State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-031

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainants alleged a superior court commissioner unethically facilitated the illegal conduct of adversaries.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the commissioner's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: February 18, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainants and the commissioner on February 18, 2015.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

COMP

2015-031

Commission of Judicial Performance 1501 W. Washington St., Suite 221 Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Court Case No: Property on

DEMAND FOR REVIEW AND INVESTIGATION INTO JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

Dear Judicial Performance Board,

You are hereby asked to immediately investigate and suspend the actions of on the above referenced case for the following reasons:

TAKE NOTICE that we are looking to adjoin the ArizonaCourt in aFederal Law Suit for wrongful actions committed bywhile in hisjudicial capacity.

If you read our reply to response motion you will find that there is nothing legal, moral or conscious about or course of conduct. Someone, hopefully your office, can take notice of this, or the public will.

the attorney collaborating withis a crookand only reason for his success inCourt was that he found an equally corruptjudge. At the very least,is a disgrace to Arizona's judicial system.

2015-031

Our claims for damages under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 are quite serious and substantial in light of Court blatant ignorance of

WE ASK that if you immediately suspend and prevent him from causing any more damage.

Attached hereto is also the ruling from Court ordering stay on appeal.

We await your review and expedited response in regard to this matter. If you take action now it may prevent all parties from costly litigation and avoid the Court of Arizona from public scrutiny.

DATED this day of

Cc:

. .

Arizona Bar Association

Attorney