State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 15-044
ludge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

The complainant alleged a pro tem justice of the peace was biased against her and did not maintain appropriate courtroom decorum during a civil case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission approved sending the judge a warning letter, counseling him to turn off or mute his cell phone during future court proceedings. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(b) and 23(a).

Dated: May 12, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Louis Frank Dominguez Hon. Louis Frank Dominguez Commission Chair

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on May 12, 2015.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2015-044

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's			
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.				
Please see attached letter and documentation				

COMPLAINT AGAINST

Court Case Info:

- · Plaintiff(s):
- · Plaintiff's Attorney:
- · Defendant(s):
- · Defendant's Attorney:
- · Case Number:
- · Judge Assigned:
- · Scheduled Court Date:
- · Court Continuation Date:
- · Location:

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Dear Members of the Commission on Judicial Conduct,

This letter is to serve as formal complaint against Judge unethical, unscrupulous, and unprofessional behavior conducted during our trial held on and continued on at the Court in , Arizona and to dispute the false statements noted in the Minute Entry dated , that was received by our Attorney with the Law Offices of on substantial evidence to prove that Judge made a vast error in judgement when issuing his ruling and that the defendants and their witnesses committed perjury while on the stand. Due to the negligence of spent I to repair the damage to replace ; clean the ; as well as, pay legal

	LAWSUIT COMPREHENSIVE EX	
	the company has	an outstanding bill of
DATED (4 Pages)]. Besides the amount owed to dated	with additional services	provided since then,
further putting in debt IATTACHMENT	3: INVOICE F	ROM I
(2 Pages)]. This is an exorbitant amount of mon		
would not make this type of investment		
prove that , along with a negligent and broke the terms of the lease agreement date	and listed d ! through	were During
the trial, Owner, ; even stated that the evi		3
advice of legal counsel, only the most incriminating evidence		
from an informational and time standpoint.	has always conducted	d itself in a fair, honest,
and ethical manner in all its business transactions and stay	ed in excellent standings wit	
		bly disheartening that
as a small business, we have endured such financial costs a	nd put our faith in the court	ts to help alleviate the
burden that caused our . Ur high level of bias performed within a 3-ring circus, with	nortunately, we ended up w	nunsel demonstrating
underhanded tactics and discrimination.	a judge and opposing co	ouriser demonstrating
According to the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct 2014,	"The United States legal syst	tem is based upon the
principle that an independent, impartial, and competent judi		
interpret and apply the law that governs our society. Thus,		
principles of justice and the rule of law. Inherent in all the rule		
individually and collectively, must respect and honor the judice	failed to comply with	this preamble At no
enhance confidence in the legal system." Judge point during the trial did feel the	nat Judge	acted independent
impartial, or with integrity. At the start of the trial, he dismis	sed all formalities and never i	ntroduced himself nor
did he want to hear introductions to the case. He conducted		
condescending.		
He slong with the defendants' atterney were	Luith ludge	haina almast
He, along with the defendants' attorney, were . It was immediately evident that favoritism was	given to opposing counsel	being almost
not required to follow any form of court etiquette and		
testimony with no corrective action taken; was allowed		
of cell phone during both days of trial, as were the		
prevent us from presenting evidence; not allowing us to de	fend our character when it v	vas viscously attacked;
wouldn't allow our witness to answer hardly any of our attor		
comments from their seats; and even made copies for	during the trial,	
evidence, again delaying the trial and showing favoritism		
testimony, he made it impossible for us to appeal the case our rights, if we felt that we received an unfair trial.	to which she	ould have been within
our rights, if we left that we received an unian trial.		
Judge instantly interrupted our testimo	ny in the first sentence of th	ne first question being
asked by our attorney and stated that it was	'This continued through	ghout both days of the
trial. He also stated that for almost every piece of evidence		
그는 그는 사용하는 것이 되는 것이 되었다. 그는	itial before we even had a cha	
stated that our	and the	that the
forcibly entered the leased premises at the was hearsay, which is ridiculous as this is a leg		rom the to the who is
Even during testimony from both sides, he i		
Defendants, and told about his background and his exp		
inappropriate. He even went so far to as to say that	O The second of	and he agreed with
that did not	even though it was a	
and we had photographs along with our	stating otherwise, which	. 이 아이들은 어린 아이를 다른 아이들이 가는 이 사람들이 아이들이 있다면 하는데 나는 사람들이 되었다.
integrity and the inability to be impartial in this case. O	n both days of trial, he als	so had his cell phone

Page 3 of 5

continuously going off and even took calls in an attempt to

Throughout the first day of trial, we were led to believe, along with our attorney, that the judge sitting in front of us was Judge

as that is what was listed on the plaque in the court room and the judge assigned to the case by

[ATTACHMENT 4:

CASE INFORMATION - CASE HISTORY (1 Page)]. It

was alarming to learn that this was not the case.

