#### State of Arizona

## COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 15-102	
Judge:		
Complainant:		

#### ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge failed to follow the law in a criminal proceeding.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: May 13, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on May 13, 2015.

#### CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

#### FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2015-102

Name:	Judge's Name:_
what you believe the jutimes, and places that	form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words dge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and laint for your records.
TI	E HONORABLE
	LED TO POLLOW THE HIGH COURT'S
	ING; IGNORED STATE LAWS; AND
	SED HIS POWERS BASED ON THE BE-
LON	LISTED REASONS WHICH ARE
- FUL	LY SUPPORTED BY COUNT DOCUMENTS.
- T 11	"-
1. THE HUNDR	
	RIZONA REVISED STATUTE (AIRS, 13-203)
WAS NOT A	UT IN FRONT OF THE NOR PART
OF THE "F	IRST" TRIAL. SEE EXHIBIT A.
Z. TENT" WAS	INDICTMENT, SHOWING THAT "TRANSFERRED IN- SEE EXHIBIT B.
3. CASE IN	FORMATION SHOWING THAT "TRANSFERRED INTENT
	BEEN CHARGED, NOR AMENDED IN FRUNT OF ANY
	SEE EXHIBIT C.
4. TRIAL TR	ANSCRIPTS OF "FIRST" TRIAL
CLEARLY SHU	VING THAT PROSECUTOR THEORY WAS
THAT	INTENT WITH THIS ONE ACT, WAS TO KILL
- 1 % To	PAGE 2 DE 7

#### CONFIDENTIAL

Name:

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2015-102

## COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Judge's Name

what you believe the judge did that constitutes judic	ame size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words all misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, erstand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached cuments. Please complete one side of the paper only, and
OR INJURE OFFICER	SEE EXHIBIT D.
	KNEW, BEFURE CONDUCT
5. THE HONORABLE	
	L (THE BYSTANDER CONVICTIONS
WERE REVENSED BY THE AND	ZONA THAT
CONVICTION FOR	OFFICER WAS IN VIOLATION
OF A.R.S. 8 13-1203 (A)(1)	
THE ARIZONA	SEE EXHIBIT E, PAGE 24.
6. THE HUNDRABLE	REPUSED TO FOLLOW THE
ARIZONA	PULING IN STATE V.
No.	IN THIS RULING THE COUR
HELD THAT:	
A) THAT IT CANNOT	BE PRESYMED FROM THE ACT
OF FIRING A SHO	
ALSO INTENDED	
OF THE BYSTANDER	ν
B) THE INTENT TO SHOO	OT AND CAUSE INJURY OR DEATH
	BE TRANSPERRED TO PROVIDE

THE INTENT TO PLACE THE BYSTANDERS IN REASON-

ABLE APPREHENSION OF IMMINENT PHYSICAL INJURY;

## CONFIDENTIAL State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		

Name:	Judge's Name:
what you believe the jutimes, and places that along with copies (not keep a copy of the com	The state of the s
c)	THE "PHYSICAL INJURY" OF BEING SHOT
	IS NOT "SIMILAR" FOR THE PURPOSE OF
	TRANSFERRING INTENT TO THE MENTAL INJURY
	OF EXPERIENCING REASONABLE APPREHENSION OF
	BEING SHOT (THE BYSTANDER'S ). SEE EXHIBIT
	F, PAGES 1,6, AND 8.
7. THE HONO	RABLE ALLOWED THE PROSECUTOR
	TO CHANGE THE THEORY OF THE CASE AGAIN IN
" -	THIRD" TRIAL, EVEN THOUGH HE KNEW THE FOLLOWING:
	SEE EXHIBIT G
A)	THAT CONVICTION FOR
	WAS ALREADY "AFFIRMED" (IN VIOLATION OF
<del></del>	A.R.S. & 13-1203 (A) (1) (1989)) IN HIS FLAST
<u>VI</u>	DIRECT APPEAL;
	VIII MITTON
<b>B</b> )	THIS, AS NOTED IN SECOND APPELLATE
	DECISION, WAS NOT LEGALLY WARRANTED; AND
c)	THAT "DUE PROCESS" PRECLUDES THE STATE FROM
	ARGUING DIFFERENT THEORIES IN DIFFERENT TRIALS
	IN ORDER TO SECURE CONVICTIONS.

