prior to the granting of the Protective Order?

Page 2

After the Order of Protection was granted against me, Mr. _____ continued to falsely accuse me of harassment, using the power of the Protective Order against me. He has called the Sheriff's Office over _____ times since _____ accusing me of harassment, disturbing the peace, trespassing, verbal and physical harassment and video taping persons and property without consent. Remember that prior to the Protective Order granted on _____ Mr. _____ never called the Sheriff's Office to complain about me. In addition to making complaints about me, Mr. _____ has complained about other neighbors over _____ times for things, such as, traffic violations, animal abuse, theft, suspicious behavior, littering and traffic hazards. It became clear to me that investigating Sheriff's Officers took Mr. _____ side over mine because of the Order of Protection.

I believe all subsequent Legal difficulties stem from _____ prejudicial, incompetent and incomprehensible acceptance of Mr. _____ falsified and perjured testimony in granting that Order of Protection. Mr. _____ continues to harass and provoke me, lies to the investigating Sheriffs and prevails in the _____ Courts. What can I do to get a Judicial Review or a investigation to determine if _____ illegally granted Mr. _____ that Order of Protection? How can I regain my good reputation, recoup _____ in fines and legal fees, regain my failing health, reverse my convictions and rescind of supervised probation while Mr. _____ continues to create conflict and harasses me under the banner of the Court? Who should I contact to right this wrong?

Why did _____ ignore the concept of equal protection under the law by accepting easily disprovable false evidence and perjured testimony to be used against me? Why did _____ ignore my easily verified evidence while accepting Mr. _____ allegations without any verification? Who can help me re-establish my Civil Rights, taken away by the _____ Abuse of Power and bring justice back to _____ How can I rid myself of these false charges and convictions and restore my law abiding reputation?

Sincerely,