State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 15-067	
Judge:		
Complainant:		

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge improperly failed to grant her a new trial or a resentencing.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: April 1, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 1, 2015.

State of Ariz.

Amended 15, Affidavit in support motion for Remanded case for Futher Proceeding

In

Court For the state of Ariz.

General Statement

I Do no that I am not in the rule 32 division.

The Issue is, that the rule 32 Court Founded Cause to Send this Case back to Judge Court for Farther precedure.

Defendant move this Court To remande for Futher proceeding, Should be granted.

County Rule 10.5 Trule 9.10 Trial or hearing

based upon the entire records, case summarily transcered to the Court for trial or determined by the appeal dept to have an insufficent record may be remanded back to the original trial Court For a new trial or hearing in lieu of a trial unlike trial held in the Court, the parties in a Case remanded for trial in the original trial court pur-Suant to this rule, Shall have the rights of appeal as provided by Statute or rule for all litigants following trial or judgment with due respect. The honoble Judge in this court Should ... The presiding Judge may establish special Criminal procredinge division to Conduct a nontrial Calenders Merits: The state of fact of deserving, desert to treat someone according.

and the company of th

For resentence move the honorable Judge on the grounds that state prior conviction. was used for an aggravate factor in Support to inhance the Sentence, question? in the allege prior for was purged, in occordance with Ariz Adminstrative Code 13-1-100 as the owner of the record, The Police dept. authorized the repository to purged. this arrest. Couldit be used as a prior conviction? Attact exhibit for review was foreward In the allege prior conviction in is the Some Case, If there no record or if a record con't be four nded and Charges was not filed could it beuse as a prior conviction?

In the Case , Sec. 28 title 13 Ch. 7, Ariz, revised Statutes, is Amended by adding new sections 13-703, 13-704 to read

Category one repetitive offender if the person is

Convicted of . Felony offenses that was not Committed

on the same accasion but that either or Consolidated for

trial purposes or are not history prior felony Conviction

Claim to Commendation; excellence: worth. Something that entities a person to a reward or Commandation; a Commendable quaily; act etc

The books only merit is it Sincerily. The interinsic right and wrong of the Matter as a Law Case.

unobscured by procedural details, Technicalities pesonal feelings, etc

Rule 35.5
Rule 35.6
Rule 35.6
Rule 5
Rule 4
Rule 24 b2
Rule 35.3
Rule 35.3

2015-067

	original mailed The Court at	To the Clerk of
	Azı	
	x	
	Phx. Az. 85007	eral at 1275 Willashington
	,	
·		
	SET SELLY ME. SEE SEA STANKE MAN COLUMN SECUL SEE AND A LANGE AND ASSESSMENT PROPERTY AND ASSESSMENT AND ASSESSMENT AND ASSESSMENT A	***
College the last of the fit that the colony, as a colony deposit		