Due to being completely unprepared for the case, was able to delay court with courtroom shenanigans and manipulate the judge to reconvene at a later date giving more time to prepare, which to do. The judge nor opposing counsel had any regard for our time constraints nor our availability before scheduling the continuation. On the second day of court, Judge Hamblen, Pro-tem allowed Nina Edidin to be with no concern for the Plaintiffs. Before even arrived, he on the and it was complete and utter mayhem. Finally when arrived and the Judge was unprepared and had to call for courtroom assistance to get the The court assistant and we overheard that the judge sitting before us was not Judge and was We felt completely deceived and this amplified our concern that we would not receive a fair trial. The commotion with the judge and courtroom assistant delayed court for another minutes approximately resulting in us having to Once more, there was no regard for wasted time and inconvenience for our witness who was present for both days of trial not to mention the additional cost to in additional attorney fees. Throughout the trial, Judge allowed opposing counsel to submit documents and witnesses that were not disclosed. He constantly cut our attorney off and went so far as to continuously interrupt him during closing arguments. Finally, stated that he would have a decision to us within days time. It took him almost to make the decision, again causing further attorney fees.

In regard to the Minute Entry dated under Findings, Judge stated. which is untrue and no documentation from either party was submitted to support that claim with testimony from witness for refuting that statement. conducted only inspections during the inspection on tenancy: a walk through on ; and an ; a walk through on [ATTACHMENT 5: REPAIRS WITH TENANTS (3 Pages)]. The statement,

" is also false as and both testified this exhibit welcome packet and was the landlord's disclosure statement showing the items that was part of a were imperfections with the house and was adamant that the tenants were not charged for any of the items on the list. In testimony, even explained the meticulous process of using this list and old photographs to ensure that the were not charged erroneously, as was part of the comprehensive supporting documentation from the original invoice [ATTACHMENT 6: PROPERTY MANUAL - WELCOME PACKET submitted into evidence for Plaintiff and Items Noted Prior To Tenant Move In Date by Defendant); ATTACHMENT 7: MOVE IN/OUT CONDITION SECURITY DEPOSIT STATEMENT AND FINAL NOTICE SECURITY DEPOSIT INVOICE AND BACK-UP (87 submitted as evidence by Plaintiff and original Pages - only stated in testimony that this list was not the Move-In/Moveinvoice pages 1-5 by Defendant)]. Also, Out Sheet, where the did not indicate any problems with the house and the house was in pristine condition minus the imperfections, which was stated in testimony [SEE ATTACHMENT 7]. Also, testified that the signed the application, which states that ". 8: RENTAL APPLICATION FOR (5 Pages)]. Neither party submitted the Move-In/Move-Out AND making the claim,

" absolutely false as mentioned above. In testimony,

identified that defendant's exhibit 1 was not the Move-In Sheet and again the Sheets were not charged for anything on the list and in fact were not charged for 2 other items that were found in pre-tenancy photographs.

