State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 15-110	
Judge:		
Complainant:		

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge is biased and prejudiced against litigants who utilize her services as a certified legal document preparer.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 22, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 22, 2015.

	of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I ich my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are
Signature:	
Date:	
	INSTRUCTIONS
why you believe what the names, dates, times, and p and relevant copies of do original documents). Use o	plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used cuments may be sent with your complaint (please do not send ne side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint, complaint for your records.
Please see attached lette	ers and attachments.

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Re:

Court Concerns

Dear Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct:

After much thought and inquiry of private parties as to possible solutions, I have come to a very disconcerting decision to finally bring some concerns to your attention, as these things I will divulge should concern the Commission as it has affected many parties that have used my services who end up assigned in Court under at Court. I held off filing a complaint in hopes that with time and experience, I could give the situation the possibility for bias and prejudice to subside, to no avail.

Please allow me to explain my position in our system so you might have a better understanding how my concerns have come about. I am a certified legal document preparer ("CLDP"). Prior to this, I worked for , and then spent a off and on, with before obtaining my certification and

A certified legal document preparer is allowed to charge fees for the preparation of court and legal documents and to assist with the processes of the court, somewhat a cross between a legal secretary and a paralegal. We are not attorneys and are restricted from giving legal advice. My function is that I am that intermediary between someone representing themselves and an attorney. Most people who utilize me are either: 1) low-income people who are requiring some form of legal paperwork that they do not have the time due to work and/or knowledge to prepare; 2) generally under-educated, do not understand documents provided by the court or getting through the legal system; 3) are completely lost about the process; or 4) do not like attorneys, and are aware they have another option with a CLDP. All of the aforementioned are people who usually cannot afford an attorney. I cannot tell them how to fight the case, what to do or say in court, or go to court with them, only prepare documents and guide them through the court process. Even though the system (lawmakers who are usually attorneys) have attempted to modify the documents and the procedure so that people may not have to use a CLDP, there is still a place for us and what we do because of the number of people who do not understand the documents and the system, and cannot afford an attorney, but need to use the system.

According to the Arizona Code of Judicial Administration §1-303 CANON 1 and CANON 2 speaks of the ethics from and by a judicial employee. Those two Canons specifically address the ethical duties and regulations that judicial employees must follow. Included in those titles are Rule 1.1. Compliance with the Law, Rule 1.2. Promoting Confidence in the Judiciary, Rule 1.3. Abuse of Position, Rule 2.1. Giving Priority to Ethical Duties, Rule 2.2. Impartiality and Fairness, Rule 2.3. Bias, Prejudice, and Harassment, Rule 2.4. External Influences on Court

Duties, Rule 2.5. Competence, Diligence, and Cooperation, Rule 2.6. Assistance to Litigants, and so on. I bring this up because of certain situations that have happened that may or may not fall under any one of Canons that is the Code of Judicial Administration. One instance of a problem was Case standard with no special circumstances, the parties had been personally served via a process server, and should have proceeded through the system without any hold ups. I had received a call from regarding his conversation with the judge's assistant. He informed me that they stated I had not sent the documents when I had regarding one of the filings. I had to explain that it was not true, that I had the documents in my file which were stamped by the court the earlier. The case was filed on defendant was personally served on was entered on , and a without a Hearing was received by the court on . No action was taken on the case by the court until being a hearing scheduled instead of what was requested. and individual, had to drive from , with the possibility of snow, to finalize the case. This was the case I had done for him, and the first had gone through, as requested, without hearings from other judges, with the same circumstances. attended the hearing, he showed up at my office and said the judge had document that was required for his signature, and handed me a blank not received the document that the judge had specifically requested I use. I have copies of all the documents I had included in the filing with the court for the to be finalized. was included. But I did not argue with my customer, completed the specified and the request and sent it to the court the same day. I received a call from on the day that the judge scheduled a with him telling me that the judge had not received document. I explained to him that short of driving it up there myself, the other the option I could utilize was to send it certified mail so it would not get "lost" or not received. requested I follow through. A judgment was finally signed on Another example, , was a with no special circumstances, the parties had been personally served, and should have proceeded through the system without any hold ups. Our concern was that the case was not being pushed through in a timely manner because of the underlying circumstances that he had been informed of by . It was filed on , defendant was served on was stamped , and a without a Hearing was received by the court on No other filing had been received by the court from any party, and a hearing was scheduled instead for contacted me later very angry because the case had not been pushed through in a timely manner and he was losing the that he had and filed the proper procedure, utilizing my services. He stated to me that "there is something very wrong with the system here," and would not answer my calls to address his concerns. Another example was Case , was a The documents for service were

