State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-011

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged three superior court judges and one superior court commissioner made improper rulings in a divorce case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judicial officers engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judicial officers' rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officers did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety as to all four judicial officers, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: February 11, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer George A. Riemer Executive Director

A copy of this order was mailed to the complainant and three of the judicial officers on February 11, 2015. When a current address becomes available, a copy of this order will be mailed to the forth judicial officer

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Comp

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

15-011

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

have loume 0 Jed SI CORT 4 Comissioner Q hendi NU incr n lease and ing kyou tion SINCEREL

Comp 15-011 TO: Honoruble FROM: Soby: A full investigation into the referenced case Deur Honors 6/e respectfully My NAME IS request on Complete Internal investigation into that started in My CASE and which is still orgoing after the divorce decree was sent to met mjex-wife. Judge was the 1st and in this original 1st meeting at court he knew about my dischility and stated that this woold be streamlined in a way to eliviate any stress on me, which he completely did the opposite and yes Jam taking him before the Judician board of his complete IN-Comptencey in His, then Judge was the 2ND, Judge was then the 3rd and m the END was With . Why when this was a simple divorce which the only 2 things were the selling of the house and division of simple Assets is still going on and later when w the beginning with Judge was made aware of the problems with my Ex-wite having storeted to Squat in the vesidence with '00 job and sturted to Recute a modi faction which is not

What the divorce decree stated which was for the house to be sold and then when we had a telecordereve that he then fold my ax that the nouse was a wash. Culast do you mean a wash as I have my wife recorded Saying that) That is not what the divorce decire stated and now she is still living in this paving been told by or ordered by the court that the house to be sold and a Court ordered having violated his first order to sell the house, having then been instructed twice in this is allowing her to live in it and that closes allow you to show the nouse properely (I NEED her ortso that I can have proper closore) 2ND when Judge knew about the assets and how She had hed about her assets and besighly did nothing to compether to fully cooperate with the ATTY well a month that this should have taken took to Crash which then the stock marked tooka Crash which then I bost and that's where Judge Came w an during a having Issued me a judgement for which was for loss equity and for the assets, which then then filed for and port that Jodgemeent with which you could do unper Low unper Non discharge oble Deb!

which i respectfully request to have that looked at also in this. My big question your Honor is that if was able to Court was able to Court 1É order the sell then why did not Judge not do that in the beginning which caused me a disabled Vet So much griet and UNNEEDed Storess and since because of his Actions and actions of others the money that in out in all of this we need to discuss this. I've dropped the Case in court with my exwife beeause of the appearences and stress this would have bordened me with bot that does not absolve you in your treatment to me and against me, thank you for your timely cooperation In this matter. feel free to ask any questions to me withis. Sincerely CC?