In the statement,

," again is false as

testified that

did not

```
provide a forwarding address and
                                                  had to repetitively ask for this information.
testified that
                               were in attendance and described the scenario in detail. After several requests,
            pulled out a
                                                                                   and quickly
            , which she identified in court. At no time, did
                                                                     request her security deposit or mention
                  , during their meeting, never indicated that the
                                                                           would be receiving their security
deposit back nor was it even discussed on
                                                             , as the inspection had yet to occur and was
scheduled for
                           , as stated in the Tenant Move Out Letter dated
                                                                                              IATTACHMENT 9:
                                                                 CONTACT INFORMATION (2 Sided Page - shown in
court by our attorney and
                                 verified this is what she gave
                                                                                ATTACHMENT 10: TENANT MOVE-
OUT LETTER (3 Pages)].
                                committed perjury on the stand when
                                                                           described this meeting and at no
point did
              go to her car to obtain any paperwork.
                                                                   remained in the house during the entire
meeting with
                                 until
                                           left the premises, as was witnessed by
                                                                                                         and
documented in a written letter submitted to our attorney [ATTACHMENT 11:
                                                                                           WITNESS ACCOUNT
(2 Pages)].
                          testified that the
                                                                               and the
                                                                                                         in a
horrible predicament to make the necessary repairs and cleaning, as
                                                                                   was required by
                 to physically bring
                                                                           in accordance with Arizona State
                                                          to
requirements; it was during
                                      ; and it interfered with
                                                                          and
also testified multiple times that every available moment was spent making repairs and cleaning the property
from the inspection date of
                                          through
                                                                  and that the security deposit invoice was
mailed around
                                        directly inside the
                      can not be held responsible for the actions of the United States Postal Service.
In the statement,
is talse and
                                   testified to this matter. The
                                                                       received warnings in total from the
H.O.A., being for landscaping.
                                             committed perjury when she denied every receiving any H.O.A.
notices as
                                   sent her an e-mail
                                                                         regarding
                                                                                      violations received on
                    , which one was for maintaining the landscape and overall appearance of lot by removing
and replacing a dead bush and cleaning up the landscape debris. The
                                                                           received another notice on
                                    received it after the
                                                               moved out [ATTACHMENT 12:
                         REGARDING HOA VIOLATIONS DATED
                                                                          (1 Page); ATTACHMENT 13:
                                            (1 Page)]. In regard to the carpet, the age is inconsequential as the
problem was due to
                              Photographs showing
                                                              on the walls and on the carpet were submitted
and
                           testified that their carpet stretcher
                                                                        verified that the staining on the back
of the carpet was from
In regard to the Findings and Counterclaim listed on page 2 of 2 in the Minute Entry dated
                      disputes all claims as it was proven in court that the
                                                                                lied and did not maintain the
property as promised. It was also proven that they breached the contract with the
                                                                                                  and in not
keeping
                       current and on file as directed by the contract. The
                                                                                were notified that they were
in violation and
                                 testified to that fact (SEE ATTACHMENT 10).
                                                                                                  submitted
extensive financial records, receipts, photographs, and had a witness proving their case against the
addition, several
                                  were in our attorney's possession and he disclosed them as part of the
potential evidence to be used in the trial.
                                                                     submitted approximately
                                                                                                   pieces of
evidence while the
                          had pieces, of which all were issued by
                                                                                          and did nothing to
disprove that the
                        were negligent.
                                                        testified that
                                                                                              had over
         supporting the case and
                                                   to support their claims. The
                                                                                        never produced any
receipts, photographs, or evidence to support any of their outrageous arguments. The
                                                                                                   and their
witnesses all committed perjury and
                                                          has the documentation to support that allegation.
The
           falsified their
                                       which the judge should have recognized when
successfully managed to have
                                         and
                                                        admit that they received the Tenant Move Out Letter:
were aware that the inspection was held on
                                                           that neither requested to be in attendance; and
neither
                    made an effort to contact
                                                                                     i. This completely voids
their counterclaim specifically in regard to the argument listed on Page 2,
```

	s security deposit; nor did an inspection occur in the nor did contact until an			
presence of both and	nor did contact until an			
presence of both and attorney called on her behalf on , foll	owed by a demand letter from on			
at which time the case was already submitted to	our attorney. Testined to this statement in			
court. I also was able to get	to admit that did receive text			
that was sent on informing	g that left items at the house and that			
that was sent on informing that left items at the house and that would be at the house at confirmed the presence of the photo held by her attorney				
taken of the phone with the text message comm	unications between and from			
through	substantiated the inspection date by testifying			
that conducted the in	itial inspection on . The provided no			
documentation or evidence to support any of the	itial inspection on . The provided no ir claims. Judge showed favoritism and a			
	in this case with absolutely no evidence from the to			
justify their position. It is also relevant to ment				
	and their attorney, specifically when the tried to			
	nen the lied about the			
	bulbs to be replaced; by the leaving property for us to			
	utilities or forwarding their mail; specifically in the manner in			
which they left the property, disregarding all ver				
keep up the property; the disregard in resp tenancy; when with	left a message on			
tenancy; when with	ent a message on			
voicemail stating that the had retained he	r as their legal counsel on ; when ; the lies delivered by the and their attorney in their			
sent a threatening demand letter on	the lies delivered by the and their attorney in their			
	tics demonstrated by in not disclosing evidence			
	room etiquette or professionalism. [ATTACHMENT 14:			
ANSWER AND COUNTERCLAIM (9 Pages				
ATTACHMENT 16: 'S DEMAND LET	Pages - submitted as evidence for Plaintiff); TER TO AND REVISED SECURITY			
DEPOSIT INVOICE AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION	(30 Pages - submitted as evidence for Plaintiff); ATTACHMENT 17:			
	LIED COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING (7 Pages);			
ATTACHMENT 18: COUNTERDEFENDENT'S REPLY TO COUN	ERCLAIM (5 Pages); ATTACHMENT 19: DEFENDANT'S INITIAL RULE 26.1			
	PLAINTIFF/COUNTER DEFENDANT'S INITIAL RULE 26.1 DISCLOSURE			
STATEMENT (13 Pages); ATTACHMENT 21:	, ARIZONA MINUTE ENTRY DATED			
2 Pages).				

Sincerely,