## State of Arizona

## COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-025

Judge:

Complainant:

## ORDER

The complainant alleged a municipal court judge failed to give her a fair and impartial trial. The complainant misidentified the judge involved in her case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judges engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's ruling in the case in question. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judges did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: February 18, 2015

### FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judges on February 18, 2015.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

## **2015**-025

### COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

#### Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I am filing my complaint against a court judge who failed to provide a fair, unbias

and impartial hearing and rules of conduct not followed. I am also, alledging that				
Judge of Court, violated Rule 2.2 under Arizona code				
of judcial conduct, also Rule 2.3. My bench trial took place in Court on				
presiding judge was The trial was scheduled for			eduled for	
in the afternoon be	ut lasted until	My attorney at the tr	ial was	who
failed to prepare me or my witness for a trial, I knew nothing about who was testifying,				
against me in the trial nor what evidence was being presented or shown. My lawyer				
displayed gross incomptence in handling my case and presented no opening statement				
on my behalf, did not and would not properly cross examine witnesses, who lied or				
changed statemer	nts during the trial	. As a result	di	id not ignore
erroneous testimony, when witnesses statements did not match what was in reports or				
what had been tol	d to investigators	. One witness	was allo	owed to sit in the
court room and listen to other witnesses testimony, which disqualified him from testifying				
at the trial and any important information he obtained as a investigator was left out of the				
trial, which helped in my conviction. I had the judge against me or treated me poorly				
during the trial, witnesses, the prosecutor and even my attorney did little to defend my				
case during trial. I	t was a self-defer	nse case were the plantiff		assaulted
me first and I defended my self, by shoving her back. The incident had taken place in a				
in	AZ, after I ha	ad said excuse me to	her	year old
sister, so I also could look at cards at the bottom of a rack in the store, She and her				
sister were blocking. I was cursed at by the plantiff and shoved and my				
who witness the whole incident. My assault was never taken seriously, by				
detective	which also helped	in my conviction, to look	like it was	not self defense

CONFIDENTIAL State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

# **201**5-025

### COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

#### Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I also, have chronic illness known as fibromyalgia and this was conveyed to my lawyer

in refernce to what was being said about me with this so called super human strength, where I was supposedly, push the victim 3-4 times with one hand and she flew across the store and at the same time I supposedly grabbed her arm to and shoved her sister and other customers in the card aisle. The testimony was ridiculous. stupid and when the yr old witness got on the stand to say I also, hit her, she looked down at the floor the whole time. No investigation was done and no one bother to find out the truth or elimnate false testimony from the trial, which the judge failed to do. If the judge, actually, read the reports and listened to what the witnesses were saying, she could see clearly they were lieing. The on scene police officer at the incident, even stated at the trial that she was never told the was assaulted and still the judge did not throw out her testimony as a witness, but took sides and empathized. based on a lie told. I was so, upset at the sentencing I got up and told the judge I really needed outside the court room and she screamed at me, at the top of her lungs to sit down and said that is one of the reasons she is making the decision to find me guilty, which was bias since, she does not know me and she was clearly taking sides at that point. After I sat back down, than she said after making a few more statements on why she thought I was guilty and not because it was proven beyound a resonable doubt, to take Once, I re-entered the courtroom after my short break, she called the plantiff to the stand and directly, asked her what she wanted to happen to me. She told the judge she wanted me on probation and to pay fines and take anger mangaement classes along with other suggestions. The judge granted her, whatever she asked for. Which I consider to be harrassment on the

CONFIDENTIAL State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

# **2015-**025

### COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

#### Judge's Name:

**Instructions**: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

the judge's part, to further to try and degrade me during the trial. Also, the sentence of

supervised probation with fines and classes at a class was in my eyes excessive. I immediately appealed the decision after the trial and not only am I out of a great deal of money to defend my case that was handled by an incompetent lawyer, who I have now found out has been been suspended before by the AZ State Bar for mishandling cases, but I have had to hire an appeal lawyer in order to help me to continue to fight for my rights against, the grave injustice that was done to me at this trial. Which is costing me more money to continue to fight, while the plantiff goes free. This conviction could ruin my life. Did this judge even look at the police reports or careful review, listen or even care, that most of the testimony was falsified that day or was she just interested in a conviction. The witnesses should have been convicted of falsifying information on a police report and perjury. I would also, would like to further state that during the trial witnesses were allowed to change their statements mid-sentence and was feed information and statements corretced by the prosecutor on the stand, when witnesses for example stated she stumbled into a wall, they were showed a picture taken showing shelves that collapsed on a display rack. The prosecutor would say, "you meant rack," didn't you, this is one of the many improper things and the feeding of testimony and information to witnesses. The correcting of statements that took place during the trial. ignored it as long as it made me look guilty and easy to has been known as I come to find out, for this type of convict. impartial hearing and for committing numerous violations. Improperly, convicting defendants and not providing a fair trial. I would definitely appreciate that, all information in this matter be thoroughly looked into, all CD's and transcripts that pertain to my trial.