## State of Arizona

## COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-097		
Judge:		
Complainant:		

## **ORDER**

A superior court commissioner self-reported a delayed ruling.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the commission's file in the matter is closed, pursuant to Rules 16(b) and 23(a).

Dated: May 13, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer
George A. Riemer
Executive Director

A copy of this order was mailed to the commissioner on May 13, 2015.



Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington, Suite 229 Phoenix, AZ 85007

Re: Certificate of Compliance/60-Day Reguirement

## Dear Members of the Commission:

I felt it appropriate to se	elf-report to the Commission on Judicial Cond	uct a situation that came to
my attention	. Upon contacting the Commission	, I was
directed to submit a letter detail	ling the events that occurred. On	, ⊦ conducted a
in a matter	and noted that Plaintiff's counsel, who was	, had
recently filed a	. I took the n	natter under advisement to
review the and, if appro	priate, enter judgment. I handed the file to	and directed that
the file be returned to me after treturned to me for ruling.	that day's minute entry was completed. Unfo	rtunately, the file was never
Instead, I was notified	that Plaintiff's counsel	contacted the
Office to i	nquire about the status of the ruling. I immed	diately reviewed the file and
entered a ruling	. However, because I was unaware that	this matter was pending, on
, I submitted a C	Certificate of Compliance stating that I did no	t have any matters pending
for more than sixty (60) days du	iring the pay period of	. The sixty (60)
day timeframe expired on days.	. This particular matter v	vas pending for a period of
I strive to be diligent i	n monitoring my calendar and my under a	dvisement log. My
and I track the matters	s that I take under advisement on an internal	log; however, because this
matter was taken under adviser	ment in the ; calendar wi	th approximately
cases, it was not entered on the	internal under advisement log. It is the pract	ice of the
that when a matter is taken un	der advisement in the course of a calendar,	that matter is listed on an
under advisement log. After bed	coming aware of the situation, I made a reque	st for the under advisement
log maintained by . It	was discovered that this particular matter, f	or an unknown reason, had
not been properly listed, and the	erefore, the Court was not separately notified	that a matter was pending.

To avoid this unfortunate situation occurring again in the future, during a calendar, I will order the setting of an Internal Review by the Court within thirty (30) days, which will require that the file be delivered to me for review. In addition, I will continue to closely monitor my calendar and under advisement log and try to note any matter that is taken under advisement while in court. Finally, I plan to meet with the , to discuss the situation I described and a methodology by which it may be avoided in the future.

Should you need any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me. Thank you.

Sirce ely,