# CONFIDENTIAL State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		

Name:	Judge's Name:
what you believe the judge did that constitutes judic times, and places that will help the commission un-	same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words cial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, derstand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached ocuments. Please complete one side of the paper only, and
8. THE HONDRABLE	KNEW AFTER THE ARIZONA
RULING IN	SECOND DIRECT APPEAL, THAT
THERE WAS NO NEW EVIDENCE	PUT IN FRONT OF THE JURY OTHER
THAN THE ACT OF FIRING	A SHOT AT
ALREADY RULES	O ON THIS ) WHEN TRIAL ATT-
ORNEY MOVED UNDER RULE ZO	FOR A JUDGMENT OF ACQUITTAL
FOR LACK OF SUBSTANTIAL E	VIDENCE TOWARDS THE BYSTANDER'S. SEE
EXHIBIT & TRIAL TRANSCRIPTS	OF PAGE 157-160.
9. THE HONORABLE	IGNORED STATE LAWS (A.R.S. \$ 13-
116), AND EXCEEDED HIS AUTHOR	LITY IN ISSUING ADDITIONAL
YEARS TO	ATTORNEY MOVED UNDER A.R.S.
	) THAT SHOULD HAVE RECIEVED
"CONCURRENT" SENTENCES. SEE	EXHIBIT I.
A) HAO ALIZE	EADY RECIEVED THE MAXIMUM
SENTENCE OF	FUR CAUSING PHYSICAL
INJURY TO	IN VIOLATION OF
A.R.S. \$ 13-1203 (A	4) (1) (1989) FOR THIS SIN-
GLE ACT;	
R) THE BYCTANAGE (	OUNTS WERE BASED ON A DIFF-
•	THE LAW (AIR.S. & 13-1203 (A)
	OF THEM WERE PHYSICALLY
CO CONT AND NONE	of them were till some

CONFIDENTIAL	
State of Arizona	
Commission on Judicial Conduct	
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229	
Phoenix Arizona 85007	

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		

Name:	Judg	e's Name:	
Instructions: Use this form or what you believe the judge did times, and places that will help along with copies (not originals keep a copy of the complaint for	that constitutes judicial misco the commission understand ) of relevant court documents	onduct. Be specific and l your concerns. Addition	ist all of the names, dates, nal pages may be attached
INJUR	GO BY THIS SINGLE	ACT;	
c) The A	AIZONA	ALREADY	HELD
IN	SECOND DIAL	ECT THAT	"IT
CANNO	T BE PRESUMED		OF
	VG A	THAT	
		TO SCARE	
OL	ALSO INTENDEL	IDERS. SEE EXHI	BIT
	€ 6;		
	,		
D) THE	HONORABLE	cı	LTED
	V. HENLEY, 141 ARIZ	. 465 (1984) TO	SUPP-
	IVING CONSECUTIVE		
	OF THE BYSTANDER		
INJUI	LED" BY THIS ONE	E ACT AS	
	SEE EXHIBIT		
10. THE HONORABLE		COMPLETELY OI	SREGARDED THE AZ.
	DECISION IN		
DENIED	POST-CONVICTE	ON (RULE) REL	IEF CLAIMING
THERE WAS NO OB	JECTION TO THE	TRIAL COURTS	"TRANSFERRED INTENT"
JURY INSTRUCTION	, WHICH IS CONTR	LADICIED BY THE	REWAD. SEE PES.
18-23, EXHIBIT K			

CONFII	DENTIAL
State of	Arizona
Commiss	ion on Judicial Conduct
1501 W.	Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix	Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			

Name:			Judge's Name	»:	
Instructions: what you belie times, and pla along with cop	eve the judg ces that w	e did that constitutes j ll help the commission	the same size to file a judicial misconduct. B a understand your con	a complaint. Descr e specific and list cerns. Additional	ribe in your own words all of the names, dates, pages may be attached of the paper only, and
	THE	HONORABLE			
	WHA	T THE COURT			
	AND	AND ALLOWED THE JURON'S TO PRESUME, FROM THE			
	ACT	OF FIRING	A SHOT AT	OFFICER	(THERE
	WAS	ABSULUTELY NO	OTHER EVIDEN	CE ) THAT	<u> </u>
	ALS	O INTENDE	ED TO SCARE	OFFICER	AND THE
	ВУБТ	ANDERS. SEE	EXHIBIT F, PAG	€ 6.	
II. THE H		EEIT L-	<u>Post</u> - l	DNVICTION !	Relief Dismiss.
	-	RULING IS CON	TRADECTED BY TH	LE RECORD, W	HICH
SHOWS THAT SEVERAL OBJECTIONS WERE MADE					MADE
		ON THE RECORD	. SEE EXHIBET	K, PAGES 1	18-23.
				~ RESPECTE	WLLY SUBMETTED ~
-					