State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-064

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge improperly failed to remove a biased juror.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: April 1, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer George A. Riemer

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 1, 2015.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Comp 2015-064

CONFIDENTIAL State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	
	10
	Ε.

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:		Judge	Name: Hon.	90 2-2200300-20000-201484/980899181	C186579988052958889
what you belie times, and pla along with cop	ve the judge did that o ces that will help the o	a paper of the same size onstitutes judicial miscon commission understand y levant court documents. records.	duct. Be specific an our concerns. Addit	d list all of the nam ional pages may be	es, dates, attached
The jury not	fied the Judge that	was refusing to	and as	ked that the	be
removed fro	om the jury and rep	laced with an altern	ate due to	her refusal to	an este de legen
This a	ccording to reports	from members r	refused to review	vevidence and i	ndicated
that she ha	d previously seen	"bits and pleces" o	fa	about the	8254
case. She	did not divulge this	information during	proceedin	gs. Judge	Na
bound to r	emove a onc	e she was notified	of this miscond	luct as well as t	the fact
that this	was refusing to	Judge	has	cast a shadow o	of doub
on the enti	re judicial system	by her lack of actio	n and has for a	Il intents and pu	urposes
appeared t	be blased on the	part of the defendar	nt thereby depriv	ing the State of	Arizona
as well as i	ts tax payers of a l	fair and impartial ver	dict. The integ	rity of the entire	Arizona
Judicial sys	stem is now in que	stion, and her action	s should be inv	estigated immed	diately.
She has fa	ited to uphold her	oath of office by ca	arrying out the	dutles entrusted	to her
by the Stat	e of Arizona, She	should be immedia	ately removed f	rom the bench,	as well
as have sa	inction of the stric	test level imposed.	She has depri	ved the victim's	s family
of the justi	e that they depen	ided on from the Sta	ate of Arizona.	Once she was	notified
that	had seen the	and	that the other	believed	her to
have her o	wn agenda and r	efused to	Judge	had an obl	ligation
		production of the second strength of the second	and the second state of the second		CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER OF THE

2

Thank you for your time.