Another example was

see the attached letter written by the client.

continually delayed and not received by the client

used my services and informed me that he felt he was allegedly treated with

, not being issued by the court. Please

contempt due to using my services, and had to request his case be dismissed while he obtained legal representation. He informed me that he too, filed a complaint. The most recent example from the referred to is Case The was filed on and the regarding this case was docketed on with a hearing date set for . The client NEVER received the order so he/I could follow through with process service in a timely manner. I had called the week of and asked the clerk if an had been processed, with the clerk informing me that nothing had been processed by their office. I requested to be transferred to the JA and left a message on her voicemail regarding the matter with no return call. I had happened to be at and personally asked the JA if an was ready. She informed me that it had already been done and sent to the client. I requested a copy, explaining to her that he had not received anything. I was taken by surprise that the hearing was set for , leaving very little time for the client to acquire the funds for process service and taking care of the matter. All of the above situations have occurred in under Judge these are not all of the occurrences. I have had clients come to me after their hearings informing me of by the judge . I have had clients tell me for matters that are available through free court that they were paperwork. All of the alleged bias and prejudice that people are being treated with due to utilizing my services is totally uncalled for and ethically out of line. I have also now been denied volunteering my services to , due to what I believe is because the new county program coordinator of with that judge's assistant, The actions of those in positions of power have caused great stress and discomfort to people having to utilize the judicial system because of their choice to use me, and now it is reaching an extended level to others that I may have been able to assist in a volunteer capacity due to personal connections. Finally, I have attached a copy of a letter from a client/customer, Case that underwent an experience that should never have happened according to the Canons dictated in the Arizona Code of Judicial Administration, and which somewhat explains to me in my perceptions, why people who are utilizing me are not receiving impartial treatment. This client approached me explaining that she , even for what she was experiencing in her legal though I strongly suggested she matters. She insisted I could help her, and I agreed that I could with paperwork, but my hesitations were the fact that her prior was assigned to . I prepared the paperwork and sent it to court. I had explained to her that if she was not sure that would sign for a certified letter, in order to save the expense of serving she could wait for both procedural documents to come back from the court and have the served only one time. She agreed. The rest is in the letter from explained to her that I would hold off on sending any correspondence to the powers that be until after she received her hearing because I did not want the personal bias of those specific court employees to have an effect on the outcome of her legal matters. And, this is the second client, to my knowledge, that told a litigant that I had I had, which, in my opinion, is being done intentionally to make me look bad to my

customers/clients.

People are allowed to represent themselves. We live in the and I say this from personal knowledge and because I used to prepare and that observation/conclusion came straight from the and to use the court system only with an attorney is unrealistic. Just because the judges in our system all used to be attorneys, does not entitle them to impose their "belief" that everyone should be represented by an attorney, if that is actually what is happening above and beyond what is being said about me. People are entitled to represent themselves, and in my opinion, without having to babysit the court system to make sure they are not being jerked around.

One of the most important statements I tell people who come to me is, ""

." I also do keep in mind that there are varying levels of difficulty in cases. Simple cases I . However, there have been several people who have brought highly volatile situations to me, and I always . But then there are those in the middle, varying degrees of good and bad that involve people who inevitably need the court system. And the bottom line with any case is, whatever it is that people cannot agree to, or need injunctive relief for, the final decision is going to have to be made by a Judge, hopefully without bias and only considering laws and facts, not having to be concerned about how or from whom they got their paperwork processed.

I would venture to say that this is how this county's court system has acquired its reputation of being crooked. I have in about how others believe that the system here is crooked and people are not able to receive fair decisions. I have also been told by of whom I am some judges have a tendency to be harder, and less just, on certain people because they are not utilizing an attorney or because of their gender factor in cases. None of this has an ethical correctness about it. And because it has now become blatantly clear to me that it IS happening, and continuing to happen, somebody needs to be held accountable.

Please know this... I am not concerned about what is being said about me. My greatest concern is how this is affecting the people who need or desire my services, and the overall reputation of our local justice system. I was certified by a board that is appointed by the Arizona Supreme Court, have been told by several in our area that my documents are good, and receive referrals from I am also required to do continuing education each year to stay certified, of which I usually obtain my continuing education from the Arizona Bar Association. It is the same education that attorneys utilize.

Respectfully Yours,