State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 15-108
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

The complainant alleged three appellate court judges discriminated against him and made improper rulings in their memorandum decision.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judges engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judges' ruling. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judges did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety as to all three judges, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: May 28, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judges on May 28, 2015.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2015-108

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:		Ju	dge's Name:			
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.						
accuse those Jud	ges in court	I they m	nake discrimination Memorar	ndum Decision		
and make me Loss clear	case		se Judges not even review my exhibi			
and they (only co	py what the		d) in their answer brief onl			
review the document o	r the attachment at	All. I will give o	one Example point 12 in this Decis	ion Judges said		
Although the			ring brief that the	was timely		
renewed on	and I attach		of Record for Case No			
there is no affiday			file with Clark of the	court		
At all, thats mean	s those Judges	is Lie is the	eir Decision see Exhibit (1	1).		
However the			gal affidavit of renewal at	file with		
County			Not with Clark of the supe			
and this against the	A.C.R A.R.S. §	12-1612(D). (the filing of the affidavit i	n the office of		
the Clerk of the court	where is entered	and dockete	d shall renew and revive the J	Idament to the		
the Clerk of the court where is entered and docketed shall renew and revive the Judgment to the extent of the balance shown due in the affidavit). however the						
ignored My Argum	Ignored My Argument in my opening brief Page (13 -14) completely Exhibit (3).					
also this court of appeal	Judges said In Poir	nt	argument is not properly before			
did not raise it in the	court Igr	ored My Arg	ument in my Replying brief pa			
Jud	ges Ignored My	replaying E	Brief answer when I explain	to them the		
not ex	xpired during the	Trial and the	Judge take the Matter Unde	r advisament		
	Tell	which is	days, and the Judge			
Decision at	when the		was Expired without r	his		
Exhibit (1) and (4). Ho	wever when	attache	d Fake and none Legal affidavi	t of remains		
file with		Recorder see	Exhibit (2) Not with Clark of			
and this against th	e A.C.R A R S	8 12-1613	2(D). How come those Jud	court		
None Legal affidav	it of renew?	3 12-1012	-(D). How come those Juc	iges accept		

CONFIDENTIAL

Name:

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2015-108

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:				
times, and places along with copies	that will help the commis	r of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in ites judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the ssion understand your concerns. Additional pages a court documents. Please complete one side of the	he names, dates,		
Judges Decision	on said : Although the	assert in their answering brie	f that the		
was	timely renewed on	and I attached the	of		
Record for Ca	ase No:				
there is no af	idavit of renewal at	file with Clark of the	court		
However the	attache	d (Fake and none Legal affidavit of rer			
	file with	County Recorder see Exhibit (2)			
Not with Clark	of the superior cou				
and this agains	st the A.C.R A.R.S. §	12-1612(D). (the filing of the affidavit in	the office of		
the Clerk of the	court where is entered	and docketed shall renew and revive the Ju-	dament to the		
	palance shown due		-9		
i am asking to	investigation This	Case and take legal action against cou	urt of		
and those	Judges				
11. 17					

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT 2015-108 Case No. Court Vs. Case No. Letter to COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.